

THIRD ANNUAL REPORT
OF THE
JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF KANSAS



1929

PRINTED BY KANSAS STATE PRINTING PLANT
B. P. WALKER, STATE PRINTER
TOPEKA 1930
13-2454

THIRD ANNUAL REPORT
OF THE
JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF KANSAS



1929

PRINTED BY KANSAS STATE PRINTING PLANT
B. P. WALKER, STATE PRINTER
TOPEKA 1930
18-2454

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL.

TOPEKA, KAN., December 1, 1929.

To His Excellency, Clyde M. Reed, Governor of Kansas:

In accordance with the provisions of chapter 187 of the Laws of Kansas, 1927, we herewith transmit to you the third annual report of the Judicial Council.

W. W. HARVEY, *Chairman,*
J. C. RUPPENTHAL, *Secretary,*
EDWARD L. FISCHER,
JOHN W. DAVIS,
WALTER PLEASANT,
CHARLES L. HUNT,
ROBERT C. FOULSTON,
C. W. BURCH,
CHESTER STEVENS,
Members of the Judicial Council.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

	PAGE
LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL.....	3
RULES BY SUPREME COURT.....	5
TABULATION OF "MOTION DAYS".....	10
SUGGESTIONS OF STATE BAR ASSOCIATION.....	17
RECOMMENDATIONS OF CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY CHANGES.....	18
SUMMARIES OF WORK OF THE COURTS:	
Supreme court	29
District courts by judicial districts.....	35
State as a whole.....	71
Tables	73
County courts	95
CONCLUSION	140

THIRD ANNUAL REPORT.

SCOPE OF THE WORK FOR THE YEAR.

Since making the last report, December 1, 1928, the activities of the Judicial Council have been directed to (1) rules of the supreme court relating to practices and procedure in district courts; (2) the recommendation of constitutional and statutory changes; and (3) to the compilation of data as to the work of the courts. We will treat these in the order mentioned.

RULES OF THE COURT.

Since its organization the Judicial Council has given much attention to the promulgation of rules by the supreme court relating to practices and procedure in trial courts, with the view to the more prompt and efficient disposition of business in such courts. Our previous reports (First Annual Report, pages 14, 20 *et seq.*; Second Annual Report, pages 5 to 7) discuss attention previously given to the rules. Following the filing of our last report the matter received further attention, and at the meeting of the Judicial Council in June, 1929, it formulated eight rules relating to district courts which it recommended to the supreme court that it promulgate. The supreme court considered this recommendation at its July session and promulgated the rules suggested. These rules, with the numbers given them by the supreme court, are as follows:

RULES RELATING TO DISTRICT COURTS.

(Effective September 1, 1929.)

No. 26. In judicial districts, or divisions, the judge shall designate at least one day in each calendar month, except July and August, in each county, and division, and place where court is held, for the purpose of hearing motions, demurrers and other law questions and for the transaction of any other court business wherein a jury is not required. Such designation shall be made at the beginning of each calendar year. A copy of the order making such designation shall be filed with the clerk of the supreme court, and the clerk of each district court in the district.

No. 27. Counsel filing a motion or demurrer or pleading subsequent to the petition shall, on the day the same is filed, deliver or mail a copy thereof to counsel of record for all adverse parties.

No. 28. All motions and demurrers shall stand for hearing at the first motion day following the fifth day after the filing of the same and service of copy, as provided by rule 27.

No. 29. All motions, demurrers, matters and causes submitted to the court shall be decided expeditiously, and in no event later than thirty days after they are submitted, unless the court, for good cause, shall order postponement of the decision and shall enter the reasons therefor on the journal of the court.

No. 30. When any matter or cause is submitted to the court and taken under advisement, the court, at the time of deciding the same, shall notify

counsel of record in such time and manner as will enable counsel to take the necessary steps under the statute to protect their rights for review or otherwise.

No. 31. In all causes or matters in which adverse counsel has appeared of record, no default judgment shall be rendered except upon motion and the giving of at least a three days' notice to such adverse counsel of the hearing thereof.

No. 32. When any motion or demurrer is ruled upon, or any cause decided, counsel for the prevailing party shall, within ten days, prepare a journal entry of the ruling or decision and present it to counsel for all adverse parties, who shall approve it or note their objections to it and return it the same or within five days. If approved by counsel it shall be forthwith presented to the judge of the court for his approval. If counsel cannot agree on the journal entry it shall be noticed to be taken up by the court not later than the next motion day, and its form and contents determined.

No. 33. When approved the journal entry shall be signed by the judge, filed with the clerk, and recorded at length on the journal."

Some comment respecting these rules may be beneficial. As to No. 26. Most of the judges in judicial districts containing more than one county or place where the court sits have heretofore made it a practice to get to each county seat or place where court is held about once a month, for the purpose of hearing motions, demurrers and court matters. But because these days were not definitely fixed counsel frequently would not know of them in time to be prepared to present their matters, necessarily causing delay. It was therefore thought best that at least one day in each calendar month be definitely fixed for that purpose. When the lists of these fixed days are filed with the clerk of the supreme court they can be tabulated for the state at large and printed for distribution among the judges and lawyers throughout the state. This will enable a lawyer in any county, having a case in any other county of the state, to know in advance the definite day the court will be sitting in that county to hear motions, demurrers, and for the transaction of other court business in which a jury is not required. We believe this will prove a valuable aid for the prompt dispatch of business. Some of the judges having several counties in their districts are experiencing difficulty in fixing these definite days in advance. Most of them, however, already have worked out the schedule and filed it with the clerk of the supreme court, and the others no doubt will be able to do so.

As to No. 27. The wisdom and advantages of this appear to be conceded—at least no adverse criticism of it has been heard.

As to No. 28. Some persons, apparently, have misunderstood this rule in one or two particulars. It has been suggested that under this rule a motion or demurrer or court matter could not be heard by the court at any time other than the fixed days for that purpose. That is not the effect of the rule. It does not preclude the taking up of motions on three days' notice, as provided by R. S. 60-2932; neither does it prevent the taking up of a motion, demurrer or court matter at any time that may be agreed upon by counsel and the court. It is designed to fix a maximum as distinct from a minimum time for the disposition of the matters to which it relates. Another inaccurate view has been taken of it as being a time when motions, demurrers, or court matters may be heard. It is more than that—it is a definite setting for hearing of the matters on the day designated, provided, of course, they are not disposed of earlier by notice under R. S. 60-2932, or at a time agreed

upon by counsel and the court. The motion, demurrer, or court matter being set for the day fixed should not be continued unless for cause, such as would authorize a court to continue a case after it had been assigned for trial on the merits.

As to No. 29. This was designed to hasten the decision of a case taken under advisement. Many instances have been related to us, or disclosed by records of cases taken to the supreme court, in which motions or demurrers have been held under advisement for months before being decided. Less frequently that is true with cases that are tried on the merits before the court. In most instances there is no real necessity for this, and unless there is some need for it it unnecessarily delays the disposition of the case. There are occasional cases where more than thirty days is essential for due and proper consideration of the case, but in such instances the rule permits a proper record to be made of it. It is suggested that this rule be modified by striking out the words "thirty days" in the second line and inserting in lieu thereof "the first motion day following the fifth day." This for the reason that the motion days might not be exactly thirty days apart. If the court hears a matter in one county on one motion day, takes it under advisement, desires to decide it the next motion day in that county, there should be no reason for filing a written statement of that necessity within the time when only a few days more than thirty days. We think this amendment might prudently be made.

As to No. 30. This seems to meet with general approval.

As to No. 31. It is suggested that this rule might prevent the dismissing of a case for want of prosecution unless notice be served. While we think the rule not fairly open to this construction, if any question exists on that point the rule should be amended by inserting after the word "judgment" in line two, the words "other than dismissing the cause." It has been suggested, also, that in practices existing in some districts this rule has a tendency to slow up the disposition of this class of cases. It is said that attorneys sometimes appear for a party and file a motion or demurrer and then give the matter no further attention, and after such a lapse of time that it is apparent to the court and to adverse counsel that the attorney filing the motion or demurrer is taking no further interest in the case the action is called up and judgment rendered. Of course a complete answer to that is that if the motion or demurrer is disposed of at the time contemplated by rule 28 that condition could not exist. The practice of taking a judgment in a case when opposing counsel has an undisposed-of motion or demurrer on file is not one to be commended. No reason suggests itself why it should ever be done. The motion or demurrer should first be disposed of, not only for the purpose of keeping a consistent record, but to avoid injustice. The rule is designed to prevent a party taking a judgment, either through oversight or design, against a party who has made his appearance in a case by the filing of a motion or demurrer and without such party's knowledge.

As to No. 32. This has evoked much comment. Its purpose is to provide proper records of business transacted in court. We have a statute (R. S. 60-3803) relating to the duties of the clerk of the court, which reads:

"On the journal shall be entered the proceedings of the court of each day, and all orders of the judge in vacation or at chambers, and also all judgments entered on confession or default."

While this statute makes it the duty of the clerk to make entries in the journal, it does not preclude the court, or counsel under the supervision of the court, from determining the form or contents of the entries to be made in the journal. There has grown up a practice throughout the state of the attorneys preparing the entry to be made by the clerk in the journals. This is usually prepared in an instrument called a "journal entry" which is handed to the clerk and filed by him. Sometimes these are not prepared by attorneys, and the clerk, expecting such an instrument to be prepared and furnished to him, makes no entry in his journal, with the result that no proper record of the action of the court is made. Some attorneys prepare the form of the entry for the journal in cases or matters in which they are interested, others prepare them only in matters which they regard as especially important. When prepared, while usually submitted to counsel for the opposing party, sometimes that is not done, and controversies arise therefrom. They are not always presented to the court for inspection and signature, and occasionally a question is raised that entry for the journal prepared by counsel does not correctly set forth the judgment of the court. The failure, or the unnecessary delay, to make a correct record of the business transacted in courts has frequently resulted in serious hardships, or injustice, has at times prolonged pending litigation, and has even made subsequent litigation necessary. The purpose, therefore, of the rule is to place the responsibility somewhere to have this work done and to provide that it should be done with reasonable promptness. The rule has been criticized, also, as giving too much time for the preparation of the form of the journal entry. It may be observed that the rule fixes only a maximum time. It would be no violation of the rule if the form for the journal entry were furnished the same day the judgment is rendered, and in many instances it can be done at that time as well as later. Senator T. A. Noftzger and a number of other attorneys of Wichita have filed with the supreme court a discussion of this rule and a request for its modification. The rule was also discussed extensively at the meeting of the State Bar Association on November 1, 1929. The real purpose, however, of all those who discussed the matter is to formulate an efficient method of having a full and complete record made of the business transacted by the court. The only real controversy is as to the form of the rule. One suggestion is that the rule be so framed as to require the preparation of the form of the journal entry and the filing of this with the clerk of the court on the same day as the ruling by the judge. The Judicial Council has no objection to such a provision if it would meet with the approval of the bar. The facts are, however, that in many instances it would prove a real hardship on counsel, and in some cases it could not properly be done so hastily. Another suggestion is that the rule be so framed as to require the clerk of the court to prepare his own form of the entry to be made, and to make the entry in his journal on the day the court rules on the matter, unless counsel asks and obtains permission of the court to write the form of the journal entry, in which event the court would fix a time therefor. This might prove quite satisfactory, but the order of the court, when one is made, is itself a matter which should be shown upon the journal.

As to No. 33, its purpose is that the court might know the form of the entry

to be made in the journal respecting its rulings. The merits of this provision appear to be generally conceded.

With respect to the rules it may be said, in a general way, that only No. 26 and No. 32 have met with any serious adverse criticism. Those with respect to No. 26 are apparently being worked out. With respect to No. 32 it is possible that experience will demonstrate that some change should be made in it. The Judicial Council invites criticism, whether favorable or unfavorable, of these rules, or of any of them, and will not hesitate to recommend modifications of them in any respect deemed advisable. We are authorized to say that the supreme court would not hesitate to modify any of the rules when it is convinced such modification is deemed advisable. They should be given a fair trial with the sincere purpose of court and counsel to have them accomplish the purposes for which they are designed. We are confident that the fair and just interpretation of these rules and conformity to them by the courts and attorneys will result in substantial improvement in our judicial system.

In compliance with the rules promulgated by the supreme court, and especially rule 26, the various district judges throughout the state have filed with the clerk of the supreme court their orders fixing one or more days in each month, except July and August, in each county, division, or place where court is held, for the purpose of hearing motions, etc., as the rule provides. From these orders filed with the clerk of the supreme court we have compiled a tabulation for the state as a whole, which is as follows:

MOTION DAYS IN DISTRICT COURTS.

COUNTY.	County seat.	Judge.	Clerk.	No. Jud. Dist.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May.	June.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
Allen*	Iola.....	Frank R. Forrest.....	Gladys F. Marmont,	37	4 11 18 25	1 8 15	1 8 15 22 29	5 12 19 26	3 10 17 24 31	7 14 21 28	6 13 20 27	4 11 18 25	1 8 15 22 29	6 13 20
Anderson.....	Garnett.....	Hugh Means.....	Lyda Ayres.....	4	3	1	3	3	1	9	5	13	1	4
Atchison.....	Atchison.....	W. A. Jackson.....	Joe C. Seibel.....	2	4 11 18 25	1 8 15 22	1 8 15 22 29	5 12 19 26	3 10 17 24 31	7 14 21 28	6 13 20 27	4 11 18 25	1 8 15 22 29	6 13 20 27
Barber.....	Medicine Lodge.....	Geo. L. Hay.....	Edith Myers.....	24	9	10	22	28	16	9	6	27	6	5
Barton.....	Great Bend.....	Ray H. Beals.....	S. M. Kellam.....	20	4	1	4	5	3	3	6	6	18	2
Bourbon.....	Fort Scott.....	Charles F. Trinkle.....	Burt Moore.....	6	4 11 18 25	1 8 15 22	1 8 15 22 29	5 12 19 26	3 10 17 24 31	7 14 21 28	6 13 20 27	4 11 18 25	1 8 15 22 29	6 13 20 27
Brown.....	Hiawatha.....	C. W. Ryan.....	Lute Rogers.....	22	28	25	25	22	27	24	23	28	25	23
Butler.....	El Dorado.....	A. T. Ayers; Geo. J. Benson.....	Harvey L. Songer...	13	4	1	1	1	1	2	12	1	1	8
Chase.....	Cottonwood Falls.....	Lon C. McCarty.....	Clarinda P. Mailen..	5	31	28	28	25	30	27	26	31	28	26
Chautauqua.....	Sedan.....	A. T. Ayers; Geo. J. Benson.....	O. G. Sharp.....	13	17	5	22	7	6	5	1	4	5	1
Cherokee.....	Columbus.....	John W. Hamilton.....	W. B. Clarent..... (Galena, Division)	11	7 9	4 6	4 6	8 10	6 8	3 3	2 4	7 9	4 6	2 4
Cheyenne.....	St. Francis.....	E. E. Kite.....	Minnie A. Lawless...	17	24	14	27	7	26	6	12	10	21	1
Clark.....	Ashland.....	Karl Miller.....	May Palmer.....	31	16	13	13	17	15	12	4	9	6	11
Clay.....	Clay Center.....	Fred P. Smith.....	John C. Goheen.....	21	3	7	3	18	2	2	5	3	3	8
Cloud.....	Concordia.....	Thomas Kennett.....	M. Dean Champlin..	12	6	1	4	7	3	3	22	18	14	20
Coffey.....	Burlington.....	Lon C. McCarty.....	Maud Holmes.....	5	27	24	31	28	26	30	29	27	24	29

MOTION DAYS IN DISTRICT COURTS—CONTINUED.

County.	County seat.	Judge.	Clerk.	No. Jud. Dist.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May.	June.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
Comanche.....	Coldwater.....	Karl Miller.....	J. A. Murray.....	31	15	12	12	16	14	11	3	8	5	10
Cowley.....	Winfield.....	O. P. Fuller.....	Anna L. Tonkinson.....	19	6	3	3	7	5	2	1	6	3	1
Crawford.....	Girard.....	L. M. Resler; Geo. F. Beezley.....	Florence F. Stuessi... (Pittsburg, Division)	38	13 20	3 17	3 17	7 21	5 12	2 16	1 15	6 20	3 17	1 15
Decatur.....	Oberlin.....	E. E. Kite.....	Iva M. Millen.....	17	22 24	12	5	3	12	4	10	6 13	4	31
Dickinson.....	Abilene.....	C. M. Clark.....	L. E. Jeffcoat.....	8	6	14	36	19b	10b	2b	8	17	10b	13
Doniphan.....	Troy.....	C. W. Ryan.....	E. C. Winzer.....	22	22	26	26	23	28	25	24	22	26	24
Douglas.....	Lawrence.....	Hugh Means.....	D. F. Smith.....	4	4	3	1	5	5	7	6	4	3	6
Edwards.....	Kinsley.....	Roscoe H. Wilson.....	C. E. Burke.....	33	8	3	5	12	4	6	5	10	3	5
Elk.....	Howard.....	A. T. Ayers; Geo. J. Benson.....	C. M. Richardson.....	13	6	4	21	3	5	4	15	3	4	6
Ellis.....	Hays.....	J. C. Ruppenthal.....	P. E. Dreiling.....	23	20	3	15	12	19	14	9	20	11	8
Ellsworth.....	Ellsworth.....	Dallas Grover.....	J. M. Wilson.....	30	27	24	29	21	31	28	6	13	22	27
Finney.....	Garden City.....	H. E. Walter.....	Ruth S. Ruokol.....	32	8	11	10	8	19	10	2 22	14	14	1
Ford.....	Dodge City.....	Karl Miller.....	Susan A. Evans.....	31	13	10	10	14	12	9	1	6	3	8
Franklin.....	Ottawa.....	Hugh Means.....	Mary O. Stewart.....	4	6	8	8	7	2	6	8	3	16	5
Geary.....	Junction City.....	C. M. Clark.....	Geo. J. Webster.....	8	6b	14b	3	19	19b	2	8b	17b	10	13b
Gove.....	Gove City.....	J. C. Ruppenthal.....	R. B. McNay.....	23	21	17	17	29	13	16	5	2	17	9
Graham**.....	Hill City.....	W. B. Ham.....	Mary E. Overstreet.....	34	3	19	15
Grant.....	New Ulysses.....	G. L. Light.....	Nannie Walker.....	39	6c	7c	8a	14a	19c	9c	29a	13a	24c	15c
Gray.....	Cimarron.....	Karl Miller.....	W. A. Le Van.....	31	18	15	15	19	17	14	6	11	8	13

MOTION DAYS IN DISTRICT COURTS—CONTINUED.

County.	County seat.	Judge.	Clerk.	No. Jud. Dist.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May.	June.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
Greeley	Tribune	H. E. Walter	David R. Mills	32	7	24	12	28	16	3	16	6	17	15
Greenwood	Eureka	A. T. Ayers; Geo. J. Benson	Clyde Divine	13	20	3	20	2	19	3	13	13	3	15
Hamilton	Syracuse	H. E. Walter	Amelia J. Minor	32	11	10	15	12	12	14	6	11	10	20
Harper	Anthony	Geo. L. Hay	Fay M. Pearl	24	13	7	21	14	15	16	5	13	7	4
Harvey	Newton	J. G. Somers	W. E. Grove	9	9	10	13	10	12	5	11	30	10	4
Haskell	Sublette	G. L. Light	Geo. A. Tyler	39	6a	7a	10a	7a	19a	9a	15a	6a	24a	15a
Hodgeman	Jetmore	Roscoe H. Wilson	Frank Phillips	33	3	1	1	9	19	4	3	8	10	3
Jackson	Holton	H. T. Phinney	A. J. Seabold	36	13	1	1	4	5	28	1	6	1	1
Jefferson	Oskaloosa	H. T. Phinney	Charles L. Gentry	36	1	10	3	5	2	2	6	3	3	6
Jewell	Mankato	W. R. Mitchell	Claudia Goodwin	15	11	26	3	18	31	2	19	17	10	31
Johnson	Olathe	G. A. Roberts	Naomi Ayers	10	6		3	7	5	23	2	1	10	15
Kearny	Lakin	H. E. Walter	H. H. Cochran	32	10	3	14	11	5	13	5	10	3	19
Kingman	Kingman	Geo. L. Hay	Nell H. Walter	24	11	8	24	12	17	2	22	11	8	8
Kiowa	Greensburg	Karl Miller	Paul Klug	31	14	11	11	15	13	10	2	7	4	9
Labette	Oswego	W. D. Atkinson	H. F. Welton (Parsons, Division)	16	25 4,18	22 1,15	22 1,15	26 5,19	24 3,17	28 7,21	27 6,20	25 4,18	22 1,15	27 6,20
Lane	Dighton	H. E. Walter	Ora D. Smeltzer	32	7	17	3	15	15	2	9	20	13	16
Leavenworth	Leavenworth	J. H. Wendorf	Florence Pennington	1	4 18	1 15	1 15	5 19	3 17	7 14	6 20	4 18	1 15	6 20
Lincoln	Lincoln	Dallas Grover	Ernest D. Harlow	30	11	17	8	19	19	14	20	11	10	26
Linn	Mound City	Charles F. Trinkle	James Martin	6	6 20	3 17	3 17	7 21	5 19	2 16	1 15	6 20	3 17	1 15

MOTION DAYS IN DISTRICT COURTS—CONTINUED.

County.	County seat.	Judge.	Clerk.	No. Jud. Dist.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May.	June.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
Logan.....	Russell Springs.....	J. C. Ruppenthal.....	Alfred Rogge.....	23	22	18	21	7	13	7	1	3	1	1
Lyon.....	Emporia.....	Lon C. McCarty.....	Laura E. Miller.....	5	29	26	26	30	28	25	24	29	26	31
Marion.....	Marion.....	C. M. Clark.....	H. D. Cornelson.....	8	18	3	15	14	5	21	2	6	3	20
Marshall.....	Marysville.....	Fred R. Smith.....	A. B. Campbell.....	21	1	4	6	3	6	16	4	7	7	4
McPherson.....	McPherson.....	J. G. Somers.....	Matson Murray.....	9	10	14	10	11	16	2	12	31	14	1
Meade.....	Meade.....	Karl Miller.....	Lottie Stamper.....	31	17	14	14	18	16	13	5	10	7	12
Miami.....	Paola.....	G. A. Roberds.....	Thelma Rand.....	10	25	3	10	14	26	2	29	6	3	1
Mitchell.....	Beloit.....	W. R. Mitchell.....	John W. Hayes.....	15	13	24	15	21	10	28	22	18	3	29
Montgomery.....	Independence.....	Jos. W. Holdren.....	G. V. Blackford (At Coffeyville)	14	4 18	1 15	1 15	5 19	3 17	7 21	6 20	4 18	1 15	6 20
Morris.....	Council Grove.....	C. M. Clark.....	Nellie M. Prather.....	8	185	15	14	7	17	16	26	18	36	1
Morton.....	Richfield.....	G. L. Light.....	J. E. Saunders.....	39	7c	10a	7a	8a	20c	10c	1a	7a	25c	16c
Nemaha.....	Seneca.....	C. W. Ryan.....	Alice Haner.....	22	27	24	24	28	26	23	22	27	24	22
Neosho.....	Erie.....	S. C. Brown.....	Emery W. Nobles.....	7	4	11	11	5	13	10	6	14	11	9
Ness.....	Ness City.....	Roscoe H. Wilson.....	Martha Borthwick.....	33	7	5	3	8	1	2	1	7	6	1
Norton.....	Norton.....	E. E. Kite.....	Pearl Thompson.....	17	13 21	11	4	2 21	8	3	1 9	8	6	30
Osage.....	Lyndon.....	Carey E. Carroll.....	Floyd T. Hepworth.....	35	6	3	11	7	5	10	1	6	11	1
Osborne.....	Osborne.....	W. R. Mitchell.....	B. F. Beeson.....	15	6	3	21	19	12	27	20	20	1	30
Ottawa.....	Minneapolis.....	Dallas Grover.....	Nell Erbrant.....	30	13	15	22	7	10	21	27	27	29	30
Pawnee.....	Larned.....	Roscoe H. Wilson.....	Rose Mason.....	33	11	6	4	7	3	7	2	6	8	6

MOTION DAYS IN DISTRICT COURTS—CONTINUED.

County.	County seat.	Judge.	Clerk.	No. Jud. Dist.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May.	June.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
Phillips	Phillipsburg	E. E. Kite	W. N. McIlvain	17	20	3	3	1	5	2	8 15	7	5	29
Pottawatomie	Westmoreland	H. T. Phinney	Chas. S. Smith	36	3	7	7	1	1	27	2	2	7	2
Pratt	Pratt	Geo. L. Hay	Lucille Turner	24	10	6	10	11	19	10	8	10	10	6
Rawlins	Atwood	E. E. Kite	Bessie Finley	17	23	13	6 17	4	19	5	11	9	3	
Reno	Hutchinson	J. G. Somers	Carl A. Richardson	9	4 11 18 25	1 8 15 22	1 8 15 22 29	5 12 17 26	3 10 17 24 31	7 14 21 28	6 13 20 27	4 11 18 25	1 8 15 22 29	6 13 20 27
Republic	Belleville	Thomas Kennett	Wm. R. Goodwin	12	7	3	1	8	5	4	19	20	15	19
Rice	Lyons	Ray H. Beals	Nancy E. Gregg	20	7	3	1	1	5	7	2	4	1	6
Riley	Manhattan	Fred R. Smith	C. E. Wood	21	6	1	1	7	3	7	2	4	1	6
Rooks***	Stockton	W. B. Ham	Geo. F. Crane	34	13				5		1			
Rush	La Crosse	Roseoe H. Wilson	W. G. Hopkins	33	6	4	8	1	2	3	6	11	7	2
Russell	Russell	J. C. Ruppenthal	John G. Banker	23	6	15	14	5	5	13	8	6	10	8
Saline	Salina	Dallas Grover	G. W. Bergsten	30	4	10	10	5	17	16	8	10	24	29
Scott	Scott City	H. E. Walter	C. A. Easley	32	20	17	12	14	15	3	8	6	13	16
Sedgewick	Wichita	J. E. Alexander, first. T. W. Sargent, second. Grover Pierpont, third. L. N. Williams, fourth.	A. E. Jacques (1st and 3d Divs.) (2nd and 4th Divs.)	18	4 18 25	1 15	1 15	5 19	3 17	7 21	6 20	4 11	1 8	6 15 22
Seward	Liberal	G. L. Light	H. W. Lane	39	13a	15a	15a	19a	26a	21a	20a	18a	10a	20a

MOTION DAYS IN DISTRICT COURTS—CONTINUED.

County.	County seat.	Judge.	Clerk.	No. Jud. Dist.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May.	June.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
Shawnee	Topeka	Geo. A. Kline, first. G. A. Whitcomb, second. Otis E. Hungate, third.	Carrie Bush	3	4 25	15	8 29	19	10 31	21	13	4 25	15	6 27
Sheridan**	Hoxie	W. B. Ham	Iva M. Edwards	34	18	8	1 22	12	3 24	14	6 27	18	8 29	20
Sherman**	Goodland	W. B. Ham	Bertha Kempton	34	21	21	7	2	6
Smith	Smith Center	W. R. Mitchell	Zona Lewis	15	10	25	24	1	29	16	18	16	6	1
Stafford	St. John	Ray H. Beals	Gertrude Bartle	20	6	4	3	7	6	2	1	7	3	1
Stanton	Johnson	G. L. Light	A. L. Helmick	39	7a	24a	7c	7c	20a	10a	8a	6c	25a	16a
Stevens	Hugoion	G. L. Light	John F. Fulkerson	39	27a	8a	24a	8c	17a	11a	30a	27a	26a	17a
Sumner	Wellington	Wendell Ready	Lura Plumb	25	9	6	6	3	8	5	4	2	6	4
Thomas***	Colby	W. B. Ham	M. C. Knudson	34	17	16	3
Trego	Wakeney	J. C. Ruppenthal	J. F. Barclay	23	21	17	3	28	12	2	6	1	3	9
Wabaussee	Alma	Carey E. Carroll	Mrs. M. H. Barnes	35	3	4	7	4	6	6	5	7	7	5
Wallace	Sharon Springs	J. C. Ruppenthal	Ida Ward	23	22	18	22	21	13	6	15	4	1	15
Washington	Washington	Thomas Kennett	Geo. W. Dickson	12	8	4	3	5	6	2	20	21	17	18
Wichita	Leoti	H. E. Walter	Anna G. Denning	32	13	25	12	21	16	3	16	27	18	15
Wilson	Fredonia	S. C. Brown	W. H. Timmons	7	7	4	17	1	6	3	2	7	17	2
Woodson**	Yates Center	Frank R. Forrest	Myrtle Smith	37	3 10 14 17 24 31	7 14 21 28	7 14 21 28	4 11 18 25	2 9 16 23	6 13 20 27	5 12 19 26	3 10 17 24 31	7 14 21 28	5 12 19

MOTION DAYS IN DISTRICT COURTS—CONCLUDED.

County.	County seat.	Judge.	Clerk.	No. Jud. Dist.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May.	June.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
Wyandotte.....	Kansas City.....	E. L. Fischer, first division..... Clyde C. Glandon, second division Wm. H. McCamish, third division C. A. Miller, fourth division	Walter F. Mathis.....	29	4	1	1	5	3	7	6	4	1	6
					11	8	8	12	10	14	13	11	8	13
					18	15	15	19	17	21	20	18	15	20
					25	22	22	26	24	28	27	25	22	27

a=10:00 a. m. b =1:30 p. m. c=2:00 p. m.

NOTE.—The four divisions of the court in Wyandotte county work with three jury divisions and one "Law Division," which is rotated among the judges. The "Law Division" has a motion day each week. The day of the week is designated by the judge at the beginning of the term. Except as modified by the work of the "Law Division," the motion days are as shown in the above tabulation.

NOTE.—For the months of July and August, in the judicial districts having two or more divisions, one or more of the judges holds court for the hearing of matters needing prompt attention, and in all the judicial districts some provision is made for the hearing of urgent matters. The days for such hearing are not stated in the above schedule. Parties interested should take the matter up with the judge or clerk of the court with respect to the time of hearing. In a few districts there is a publication, such as the "Legal News," in Shawnee county, in which notice is given of matters not covered by the above schedule.

NOTE.—* Motion days are as stated in tabulation except when jury or court cases are being heard in Woodson county.

NOTE.—** Motion days are as stated in tabulation except when jury in court cases are being heard in Allen county.

NOTE.—*** For dates other than shown in the tabulation consult the judge or clerk of the court.

ADDITIONAL SUGGESTIONS FROM STATE BAR
ASSOCIATION.

At the meeting of the State Bar Association held November 1 and 2, 1929, its committee on amendment of laws and uniform legislation made a report by Senator Benj. F. Hegler, its chairman. Two of the recommendations of this committee were by the State Bar Association referred to the Judicial Council for study and recommendation. We embody them here in order that the Judicial Council may have the advice of the bar of the state with respect to them. The first of these recommendations was prompted by the decisions of the supreme court, especially *Dresser v. Bank*, 101 Kan. 401, holding that the probate court is without authority to appoint an administrator of an estate of a deceased person unless such person was a resident of the county at the time of his death, and that a decision of the probate court that such person was a resident of the county of the court at the time of his death is open to collateral inquiry for the purpose of showing lack of jurisdiction of the court to make the appointment. With respect to this the committee recommended "the adoption of legislation declaring that the action of the probate court which first determines the residence of the deceased to be within its county and grants letters of administration shall not be brought into question on account of the question of the residence in any collateral attack, or in any other manner than by review of said order by petition or objections filed in the probate court, or by appeal from said order."

The second recommendation of this committee so referred was the adoption of an act conferring rule-making authority on the courts and suggesting the adoption of an act similar to the Wisconsin act, which reads as follows:

"The supreme court shall, by rules promulgated by it from time to time, regulate pleading, practice and procedure in judicial proceedings in all the courts of the state, for the purpose of simplifying the same and of promoting the speedy determination of litigation on its merits. Such rules shall not abridge, enlarge or modify the substantive rights of any litigant. Such rules shall not become effective until sixty days after their adoption by said court. All such rules shall be printed by the state printer and paid for out of the state treasury, and the court shall direct the same to be distributed as it may deem proper. All statutes relating to pleading, practice and procedure shall have force and effect only as rules of court and shall remain in effect unless and until modified or suspended by rules promulgated pursuant thereto. No rule modifying or suspending such statutory rules shall be adopted until the court has held a public hearing with reference thereto, notice of which shall be given by publication for four successive weeks in the official state paper, the expense of such publication to be paid out of the state treasury. Nothing in this section shall abridge the right of the legislature to enact, modify or repeal statutes or rules relating to pleading, practice or procedure."

The Judicial Council will be glad to give both of these questions careful consideration and embody our recommendations therein in our next report to the governor. In the meantime we will be glad to hear from the bench and bar of the state with respect to them. We might say at this time, as to the first recommendation, that this and many other matters which are now open to question with respect to probate courts could be properly handled by the adop-

tion of the act designed to reorganize the courts of our state inferior to the district court suggested at page 26 of this report.

With respect to the second suggestion it may be said that this is in line with much of the thought expressed concerning methods of improving our judicial system. About all that is really needed along that line and that can be done without amendment of the constitution is for the legislature to pass an act recognizing in some definite form the power and authority of the court to make rules respecting the procedure in all the courts as distinct from the authority of the legislature to provide such rules by statute. So far as our constitution is concerned, it contains nothing now that prohibits the court from doing that, but for more than half a century our supreme court has recognized, applied and enforced rules of procedure provided by statute enacted by the legislature, and to that extent has recognized the authority of the legislature in that matter. Although it were otherwise deemed prudent, it would at least be embarrassing to the supreme court at this time in the history of our state to adopt rules of procedure conflicting with statutes relating to the specific matter without some such declaration from the legislature.

RECOMMENDATIONS OF CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY CHANGES.

In our 1928 report we recommended that in order for our state to have as efficient a judicial system as it is reasonably possible for us to have there should be a general reconstruction of our constitutional and statutory provisions relating to the judiciary. (See page 9 *et seq.* of our 1928 report.) We were asked to draft the measures to carry out our recommendations. We did not complete the draft for the constitutional changes. Since but three propositions to amend the constitution can be submitted at one time a question arose as to how our suggestions could best be incorporated in the constitution. If the word "proposition," as used in sec. 1, art. XIV, is tantamount to the word "subject," as used in sec. 16, art. II, perhaps the entire article relating to the judiciary could be submitted as one proposition. Our time to devote to the matter was so limited that we did not determine that question, nor the draft of a proposed amendment. A resolution for the calling of a constitutional convention was adopted by the senate, but failed in the house, so nothing was accomplished along that line.

The Judicial Council prepared several bills designed to improve our judicial system. These will now be discussed.

We thought the efficiency of our jury system could be improved by a more intelligent selection of persons for jury service. To accomplish this purpose we prepared the following bill:

AN ACT relating to the selection of jurors, creating a board of jury commissioners, and repealing sections 43-101, 43-102, 43-103 of the Revised Statutes of 1923.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

SECTION 1. That in all counties of this state having a population of less than 90,000 there is hereby created a board of jury commissioners which shall be composed of the judge of the district court, the clerk of the district court

and the probate judge. Such jury commissioners shall, prior to November first of each year, advise the trustee of each organized township and the mayor of any city not included in any corporate limits of any township, either orally or in writing, as to the duties of such officers in compiling the list of jurors hereinafter provided for. Pursuant to such instructions and advice each of such trustees and mayors in each county shall, during the month of November of each year, make a list of persons to serve as jurors for the ensuing year as hereinafter provided.

Sec. 2. They shall select from those assessed on the assessment roll for the current year suitable persons having the qualifications of electors, and in making such selection they shall choose only those who are not exempt from serving on juries and who are possessed of good moral character and of proved integrity, in possession of their natural faculties, with a good knowledge of the English language, who are not infirm or decrepit, and who are well informed and free from legal exceptions. Such selection shall be in the proportion of two persons for each fifty inhabitants of such township or city: *Provided*, That no person shall be selected as such juror who, either in person or by any other means, shall solicit his selection as such.

Sec. 3. In making such selection each person who shall have served as a juror in a court of record within the year next preceding such selection shall be excluded from a list of jurors for the then ensuing calendar year, and if any such person shall be selected or drawn it shall be the duty of the court to which such juror shall be summoned to strike the names of such persons from the list of jurors, and it shall be good cause of challenging any juror that such juror shall have served as a juror in any court of record during the year preceding any such selection, and no juror called or summoned who shall have so served during such preceding year shall draw any pay for more than one day during the term of court to which he shall be so summoned. A list of the persons so selected shall be immediately after such selection certified by the officers making the same to the county clerk of such county. Such lists shall be accompanied by a written statement made by the officer preparing the same setting forth the correct name, age, occupation and general characteristics of each person whose name shall appear on such lists, together with such other information as such officer may deem of value in determining the fitness and qualification of such person as a juror. Within thirty days after the certification of such list the board of jury commissioners shall examine the same, inquire into the qualifications and general fitness of such persons as jurors, and shall select therefrom the name of one person for each fifty inhabitants of each township and each city not included in any corporate limits of any township in the county, and such list shall be filed with the county clerk.

Sec. 4. That sections 43-101, 43-102 and 43-103 of the Revised Statutes of 1923 be and the same are hereby repealed.

Sec. 5. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its publication in the statute book.

This bill was introduced in the house by the committee on judiciary, and passed that body. It did not pass the senate and did not become a law.

We prepared a bill amending several provisions of the code of civil procedure as follows:

AN ACT relating to civil procedure, amending sections 60-3001, 60-3309, 60-3312, 60-3314 of the Revised Statutes of 1923, and repealing said original sections, and also repealing section 60-3313 of the Revised Statutes of 1923.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

SECTION 1. That section 60-3001 of the Revised Statutes of 1923 be amended so as to read as follows: A new trial is a reexamination in the same court of issues of law or of fact which arose, or were determined, in the trial of the case, after a verdict by a jury, report of a referee, or a decision by the court. The former verdict, report or decision shall be vacated and a new trial granted,

on the application of the party aggrieved, when it appears that the rights of the party are substantially affected:

First. Because of abuse of discretion of the court, misconduct of the jury or party, or accident or surprise which ordinary prudence could not have guarded against, or for any other cause whereby the party was not afforded a reasonable opportunity to present his evidence and be heard on the merits of the case.

Second. Erroneous rulings or instructions of the court.

Third. That the verdict, report or decision was given under the influence of passion or prejudice.

Fourth. That the verdict, report or decision is in whole or in part contrary to the evidence.

Fifth. For newly discovered evidence material for the party applying, which he could not, with reasonable diligence, have discovered and produced at the trial.

Sixth. That the verdict, report or decision was procured by the corruption of the party obtaining it. In this case the new trial shall be granted as a matter of right, and all the costs made in the case up to the time of granting the new trial shall be charged to the party obtaining the decision, report or verdict.

Sec. 2. That section 60-3309 of the Revised Statutes of 1923 be amended so as to read: The appeal shall be perfected within two months after the rendition of the judgment or order appealed from, and security for costs in cases appealed to the supreme court shall be given within such time and in such manner as such court, by rule or special order, may provide: *Provided*, however, that appeals from judgments and appealable orders entered or rendered before this act shall take effect may be perfected within six months from the date of such judgment or order.

Sec. 3. That section 60-3313 of the Revised Statutes of 1923 be amended so as to read: In all cases in which a transcript of the evidence is not necessary in order to review the questions presented on appeal, the abstract of appellant shall be served on the opposing party or his counsel and filed in the supreme court within thirty days after the notice of appeal is filed with the clerk of the trial court, and in all cases in which a transcript of the testimony is necessary to present the questions presented on appeal the abstract of appellant shall be so served and filed within four months after the notice of appeal is filed with the clerk of the trial court. The abstract of the appellant shall contain a synopsis of so much and of such parts of the pleadings, record, evidence and proceedings in the case as appellant deems necessary for the consideration of the court. If appellee deems the abstract of appellant to be insufficient to present the questions for review he may, within thirty days after the service upon him of appellant's abstract, serve upon appellant, or his counsel, and file with the clerk of the supreme court a counter abstract. Abstracts not challenged shall be deemed accurate and sufficiently complete to present the questions sought to be reviewed. In the event the accuracy of any abstract is challenged the court shall make such an order as the nature of the case and justice warrant. Abstracts shall be printed unless, on application therefor and for good cause shown, the court orders that they be presented otherwise. The abstract may be bound separately or with the brief, as the party presenting the same desires.

Sec. 4. That section 60-3314 of the Revised Statutes of 1923 be amended so as to read: When notice of appeal has been served in a case and the appellee desires to have a review of rulings and decisions of which he complains, he shall, within twenty days after the notice of appeal is filed with the clerk of the trial court, give notice to the adverse party, or his attorney of record, of his cross appeal and file the same with the clerk of the trial court, who shall forthwith forward a duly attested copy of it to the clerk of the supreme court.

Sec. 5. When a party appeals from a final judgment he may have reviewed any ruling adverse to him which was made at any time in the case.

Sec. 6. That sections 60-3001, 60-3309, 60-3312, 60-3313, and 60-3314 of the Revised Statutes of 1923, be and the same are hereby repealed.

SEC. 7. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its publication in the official state paper.

This bill was introduced in the senate, but did not pass that body and did not become a law. The changes in the present statute provided by the bill are as follows: Section 1 defines a new trial as the reëxamination in the same court of issues of law or of fact. This would clarify the situation in which a motion for a new trial is necessary, concerning which there is now quite a little confusion. It would require the filing of a motion for a new trial whether the appeal be taken from a decision on a question of law or of fact. Section 2 is to shorten the time for filing the notice of appeal to two months, while the present statute provides six months. We see no reason why a party may not be able to determine within two months whether he desires to appeal the case. Section 3 shortens the time of filing an abstract in the supreme court in a case which is appealed to that court to thirty days when the appeal is taken on the pleadings or on a question that does not require a transcript of the evidence. Where all that is necessary to prepare an abstract is to abstract the pleadings on file there is no reason why that could not be furnished within that time. In the event a transcript of the testimony is necessary more time is given. These proposed changes in sections 2 and 3 are to facilitate the early disposition of the case. Section 4 requires an appellee who desires a question reviewed in a case which had been appealed by his opponent to file a notice to that effect with the clerk of the court. Under the present statute he may file it with his brief in the supreme court. These briefs are frequently filed only a few days before the case is presented, which gives the appellant but little opportunity to meet the questions sought to be reviewed by the appellee. Section 5 permits a party to have reviewed any ruling adverse to him that has been made at any time in the progress of the case. Now a party who desires to appeal from law questions in the early stages of the case must take an appeal upon that point before the case is disposed of in the court below.

We also prepared a bill amending several sections of the code of criminal procedure as follows:

AN ACT relating to procedure in criminal cases, amending sections 62-1402, 62-1403, 62-1405, 62-1420 of the Revised Statutes of 1923, and repealing said original sections, and repealing section 62-1404 of the Revised Statutes of 1923.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

SECTION 1. That section 62-1402 of the Revised Statutes of 1923 be amended so as to read as follows: Each defendant in an indictment or information shall be entitled to peremptory challenge of jurors as follows: *First*, If the offense charged is a felony, to the number of six, and no more. *Second*, If the offense charged is a misdemeanor, to the number of three, and no more.

SEC. 2. That section 62-1403 of the Revised Statutes of 1923 be amended so as to read as follows: In all criminal trials the state may challenge peremptorily the same number of jurors allowed the defendant, or defendants, by the preceding section.

SEC. 3. That section 62-1405 of the Revised Statutes of 1923 be amended so as to read as follows: No person shall be retained as a juror whose answers to questions propounded by counsel or the court disclose that he has any opinion, bias, or prejudice which would prevent him from giving both to the prosecution and to the defendant a fair and impartial trial, or whose physical

infirmity or lack of knowledge of the English language would prevent him from comprehending the business being conducted in court.

SEC. 4. That section 62-1420 of the Revised Statutes of 1923 be amended so as to read as follows: No person shall be rendered incompetent to testify in criminal causes by reason of his being the person injured or defrauded, or intended to be injured or defrauded, or that would be entitled to satisfaction for the injury, or is liable to pay the costs of the prosecution; or by reason of his being the person on trial or examination; or by reason of his being the husband or wife of the accused; but any such facts may be shown for the purpose of affecting his or her credibility: *Provided*, That no person on trial or examination, nor wife or husband of such person, shall be required to testify except as a witness on behalf of the person on trial or examination: *And further provided*, That the neglect or refusal of the person on trial to testify, or of a wife to testify in behalf of her husband, shall not raise any presumption of guilt, nor shall that circumstances be referred to by any attorney prosecuting in the case, nor shall the same be considered by the court or jury before whom the trial takes place. The violation of this proviso shall require the granting of a new trial.

SEC. 5. That sections 62-1402, 62-1403, 62-1404, 62-1405, and 62-1420 of the Revised Statutes of 1923 be and the same are hereby repealed.

SEC. 6. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its publication in the official state paper.

This bill was introduced in the senate, but did not pass that body, nor become a law. Section 1 provides the number of challenges for defendant in a criminal case. Perhaps there should have been a further classification of felonies, but we thought best to leave that to the legislative committee. Section 2 provides that the state may have as many peremptory challenges as the defendant. We see no reason why that should not be true. Section 3 was designed to clarify the statute as to those who should be retained as jurors. The principal change provided by section 4 was that the violation of the last proviso should require the granting of a new trial. As at present construed its violation is regarded as error, but reversible only in the event defendant can show that he is prejudiced. But the nature of the matter is such that there is no practical way of determining what influence the violation of the provision has on the jury. The proviso should either be omitted from the law or made effective.

We have no specific statute in this state on criminal conspiracy. Prosecutions designed to reach that offense must be upon a charge of attempt to commit an offense, or upon a charge of aiding and abetting. At the best these statutes are frequently inadequate, and in some instances cannot be made to apply. We therefore drew a bill based on the federal statute on that question, which has proved very helpful in federal criminal prosecutions. The bill drawn is as follows:

AN ACT relating to the offense of conspiracy and providing punishment therefor.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

SECTION 1. If two or more persons conspire either to commit an offense against the state of Kansas, or to defraud the state of Kansas, or any of its boards or commissions, or any political subdivision thereof, in any manner or for any purpose, and one or more of such parties do any act to effect the object of the conspiracy, each of the parties to such conspiracy shall be deemed to be guilty of an offense and shall be fined not more than five thousand dollars, or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

SEC. 2. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its publication in the official state paper.

This bill was introduced in the senate, but did not pass that body and did not become a law.

Some recognized evils have grown up in the practice respecting pleadings in actions for divorce. To avoid these we prepared a bill relating thereto as follows:

AN ACT relating to procedure in actions for divorce or alimony, or both, and supplementing section 60-1501 of the Revised Statutes of 1923.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

SECTION 1. That in all actions for divorce, or for alimony, or for both divorce and alimony, the petition, or cross petition, shall allege the causes relied upon, as nearly as possible in the language of the statute (R. S. 60-1501), and without detailed statement of facts. If the opposing party desires a statement of facts relied upon, the same shall be furnished to him by the petitioner, or cross petitioner, in a bill of particulars. A copy of this bill of particulars shall be furnished to the court and shall constitute the specific facts upon which the action is tried. The statements therein shall be regarded as being denied by the adverse party, except as they may be admitted. The bill of particulars shall not be filed with the clerk of the district court, nor become a part of the records of such court, but if the action be appealed, and the questions sought to be reviewed relate to the facts set forth in the bill of particulars, it shall be embodied in the abstract for the supreme court.

SEC. 2. This act is supplemental to section 60-1501 of the Revised Statutes of 1923.

SEC. 3. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its publication in the official state paper.

This bill was introduced in the house by the committee on judiciary and passed that body. It did not pass the senate and did not become a law.

Our statute relating to appeals from justice of the peace courts in civil cases is in some confusion and frequently works injustice. In an effort to avoid that we prepared a bill relating to such appeals as follows:

AN ACT relating to appeals from justices of the peace and city and county courts in civil cases, and repealing sections 61-1001, 61-1002 and 60-1003 of the Revised Statutes of 1923.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

SECTION 1. All appeals from justice of the peace and city and county courts in civil cases shall be by notice of appeal specifying the order, ruling, decision or judgment complained of, and shall be filed in the court from which the appeal is taken within ten days from the date of such order, ruling, decision or judgment.

SEC. 2. The party appealing shall file a good and sufficient bond in the court from which the appeal is taken to secure the costs of the appeal, unless, by reason of his poverty, he is unable to give security for costs, which fact shall be shown by affidavit filed in said court at the time the appeal is taken. And thereupon the appeal shall be deemed perfected.

SEC. 3. The following orders, rulings, decisions and judgments are appealable by notice of appeal, to wit:

First. A final judgment,

Second. Any order or decision which determines the action at any stage of the proceeding.

Third. That sustains or overrules a motion to dissolve an attachment or to discharge a garnishment.

Fourth. That sustains or overrules a motion to vacate the levy under an execution on property claimed to be exempt under the laws of this state.

SEC. 4. The justice or judge from whose court the appeal is taken shall forthwith make up a complete transcript of all proceedings before him, and transmit the same, together with all papers in the case, to the clerk of the district court of his county. The district court shall try and determine the same as if originally filed therein, and may, in its discretion, order further or amended pleadings to be filed therein.

SEC. 5. The taking of the appeal provided in this act shall not stay proceedings for the enforcement of the final judgment unless the party appealing shall, within ten days from the date of the judgment, enter into an undertaking with at least one good and sufficient surety, to be approved by the justice or judge of the city or county court, in not less than double the amount of the judgment and costs, conditioned that he will prosecute the appeal without unnecessary delay and satisfy the judgment which may be rendered against him: *Provided, however,* That an appeal from an order or decision which sustains or overrules a motion to dissolve an attachment, or to discharge a garnishment, or to vacate the levy under an execution on property claimed to be exempt, shall stay all further proceedings therein without giving a bond as provided in this section. *And provided further,* That the taking of the appeal provided for by this act shall not stay proceedings to enforce judgments in actions of forcible entry and detainer.

SEC. 6. That sections 61-1001, 61-1002 and 61-1003 of the Revised Statutes of 1923 be and the same are hereby repealed.

SEC. 7. This act shall take effect and be in full force from and after its publication in the statute book.

This bill was introduced in the house by the committee on judiciary and passed that body. It failed to pass the senate and did not become a law.

In collecting data from district and probate courts we discovered that there is a lack of uniformity in such courts throughout the state with respect to the books kept, and more specifically with respect to the entries made therein. In order to have uniformity in that matter and also, which is the more important, that the books as kept and records made therein be adequate, complete and proper records for such courts, we prepared a bill relating to that subject as follows:

AN ACT relating to books and records of courts of record, authorizing the supreme court to promulgate rules relating thereto, and repealing sections 60-3801, 60-3802, 60-3803, 60-3804, 60-3805, 60-3811, 60-3812, 60-3813 of the Revised Statutes of Kansas of 1923.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

SECTION 1. The supreme court may by rules of the court provide a uniform system of dockets, records and bookkeeping for the district courts, probate courts, and other courts of record of the state, with rules for the making of entries therein to apply to judges, clerks, sheriffs and other court officials.

SEC. 2. It shall be the duty of the judge of any court of record in this state to see that the books and records of the court are kept as prescribed by the rules of the supreme court and that the clerk, and other court officials, promptly make the proper entries therein.

SEC. 3. The clerks of the district court and the clerks of other courts of record shall preserve the records and books and papers of their respective courts and shall record the judgments, decrees, orders and proceedings thereof, and perform such other clerical duties relating to the administration of justice by the court as may be prescribed by uniform rules of the supreme court, or in default thereof by rule or direction of the court for which he is clerk.

SEC. 4. That R. S. of Kansas of 1923, 60-3801, 60-3802, 60-3803, 60-3804, 60-3805, 60-3811, 60-3812 and 60-3813 be and the same are hereby repealed.

SEC. 5. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its publication in the statute book.

This bill was introduced in the house by the committee on judiciary and passed that body. It did not pass the senate and did not become a law.

In order to provide our supreme court with needed additional clerical help, the necessity for which was discussed at pages 11 and 12 of our 1928 report, we prepared a bill relating thereto as follows:

AN ACT relating to supreme court employees, amending section 1, chapter 301, Laws of Kansas, 1927, and repealing said original section.

WHEREAS, The supreme court of this state considers on the merits and decides approximately five hundred cases each year, in each of which a written opinion is filed, and many of which are of great importance to the people of our state, and in addition thereto considers and decides from twelve hundred to fifteen hundred motions relating to cases pending in the court; and

WHEREAS, Much of the work necessarily performed by each of the justices of the court in examining abstracts and briefs and collecting and examining authorities is of a character that can be performed by a competent law clerk, leaving the justices more time for the consideration of important legal questions and increasing the efficiency of the court; therefore,

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

SECTION 1. That section 1 of chapter 301 of the Laws of Kansas of 1927 be amended so as to read as follows: The supreme court is hereby authorized to appoint two bailiffs, each of whom shall receive an annual salary of six hundred dollars; and each of the justices of the supreme court is hereby authorized to appoint a law clerk who shall receive an annual salary of eighteen hundred dollars, and a stenographer who shall receive an annual salary of eighteen hundred dollars: *Provided*, That if any justice of the supreme court is able to find a person who, by education and training, is competent both as a law clerk and as a stenographer, such justice may appoint such person at a salary not exceeding the combined salaries of the law clerk and stenographer, if he deem such selection more conducive to efficiency in the performance of his work on the court.

SEC. 2. Section 1, of chapter 301, Laws of 1927, is hereby repealed.

SEC. 3. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its publication in the official state paper.

An objection was made to this bill on the ground that if one person were selected by a justice of the court competent to act both as a law clerk and a stenographer his salary would be larger than that of an assistant attorney-general. One answer to that is that the assistant attorney-general is not required to be a stenographer, but the more definite answer is that it is immaterial what salary is paid the assistants attorney-general, or to any other employee of the state. The question is, What is the character of clerical help needed by the justices of the supreme court, and what is necessary to be paid to get it? This bill was introduced in the senate, but did not pass that body and did not become a law.

Each of the above measures, while somewhat narrow in its scope, would, if enacted into law, have made a substantial improvement in the efficiency of our judicial system. We are unable to state the reasons why they were not enacted into law further than the fact that they reached the legislature, par-

ticularly the senate, at a time when the session was well advanced and many other matters of importance were pending in which the members were vitally interested. We feel confident that their defeat did not arise because of the careful consideration of the measures upon their merits.

From the information received by the Judicial Council from the courts themselves and from other sources we were convinced that there should be a thorough remodeling of our judicial system below the district court. This was discussed on pages 15 and 16 of our 1928 report. We drew a bill designed for that purpose as follows:

AN ACT relating to the judiciary, creating courts inferior to the district court, limiting the jurisdiction of justices of the peace, and repealing all acts in conflict herewith.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

SECTION 1. In each county in the state there shall be a court known as a probate and county court, which is hereby created, and is to be organized so as to come into existence on the second Monday in January, 1931.

Sec. 2. The probate and county court shall be a court of record and shall have such probate jurisdiction and care of estates of deceased persons, minors and persons of unsound mind as may be provided by law, and shall have jurisdiction in cases of habeas corpus, and in all criminal actions and proceedings in which the punishment may not be confinement in the penitentiary, and to conduct preliminary examinations in all criminal actions in which the offense charged is punishable by confinement in the penitentiary, and shall have jurisdiction in civil actions for the recovery of money only where the amount claimed does not exceed one thousand dollars, and in proceedings for attachment and garnishment; and such other jurisdiction in civil matters as is now conferred upon justices of the peace and probate courts, or the judges thereof.

Sec. 3. The supreme court shall by rule prescribe the procedure for all actions and proceedings in the probate and county court and in appeals therefrom, which rules, when made, shall supersede any statute relating thereto. Where the volume of business is sufficient to justify it the supreme court may by rule create divisions of the probate and county court, and when so divided there shall be a judge for each division, and where the centers of population in a county are such as to justify it the supreme court may by rule provide that the probate and county court may sit in some city other than the county seat. The supreme court shall, before the first Monday of March, 1930, designate divisions of the probate and county court in counties where such is deemed necessary, and the cities other than the county seat in which a division of the probate and county court shall sit, and changes in such divisions shall not be made oftener than once in two years.

Sec. 4. No one shall be qualified to act as judge of a probate and county court who has not been regularly admitted to practice law in this state, or who has not served as a probate judge in this state for as long as five years immediately prior to the beginning of his term or service as judge of the probate and county court. No judge of the probate and county court shall, while serving in this capacity, practice law in any of the courts of the state.

Sec. 5. The salary of the judge of the probate and county court in the various counties of this state shall be as follows: In counties with a population of less than five thousand, \$2,000; in counties with a population from five to ten thousand, \$2,400; in counties with a population from ten to twenty-five thousand, \$3,000; in counties with a population of more than twenty-five thousand and not more than sixty thousand, \$3,600, and in counties with a population over sixty thousand, \$4,000, the salaries to be paid by the county in monthly payments. All fees received by the judge of the probate and county court for services performed by virtue of his office, except fees for performing marriage ceremonies, shall be by him paid into the county treasury and become a part of the general fund of the county. The county commis-

sioners shall provide such facilities in the way of a court room, supplies, and clerical and stenographic help as may be necessary properly to conduct the business of the court. The clerical help shall be appointed by the judge, or judges, of the probate and county court and hold their positions at the pleasure of the court.

SEC. 6. On or before the first Monday in March, 1930, the board of county commissioners in each county shall divide the county, outside of the county seat, into not fewer than three nor more than seven magistrate districts, having due regard for the centers of population in the county. There is hereby created in such magistrate districts a magistrate court which shall be organized so as to come into existence on the second Monday of January, 1931. At the general election of 1930, and every two years thereafter, one magistrate shall be elected in each of such magistrate districts, which election shall be for a term of two years, beginning on the second Monday in January after such election.

SEC. 7. Magistrate courts shall have jurisdiction to entertain complaints charging offenses under the laws of the state and issue warrants, including peace warrants and warrants for search and seizure; and where the complaint charges an offense which is a misdemeanor under the laws of the state, and the defendant enters a plea of guilty thereon, to impose the punishment provided by statute. But in the event a plea of not guilty is made, the cause shall be transferred by the magistrate to the probate and county court, where it shall be docketed and proceeded with as though originally brought in that court. And the magistrate court shall have jurisdiction in civil actions only for the recovery of money where the amount claimed does not exceed \$100, and to issue garnishment or attachment in such cases, and to render judgment in the event there is no contest. But in the event the defendant contests the claim of the plaintiff on the merits, or contends that property sought to be taken by garnishment or attachment is exempt in whole or in part, the action shall be transferred to the probate and county court, where it shall be docketed and proceeded with as though originally brought in that court.

SEC. 8. The supreme court shall by rule prescribe the procedure in magistrate courts and in appeals therefrom.

SEC. 9. Each magistrate shall receive a salary, to be paid by the county and to be determined by the board of county commissioners, and which shall not exceed \$300 per year, payable in monthly payments. All fees received by the magistrate by virtue of his official position shall be paid by him into the county treasury to become a part of the general fund of the county.

SEC. 10. All process issued by the probate and county court, or magistrate court, shall be executed by the sheriff.

SEC. 11. On and after the first Monday in January, 1931, justices of the peace in this state shall have no jurisdiction in any case, civil or criminal, except in civil actions for the recovery of money in which the amount claimed does not exceed one dollar.

SEC. 12. The following statutes are hereby repealed, the repeal to take effect on the first Monday of January, 1931: Sections 20-801 to 20-819 inclusive, and sections 20-1401 to 20-2025 inclusive, 80-204, and 80-701 to 80-707 inclusive, of the Revised Statutes of Kansas of 1923, and all acts and parts of acts in conflict herewith.

SEC. 13. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its publication in the statute book.

This bill was introduced in the senate near the close of the legislature. It did not pass that body, nor become a law. It may be observed that it creates a court to be known as the probate and county court in each county, in which all the legal matters in which there is any contest, now handled in the probate, county, city or justice of the peace courts, will be handled, and endeavors to equip such court with a judge competent to handle such work and such other features as necessary properly to conduct the business. It creates also

a system of courts, designated in the bill "magistrate courts" to distinguish them from justice of the peace courts, and gives to them a limited jurisdiction. We deem this sufficient to answer the purposes of any court inferior to the probate and county court. The bill provides that all process from either of these courts be executed by the sheriff, thus eliminating constables, and making all peace officers of the county under one head, that of the sheriff. As to the procedure in such court, the bill authorizes the supreme court to prescribe rules governing such procedure. This would enable the rewriting of the code with reference to probate matters, a thing which is generally regarded as desirable. The bill might have provided that the clerk of the district court would be clerk of the probate and county court, for our constitution (art. III, § 8) no longer requires the probate judge to be the clerk of his own court. Perhaps other beneficial changes could be suggested to the bill, but in general it proposes an exceptionally desirable measure and one which would greatly improve the efficiency of our judicial system.

A word should be said about the appropriation for the payment of the expenses necessary for the work of the Judicial Council. When the Judicial Council was created by chapter 187, Laws of 1927, there was nothing from which the legislature could accurately gauge the sum necessary to pay the expenses of the work outlined by the act to be performed by the Judicial Council. Realizing there would be substantial expenses, however, the legislature, by chapter 85, Laws of 1927, appropriated \$1,000 a year for the biennium beginning July 1, 1927. Before it had completed its work in 1928 the Judicial Council found that the sum appropriated by the act for that year was entirely inadequate to pay the necessary expenses. It made an estimate of its needs and requested an appropriation of \$1,500 for the remainder of the year ending June 30, 1929, and \$6,000 per year for the biennium beginning on that date. This request was investigated by the budget director and recommended by him. The legislature of 1929 followed this recommendation with respect to the \$1,500, but made no appropriation for the expenses of the Judicial Council for the biennium beginning July 1, 1929. This lack of appropriation for the payment of actual expenses has seriously handicapped the work of the Judicial Council and has necessitated a curtailment of the work which should have been expanded. The necessary expense of the Judicial Council since July 1, 1929, has been paid by contributions from its members.

SUMMARIES OF THE WORK OF THE COURTS.

THE SUPREME COURT.

The following is a summary of the work of the supreme court for the year ending July 1, 1929:

Cases pending July 1, 1928—appealed civil 275, criminal 46, original 20; July 1, 1929—appealed civil 313, criminal 44, original 19.

There were 475 appealed civil cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of this number 128 were dismissed without having been presented on the merits, and 347 were submitted on the merits and written opinions filed. Of the 347 submitted on the merits 238 were affirmed, 94 reversed (1 reversed on rehearing, 1 an amplification of former opinion, and 1 reaffirmed on rehearing), 4 modified, 5 modified and affirmed, 1 modified and reversed, 1 in which the case had become moot, 4 affirmed in part, and reversed in part, 2 opinions denying a rehearing of the cases.

The court also disposed of 72 appealed criminal cases. Of this number 29 were dismissed without having been presented on the merits, and 43 were submitted on the merits and written opinions filed. Of this number 39 were affirmed, 3 were reversed, and in 1 the appeal by the state on questions reserved was sustained.

The court also disposed of 36 original cases, of which 18 were dismissed before having been presented on the merits and 18 were submitted on the merits and written opinions filed.

This makes a grand total of 573 cases disposed of by the supreme court, of which 175 were dismissed without having been presented on the merits and 408 were submitted on the merits and written opinions filed therein.

The court also considered and disposed of 1,026 motions. Of this number 606 were in civil cases disposed of prior to their submission on the merits, 159 were post-decision motions and in civil cases disposed of. In criminal cases there were 159 motions disposed of prior to the submission of the case on the merits and 24 post-decision motions. In original cases there were 72 motions disposed of prior to submission on the merits and 6 post-decision motions.

Progress of cases: The data with respect to the progress of cases through the court are grouped below under the headings of civil, criminal, and original cases.

Progress of civil cases disposed of: In the 475 civil appealed cases disposed of, the interim between the date of the judgment appealed from and the date notice of appeal was filed in the trial court was as follows: Less than 30 days, 163 cases; 1 month to 2 months, 102 cases; 2 to 3 months, 50 cases; 3 to 4 months, 40 cases; 4 to 6 months, 85 cases; more than 6 months, 21 cases; date not given, 14 cases.

The time between the date the notice of appeal was filed in the trial court and the date the same was filed in the supreme court was as follows: Within 5 days, 174 cases; 5 to 15 days, 141 cases; 15 to 30 days, 74 cases; 1 to 2 months 47 cases; 2 to 3 months, 11 cases; more than 3 months, 19 cases; date not given, 9 cases.

The time between the filing of the notice of appeal in this court and the date docket fee was filed here was as follows: Within 5 days, 146 cases; 5 to 15 days, 94 cases; 15 to 30 days, 87 cases; 1 to 2 months, 48 cases; 2 to 3 months, 12 cases; more than three months, 21 cases; date not given, 67 cases.

The time between the date the notice of appeal was filed in this court and the date the bond for costs was filed here was as follows: Less than 10 days, 148 cases; 10 to 30 days, 139 cases; 1 to 2 months, 52 cases; 2 to 3 months, 12 cases; more than 3 months, 28 cases; date not given, 95 cases.

The interval between the filing of the notice of appeal in this court and the date appellant's abstract was filed was as follows: Less than 3 months, 122 cases; 3 to 4 months, 95 cases; 4 to 5 months, 52 cases; 5 to 6 months, 45 cases; 6 to 9 months, 41 cases; 9 to 12 months, 6 cases; no date given, 114 cases.

The interval between the filing of the notice of appeal in this court and the time appellant's brief was filed was as follows: Less than 3 months, 49 cases; 3 to 4 months, 27 cases; 4 to 5 months, 44 cases; 5 to 6 months, 80 cases; 6 to 9 months, 131 cases; 9 to 12 months, 15 cases; 12 to 15 months, 5 cases; no date given, 124 cases.

The time between date notice of appeal was filed in this court and the date the case was submitted on its merits was as follows: Less than 3 months, 8 cases; 3 to 4 months, 5 cases; 4 to 5 months, 10 cases; 5 to 6 months, 40 cases; 6 to 9 months, 204 cases; 9 to 12 months, 47 cases; 12 to 15 months, 26 cases; 15 to 18 months, 1 case; more than 18 months, 1 case; date not given, 133 cases.

The interval between date the case was submitted here and the date the opinion was filed was as follows: First opinion day, 237 cases; second opinion day, 14 cases; third opinion day, 1 case; fourth opinion day, 1 case; fifth opinion day, 1 case; date not given, 4 cases.

Of the 128 cases which were dismissed without having been submitted on the merits, the interval between the date the case was filed in the supreme court and the date same was dismissed was as follows: Less than 3 months, 22 cases; 3 to 4 months, 22 cases; 4 to 5 months, 18 cases; 5 to 6 months, 23 cases; 6 to 9 months, 31 cases; 9 to 12 months, 3 cases; more than 12 months, 2 cases; dismissed but revived, 2 cases; date not given, 5 cases.

Pending cases: There were 313 appealed civil cases pending July 1, 1929. The time between the date of judgment appealed from and the date the notice of appeal was filed in the trial court was as follows: Less than 3 months, 105 cases; 1 to 2 months, 43 cases; 2 to 3 months, 39 cases; 3 to 4 months, 28 cases; 4 to 6 months, 57 cases; more than 6 months, 26 cases; no date given, 15 cases.

The interval from the date the notice of appeal was filed in the trial court and the date filed in the supreme court was as follows: Within 5 days, 126 cases; 5 to 15 days, 110 cases; 15 to 30 days, 55 cases; 1 to 2 months, 5 cases; 2 to 3 months, 6 cases; more than 3 months, 7 cases; date not given, 4 cases.

The interval between the filing of the notice of appeal in this court and the date the docket fee was filed here was as follows: Within 5 days, 86 cases; 5 to 15 days, 74 cases; 15 to 30 days, 55 cases; 1 to 2 months, 15 cases; 2 to 3 months, 4 cases; date not given, 79 cases.

The time between the date the notice of appeal was filed in this court and the date bond for costs was filed here was as follows: Less than 10 days, 102 cases; 10 to 30 days, 95 cases; 1 to 2 months, 24 cases; 2 to 3 months, 8 cases; more than 3 months, 5 cases; date not given, 79 cases.

The interval between the filing of notice of appeal in the supreme court and the date appellant's abstract was filed was as follows: Less than 3 months, 49 cases; 3 to 4 months, 33 cases; 4 to 5 months, 27 cases; 5 to 6 months, 13 cases; 6 to 9 months, 6 cases; 9 to 12 months, 5 cases; date not given, 180 cases.

The time between the filing of the notice of appeal in the supreme court and the time appellant's brief was filed was as follows: Less than 3 months, 23 cases; 3 to 4 months, 4 cases; 4 to 5 months, 16 cases; 5 to 6 months, 30 cases; 6 to 9 months, 17 cases; 9 to 12 months, 5 cases; no date given, 218 cases.

The interval between the date notice of appeal was filed in the supreme court and the date case was assigned for hearing on its merits was as follows: Less than 3 months, 5 cases; 3 to 4 months, 1 case; 5 to 6 months, 15 cases; 6 to 9 months, 66 cases; 9 to 12 months, 4 cases; date not given, 222 cases. [Where more than one date of assignment on the merits is given the first date only is used herein.]

Progress of criminal cases disposed of: In the 72 criminal cases disposed of the interval between the date the judgment appealed from to the date of notice of appeal filed in the trial court was as follows: The same day, 18 cases; not the same day but within 10 days, 19 cases; 10 to 30 days, 12 cases; 1 to 2 months, 6 cases; 2 to 3 months, 6 cases; 3 to 6 months, 6 cases; more than 6 months, 2 cases; no date given, 3 cases.

The time from the date notice of appeal was filed in the trial court to date notice of appeal was filed in the supreme court was as follows: Within 5 days, 20 cases; 5 to 15 days, 26 cases; 15 to 30 days, 7 cases; 1 to 2 months, 12 cases; more than 2 months, 4 cases; no date given, 3 cases.

The interim between date notice of appeal was filed in the supreme court to date abstract of appellant filed was as follows: Less than 2 months, 6 cases; 2 to 3 months, 10 cases; 3 to 4 months, 5 cases; 4 to 6 months, 4 cases; 6 to 9 months, 10 cases; 9 to 12 months, 6 cases; date not given, 32 cases.

The interval between date notice of appeal was filed in the supreme court to date counter abstract was filed was as follows: Two to 3 months, 4 cases; 3 to 4 months, 7 cases; 4 to 6 months, 5 cases; 6 to 9 months, 8 cases; 9 to 12 months, 2 cases; 12 to 15 months, 2 cases; more than 15 months, 2 cases.

The time from date notice of appeal was filed in the supreme court to date appellee's brief was filed was as follows: Two to 3 months, 5 cases; 3 to 4 months, 7 cases; 4 to 6 months, 5 cases; 6 to 9 months, 15 cases; 9 to 12 months, 4 cases; 12 to 15 months, 5 cases; more than 15 months, 1 case.

The interval from date notice of appeal was filed in the supreme court to date appeal was dismissed was as follows: Less than 30 days, 1 case; 1 to 2 months, 3 cases; 2 to 3 months, 3 cases; 3 to 4 months, 9 cases; 4 to 6 months, 9 cases; 6 to 9 months, 2 cases; 9 to 12 months, 4 cases; more than 12 months, 2 cases.

The time between date notice of appeal was filed in the supreme court to date case was submitted on its merits was as follows: Two to 3 months, 2 cases; 3 to 4 months, 9 cases; 4 to 6 months, 5 cases; 6 to 9 months, 12 cases; 9 to 12 months, 8 cases; 12 to 15 months, 2 cases; 15 to 18 months, 2 cases; later than 18 months, 3 cases.

The interval between the date case was submitted on its merits to date opinion was handed down: First opinion day, 42 cases; second opinion day, 1 case.

Of the 72 criminal cases disposed of the nature of the offenses charged and their number are as follows: Assault, 3; embezzlement, 1; grand larceny, 3; homicide, 1; larceny, 2; liquor, 29; manslaughter, 2; murder, 7; nuisance, 1; rape, 7; robbery, 2; narcotics, 4; arson, 1; lottery tickets, 1; drunkenness, 1; blackmail, 1; burglary, 3; perjury, 1; theft, 1; no fund check, 1.

Pending: Of the 44 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929, the interim between the date of judgment appealed from to date of notice of appeal filed in the trial court was as follows: Same day, 12 cases; not same day but within 10 days, 10 cases; 10 to 30 days, 11 cases; 1 to 2 months, 6 cases; 2 to 3 months, 2 cases; 3 to 6 months, 1 case.

The time from the date notice of appeal filed in the trial court to date notice of appeal filed in the supreme court was as follows: Within 5 days, 7 cases; 5 to 15 days, 14 cases; 15 to 30 days, 9 cases; 1 to 2 months, 10 cases; more than 2 months, 3 cases; no date given, 1 case.

The interval from date notice of appeal filed in the supreme court to date abstract of appellant filed was as follows: Less than 30 days, 1 case; 1 to 2 months, 3 cases; 2 to 3 months, 1 case; 3 to 4 months, 2 cases; 4 to 6 months, 1 case; 6 to 9 months, 3 cases; 9 to 12 months, 2 cases.

The interval from date notice of appeal filed in the supreme court to date appellant's brief filed was as follows: Less than 2 months, 2 cases; 3 to 4 months, 2 cases; 4 to 6 months, 3 cases; 6 to 9 months, 1 case; 9 to 12 months, 3 cases; more than 12 months, 1 case; appellant's brief not yet filed, 31 cases.

Time from date notice of appeal filed in the supreme court to date counter abstract filed was as follows: Two to 3 months, 1 case; 3 to 4 months, 1 case; 6 to 9 months, 2 cases; counter abstract not yet filed, 40 cases.

The interval from date notice of appeal filed in the supreme court to date appellee's brief filed was as follows: Two to 3 months, 1 case; 3 to 4 months, 1 case; 6 to 9 months, 3 cases; 9 to 12 months, 1 case; 12 to 15 months, 1 case; more than 15 months, 1 case; appellee's brief not yet filed, 36 cases.

The interval from date notice of appeal filed in the supreme court to date case submitted on its merits was as follows: Two to 3 months, 1 case; 6 to 9 months, 4 cases; 12 to 15 months, 2 cases; not yet submitted, 36 cases.

The nature of the offenses charged and their number were as follows: Assault, 1; bad check, 1; violation of the banking laws, 2; embezzlement, 1; forgery, 3; grand larceny, 4; larceny, 3; violation of the intoxicating liquor law, 18; manslaughter, 2; murder, 3; nonsupport, 1; rape, 3; robbery, 2.

Progress of original cases disposed of: Of the 36 original cases disposed of by the supreme court, the time between date the petition or application was filed and the date presented on its merits was as follows: Less than 30 days, 7 cases; 30 to 90 days, 4 cases; 3 months to 6 months, 4 cases; 6 to 9 months, 4 cases; 9 months to 1 year, 1 case; date not given, 16.

The interval between the date the case was presented on its merits and the date decided was as follows: First opinion day, 19 cases; second opinion day, 1 case; date presented on the merits or decision date not given, 16 cases.

The nature of the cases and their number are as follows: Mandamus, 25 cases; quo warranto, 9 cases; habeas corpus, 1 case; disbarment, 1 case.

Pending: The nature of the cases pending and their number are as follows: Mandamus, 6 cases; quo warranto, 3 cases; habeas corpus, 7 cases; disbarment, 3 cases.

Motions: There was a total of 1,026 motions filed during the year ending July 1, 1929. Data with respect to the nature of the motions and their progress through the court are given below under the headings of civil, criminal, and original cases.

Progress of motions in civil cases disposed of prior to submission on the merits: The time between the date the motion was filed in the supreme court and the date the motion was decided was as follows: The same day, 368 cases; not the same day but within 10 days, 109 cases; 10 to 20 days, 32 cases; 20 to 30 days, 16 cases; more than 30 days, 19 cases; no date given, 62 cases.

Post-decision motions disposed of: The interval between the date the motion was filed in the supreme court and the date the motion was decided was as follows: The same day, 19 cases; not the same day but within 10 days, 14 cases; 10 to 20 days, 36 cases; 20 to 30 days, 39 cases; more than 30 days, 34 cases; date not given, 17 cases.

Pending motions prior to submission: The interval between the date the motion was filed in the supreme court and the date the motion was decided was as follows: The same day, 135 cases; not the same day, but within 10 days, 41 cases; 10 to 20 days, 14 cases; 20 to 30 days, 6 cases; more than 30 days, 3 cases; date not given, 11 cases.

There were 606 motions disposed of in civil cases prior to submission of the case. The character of the motions and their number are as follows: To advance, 32; to amend abstract, 1; for bond, 2; to file brief, 3; to consolidate, 6; to continue, 99; to dismiss, 95; for accusation, 1; to modify, 1; no prosecution, 25; objection, 1; for peremptory writ, 1; for rehearing, 1, to reinstate, 61; redemption, 1; to revive, 7; dismissed under rule 2, 1; dismissed under rule 8, 77; to stay, 10; to strike, 9; to set aside, 5; to settle abstract, 2; for suit money, 1; to substitute, 1; stipulation, 5; for time, 154; for transcript, 2; to vacate, 1; to waive time, 1.

The character of the motions prior to the submission of the cases on their merits in pending cases on July 1, 1929, and their number, are as follows: For additional bond, 1; to advance, 19; challenge to abstract, 1; citation, 1; to consolidate, 5; to continue, 65; for contempt, 1; to dismiss, 18; to modify, 2; to revive, 2; to reinstate, 14; dismissed under rule 8, 11; to stay, 9; to set aside, 2; to strike, 3, to submit, 1; to substitute, 1; for time, 54.

The character of post-decision motions in civil cases disposed of for the year ending July 1, 1929, and their number, are as follows: To amend mandate, 3; to issue mandate, 1; to modify, 4; for rehearing, 123; to reconsider, 1; to reset corner stones, 1; to retax, 9; to set aside, 1; for stay, 1; for time, 12; to vacate, 1, and to withdraw, 1.

Progress of motions in criminal cases disposed of prior to submission of case on the merits: The time between the date motion was filed and the time ruled upon was as follows: Less than 5 days, 100 cases; 5 to 10 days, 18 cases; 10 to 20 days, 10 cases; 20 to 30 days, 7 cases; 30 to 60 days, 3 cases; more than 60 days, 2 cases; date not given, 19 cases.

Motions prior to submission of case on the merits, in cases pending: The interval between the date motion was filed and the time ruled upon was as follows: Less than 5 days, 42 cases; 5 to 10 days, 6 cases; 10 to 20 days, 2 cases; 20 to 30 days, 2 cases; date not given, 5 cases.

Post-decision motions: The time intervening between the date motion

was filed and the time ruled upon was as follows: Less than 5 days, 5 cases; 5 to 10 days, 4 cases; 10 to 20 days, 4 cases; 20 to 30 days, 7 cases; 30 to 60 days, 4 cases.

The character of the motions in criminal cases disposed of prior to submission of the case on the merits was as follows: To advance, 1; to abate, 1; for bail, 1; to continue, 91; to dismiss, 49; to release, 1; to reinstate, 13; for stay, 1.

The character of pending motions in criminal cases prior to their submission on the merits and their number were as follows: To continue, 36; to consolidate, 3; to dismiss, 5; to fix bond, 1; to reinstate, 2; to reduce bond, 1; to stay, 1; for time, 7; for transcript, 1.

Progress of motions in original cases disposed of prior to submission of the case on the merits: The time between the date motion was filed in the supreme court and the date decided was as follows: Same day, 32 cases; not the same day but within 10 days, 17 cases; 10 to 20 days, 3 cases; 20 to 30 days, 1 case; more than 30 days, 3 cases; date not given, 16 cases.

Post-decision motions: The interval between the date motion was filed in the supreme court and the date decided was as follows: Same day, 1 case; 10 to 20 days, 3 cases; more than 30 days, 1 case; date not given, 1 case.

Motions in cases pending: The time between the date motion was filed in the supreme court and the date decided was as follows: Same days, 17 cases; not same day but within 10 days, 5 cases; 10 to 20 days, 1 case; 20 to 30 days, 2 cases; more than 30 days, 6 cases; date not given, 19 cases.

The nature of the motions in original civil cases disposed of prior to submission on the merits and their number were as follows: To advance, 13; *amicus curiæ*, 1; to amend accusation, 1; to approve report, 1; for commissioner, 1; to continue, 6; citation, 2; for deposit, 1; to dismiss, 8; for injunction, 1; for judgment, 4; to make parties, 1; no prosecution, 1; passed, 1; peremptory writ, 2; to quash, 8; to reinstate, 2; dismissed under rule 8, 2; for receiver, 2; to strike, 1; for suspension, 3; for time, 9; to withdraw, 1.

The nature of pending motions in original civil cases and their number were as follows: To amend 7; to advance, 4; for commissioner, 3; called, 8; for custody, 1; to make definite and certain, 3; disclaimer, 1; to dismiss, 2; for judgment, 1; to modify and set aside, 2; to quash, 1; for restraining order, 1; to strike, 2; to take testimony, 1; for time, 4; to set aside for deposit, 1; for findings and conclusions, 1; to continue, 6; *amicus curiæ*, 1.

Costs taxed in cases: The data with respect to the cost of cases in the supreme court for the year ending July 1, 1929, are grouped below under the headings of civil, criminal and original cases.

Civil cases disposed of: The total costs in the 475 appealed civil cases which were submitted on the merits were \$12,365.75, an average cost of about \$26.03. The highest amount taxed in any one case was \$494.90, and the lowest amount was \$3.40. In the civil cases disposed of after submission on their merits the costs were as follows: Less than \$10, 100 cases; \$10 to \$25, 261 cases; \$25 to \$50, 64 cases; \$50 to \$100, 26 cases; over \$100, 16 cases. There were eight cases in which costs have not been taxed.

Criminal cases disposed: The total costs in the 72 appealed criminal cases which were submitted on the merits were \$1,478.19, an average cost of about \$20.50. The highest amount taxed in any one case was \$222.45 and

the lowest amount was \$3.95. In the criminal cases disposed of after submission on their merits the costs were as follows: Less than \$10, none; \$10 to \$25, 24 cases; \$25 to \$50, 16 cases; \$50 to \$100, 2 cases; more than \$100, 1 case. In cases dismissed the costs were as follows: Less than \$5, 4 cases; \$5 to \$10, 16 cases; \$10 to \$25, 8 cases; no costs given, 1 case.

Original cases disposed of: The total costs in the 36 original cases in the supreme court were \$1,991.83, an average cost of about \$55.33. The highest amount taxed in any one case was \$1,249.82. [In this case a commissioner was appointed and his salary and expenses amounted to \$811.03 of this amount, the balance, \$438.79, being the regular costs taxed in the case.] The lowest amount in any one case was \$2.45. In the original cases disposed of the costs were as follows: Less than \$10, 10 cases; \$10 to \$25, 18 cases; \$25 to \$50, 1 case; \$50 to \$100, 3 cases; more than \$100, 2 cases; not reported, 2 cases.

Commissioners were appointed in three of the original cases brought to this court.

SUMMARY BY JUDICIAL DISTRICTS.

The following is a statement in the form of a summary as to each of the judicial districts, separately, of the business transacted and pending in the district courts, made from reports received from the clerks of the courts. In this we have included the area in square miles of the judicial district; also the population furnished us by the secretary of the State Board of Agriculture for 1929, and the assessed value for that year as furnished by the state tax commissioner.

FIRST DISTRICT.

HON. J. H. WENDORFF, of Leavenworth, Judge.

FLORENCE PENNINGTON, Clerk.

One county: Leavenworth. Area, 440 square miles; population 41,718; assessed value, \$46,314,481.

There were 130 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 43 were dismissed before trial on the merits; 78 were tried to the court and 9 to the jury. In 71 cases no answers were filed. In 48 cases the journal entries had not been filed. There were 64 motions and demurrers before trial filed in these cases, of which 17 were withdrawn, none were presented to the court within 10 days after they were filed, 47 from 10 to 30 days and none later than 30 days. There were no motions pending July 1, 1929. The court costs were not reported. There were 43 motions filed after trial, of which 2 were withdrawn and 41 were presented within 10 days after their filing. As to the civil actions pending as of July 1, 1929, no data were furnished by the clerk of this court.

There were 213 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 63 were dismissed before trial. In 112 cases divorces were granted to wives and in 36 cases to husbands, and in 2 cases the divorce was denied. Of the cases tried, 14 were contested. In 14 cases minor children were awarded to the custody of the wives and in 5 cases to the husbands. There were no cases tried within 60 days after the petition was filed, 145 cases tried from 60 days to 6 months, and 5 cases after 6 months.

There were 87 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 26 had been pending more than 3 months, 23 from 3 to 6 months, 38 from 6 months to 1 year.

There were 148 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 73 were dismissed before trial on the merits; in 27 cases defendants entered pleas of guilty. There were 30 cases tried to the jury in which verdicts of guilty were returned in 21, verdict of not guilty in 9. There were 7 cases in which paroles were granted. There were 38 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929.

SECOND DISTRICT.

HON. W. A. JACKSON, of Atchison, Judge.
JOE C. SEIBEL, Clerk.

One county: Atchison. Area, 412 square miles; population, 26,567; assessed value, \$48,107,827.

There were 150 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929, of which 44 were dismissed before trial on the merits, 97 were tried to the court and 9 to the jury. In 94 cases no answers were filed. In 41 cases answers were filed in 30 days after the petitions were filed. In 5 cases from 30 to 60 days, in 6 cases from 60 days to 6 months, and in 4 cases later than 6 months. There were 21 cases tried on their merits within 3 months of the time the petition was filed, 11 from 3 to 6 months, 5 from 6 to 12 months. In 43 cases the journal entries were filed the day of the trial, in 14 within 10 days of the trial, 15 in 10 to 30 days and 6 after 30 days. In 21 cases the journal entries had not been filed. There were 34 motions and demurrers before trial, of which 4 were withdrawn, 11 presented in 10 days after they were filed, 6 in 10 to 30 days, 13 after 30 days. There were 30 motions and demurrers decided the same day they were presented. The court costs were reported in 150 cases, showing a minimum of \$3.40, a maximum of \$67.99, and an average of \$15.54. There were 26 motions after trial, of which 1 was withdrawn, 17 presented in 10 days after they were filed, 4 in 10 to 30 days, 4 after 30 days. Of these motions 23 were decided the day they were presented and 2 are pending. There were 139 civil actions pending July 1, 1929, of which 22 were pending less than 3 months, 14 from 3 to 6 months, 21 from 6 to 12 months, 16 from 1 to 2 years, 25 from 2 to 3 years, 15 from 3 to 4 years, 10 from 4 to 5 years and 16 over 5 years.

There were 81 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 30 were dismissed before trial. In 36 cases divorces were granted to the wives and in 14 cases to the husbands and 1 was denied. Of the cases tried 7 were contested. In 16 cases minor children were awarded to wives and in 4 cases to husbands. There was 1 case tried within 60 days of the petition, 47 cases in 60 days to 6 months and 3 cases after 6 months.

There were 108 criminal cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 54 were dismissed before trial on the merits. In 47 cases the defendants entered pleas of guilty. There were no paroles granted. There were 13 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929, of which 6 had been pending less than 3 months, 6 from 6 months to 1 year, and 1 from 1 to 2 years.

THIRD DISTRICT.

HON. GEO. A. KLINE, of Topeka, Judge, First Division.
HON. GEORGE H. WHITCOMB, of Topeka, Judge, Second Division.
HON. OTIS E. HUNGATE, of Topeka, Judge, Third Division.
CARRIE BUSH, Clerk.

One county: Shawnee. Area, 544 square miles; population, 81,122; assessed value, \$136,642,215.

There were 737 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 420 were dismissed before trial on the merits, 275 were tried to the court and 42 to the jury. In 307 cases no answers were filed. In 302 cases answers were filed in 30 days after the petitions were filed; in 85 cases from 30 to 60 days, in 28 cases 60 days to 6 months, and 15 after 6 months. There were 85 cases tried on their merits within 3 months of the time the petition was filed, 20 in 3 to 6 months. In 275 cases the journal entries were filed the day of the trial. In 8 cases the journal entries were filed the day of the trial. In 8 cases the journal entries had not been filed. There were 358 motions and demurrers before trial in these cases, of which 48 were withdrawn, 90 were presented to the court within 10 days after they were filed, 133 in 10 to 30 days, and 87 after 30 days. The minimum amount of costs was \$2.25, the maximum \$995.94, an average of \$34.24 for the 737 cases reported. There were 121 motions after trial, of which 20 were withdrawn, 47 presented in 10 days, 50 in 10 to 30 days, 4 after 30 days. Of these motions presented after trial 80 were decided the day presented, 15 not the same day but within 10 days, and 6 after 30 days. There were 410 civil actions pending July 1, 1929. Of these 170 had been pending more than 3 months, 97 from 3 to 6 months, 79 from 6 to 12 months, 50 from 1 to 2 years, 10 from 2 to 3 years, 2 from 3 to 4 years, and 2 from 4 to 5 years.

There were 595 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 251 were dismissed before trial. In 269 cases divorces were granted to the wives and in 70 to the husbands, and in 5 cases divorces were denied. Of the cases tried 130 were contested. The custody of minor children was awarded to wives in 118 cases and to husbands in 68 cases. There were 11 cases tried in 60 days of the filing of the petition, 280 cases from 60 days to 6 months, and 53 cases after 6 months. There were 173 cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 104 had been pending less than 3 months, 31 from 3 to 6 months, 33 from 6 months to 1 year, 5 from 1 to 2 years.

There were 595 criminal cases tried on the merits or dismissed in the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 223 were dismissed before trial on the merits. There were 79 cases tried to the jury, in which a verdict of guilty was returned in 57, verdict of not guilty in 16. In 2 cases there were hung juries. In 130 cases the informations were filed the same day as the transcripts, 127 cases not the same day but within 10 days, in 18 cases in 10 to 30 days, in 161 cases in 30 days to 3 months, in 12 cases after 3 months. There were 123 cases in which paroles were granted. There were 128 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929, of which 56 were pending less than 3 months, 23 from 3 to 6 months, 28 from 6 months to 1 year, 16 from 1 to 2 years, and 5 from 2 to 3 years.

FOURTH DISTRICT.

HON. HUGH MEANS, of Lawrence, Judge.
 LIDA AYRES, Clerk, Anderson County.
 D. F. SMITH, Clerk, Douglas County.
 MARY O. STEWART, Clerk, Franklin County.

Three counties: Anderson, Douglas and Franklin. Area, 1,540 square miles; population, 60,545; assessed value, \$124,319,741.

There were 255 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 69 were dismissed before trial on the merits, 151 were tried to the court and 35 to the jury. In 188 cases no answers were filed. In 57 cases answers were filed in 30 days after the petitions were filed, in 12 cases from 30 to 60 days, in 4 cases from 60 days to 6 months. There were 49 cases tried on the merits within 3 months from the time the petitions were filed, 16 from 3 to 6 months and 6 in 12 months. In 146 cases journal entries were filed the day of trial, 32 cases not the same day but within 10 days, 28 cases from 10 to 30 days, 4 after 30 days. In 45 cases journal entries had not been filed. There were 39 motions and demurrers before trial filed in these cases, of which 6 were withdrawn, 21 were presented to the court within 10 days after they were filed, 6 from 10 to 30 days, and 6 later than 30 days. There were 26 motions and demurrers decided the same day they were presented, not the same day but within 10 days 3, in 10 to 30 days 2, after 30 days 2. The minimum costs were \$1.45, maximum \$659.56, an average of \$28.47 for the 255 cases. There were 70 motions filed after trial, of which 5 were withdrawn, 49 presented in 10 days, from 10 to 30 days 9, after 30 days 7. Of these motions filed after trial 51 were decided the day presented, 14 not the same day but within 10 days. There were 155 civil actions pending July 1, 1929; of these 66 cases were pending less than 3 months, from 3 to 6 months 35, 6 to 12 months 30, 1 to 2 years 15, 2 to 3 years 4, 3 to 4 years 5.

There were 145 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929; of these 35 were dismissed before trial. In 90 cases divorces were granted to wives and in 19 cases to husbands and one divorce was denied. Of the cases tried, 13 were contested. In 47 cases the custody of minor children was granted to wives and in 6 cases to husbands. There was 1 case tried within 60 days after the filing of the petition; 108 in 60 days to 6 months, and 1 after 6 months. There were 69 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929, 42 were pending less than 3 months, from 3 to 6 months 16, from 6 months to 1 year 10, from 1 to 2 years 1.

There were 95 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929; of these 27 were dismissed before trial on the merits. In 16 cases defendants entered pleas of guilty. There were 24 cases (Douglas county lacking) tried to the jury, in which verdicts of guilty were returned in 11, verdict of not guilty 10, and in 3 cases there were hung juries. There were 6 cases tried in 10 days after the information was filed, and 4 in 3 to 6 months. There were 12 paroles granted. There were 41 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 14 were pending less than 6 months, 22 from 3 to 6 months and 5 from 6 months to 1 year.

FIFTH DISTRICT.

HON. LON C. McCARTY, of Emporia, Judge.
CLARINDA P. MAILLEN, Clerk, Chase County.
MAUD HOLMES, Clerk, Coffey County.
LAURA E. MILLER, Clerk, Lyon County.

Three counties: Chase, Coffey and Lyon. Area, 2,240 square miles; population, 48,275; assessed value, \$114,121,237.

There were 196 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 43 were dismissed before trial on the merits, 148 were tried to the court and 5 to the jury. In 185 cases no answers were filed. In 31 cases answers were filed in 30 days after the petitions were filed, 10 from 30 to 60 days, 10 from 60 days to 6 months, and 1 after 6 months. There were 19 cases tried on their merits within 3 months of the time the petitions were filed, 9 from 3 to 6 months, 12 in 6 to 12 months, and 1 after 12 months. In 66 cases the journal entries were filed the day of trial, 8 within 10 days, 38 in 10 to 30 days, and 60 after 30 days. In 19 cases journal entries had not been filed. There were 118 motions and demurrers filed before trial in these cases, of which 98 were withdrawn, 15 were presented to the court within 10 days after they were filed, 1 from 10 to 30 days, 4 after 30 days. There were 13 motions and demurrers decided the same day they were presented, 3 not the same day but within 10 days, 2 in 10 to 30 days, 1 after 30 days. The court costs were reported in 138 cases, showing a minimum of 65 cents, maximum of \$927.28 and an average of \$36.09. There were 20 motions filed after trial, of which 1 was withdrawn and 15 were presented in 10 days, 3 were presented in 10 to 30 days, 1 after 30 days. Of these motions 9 were decided the day presented, 2 not the same day but within 10 days. There were 105 civil actions pending July 1, 1929. Of these 42 had been pending less than 3 months, 30 from 3 to 6 months, 16 from 6 to 12 months, 10 from 1 to 2 years, 6 from 2 to 3 years, 1 from 3 to 4 years.

There were 83 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 18 were dismissed before trial. In 48 cases divorces were granted to wives and 17 to husbands. Of the cases tried 10 were contested. The custody of minor children in 16 cases was awarded to wives and in 6 cases to husbands. There were no cases tried within 60 days after the petitions were filed, 60 cases from 60 days to 6 months, and 5 after 6 months. There were 40 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 7 had been pending less than 3 months, 10 from 3 to 6 months, 21 from 6 months to 1 year, 2 from 1 to 2 years.

There were 70 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 8 were dismissed before trial on the merits. In 47 cases defendants entered pleas of guilty. There were 9 cases tried to the jury, in which verdicts of guilty were returned in 5 cases, verdicts of not guilty in 4. In 5 cases paroles were granted. There were 20 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929, of which 3 were pending less than three months, 4 from 3 to 6 months, 10 from 6 months to 1 year, 2 from 1 to 2 years, 1 from 2 to 3 years.

SIXTH DISTRICT.

HON. CHARLES F. TRINKLE, of Fort Scott, Judge.
 BURT MOORE, Clerk, Bourbon County.
 JAMES MARTIN, Clerk, Linn County.

Two counties: Bourbon and Linn. Area, 1,269 square miles; population, 38,171; assessed value, \$60,013,920.

There were 128 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 42 were dismissed before trial on the merits, 77 were tried to the court and 9 to the jury. In 95 cases no answers were filed. In 14 cases answers were filed in 30 days after the petitions were filed, 6 cases from 30 to 60 days, 6 in from 60 days to 6 months, and 7 later than 6 months. There were 50 cases tried on their merits within 3 months of the time the petitions were filed, 14 from 3 to 6 months, 12 from 6 to 12 months. In 62 cases journal entries were filed the day of the trial, 5 not the same day but within 10 days, and 5 in 10 to 30 days. In 32 cases journal entries had not been filed. There were 13 motions and demurrers filed before trial in these cases, of which 5 were withdrawn, 6 were presented to the court within 10 days after they were filed, 2 in 10 to 30 days. There were 7 motions and demurrers decided the same day presented, and 1 was decided after 30 days. The minimum costs in 128 cases were \$1.05, the maximum \$101.05, giving an average of \$18.92. There were 5 motions filed after the trial, of which 2 were withdrawn, 2 were presented in 10 days, 1 in 10 to 30 days. There were 3 motions decided the day presented. There were 180 civil actions pending July 1, 1929. Of these 49 had been pending less than 3 months, 40 from 3 to 6 months, 33 from 6 to 12 months, 39 from 1 to 2 years, 8 from 2 to 3 years and 1 from 3 to 4 years.

There were 64 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 12 were dismissed before trial. In 35 cases divorces were granted to the wives and in 14 cases to the husbands. In 2 cases marriages were annulled and in 1 case divorce was denied. Of these cases 3 were contested. In 8 cases the custody of minor children was granted to wives and in 4 cases to husbands. There were 3 cases tried within 60 days of the filing of the petition, 45 cases from 60 days to 6 months, 1 case after 6 months. There were 42 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 20 had been pending less than 3 months, 10 from 3 to 6 months, 11 from 6 months to 1 year and 1 for more than 2 years.

There were 53 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 4 were dismissed before trial on the merits. In 41 cases defendants entered pleas of guilty. There were 12 cases tried to the jury, in which verdicts of guilty were returned in 8, verdicts of not guilty in 2, hung juries 2. There were 18 paroles granted. There were 22 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 16 had been pending less than 3 months, 2 from 3 to 6 months, 4 from 6 months to 1 year.

SEVENTH DISTRICT.

HON. S. C. BROWN, of Chanute, Judge.
EMERY W. NOBLES, Clerk, Neosho County.
W. H. TIMMONS, Clerk, Wilson, County.

Two counties: Neosho and Wilson. Area, 1,161 square miles; population, 42,756; assessed value, \$78,511,819.

There were 153 civil actions, other than divorce actions, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 68 were dismissed before trial on the merits, 82 were tried to the court and 3 to the jury. In 128 cases no answers were filed. In 15 cases answers were filed in 30 days after the petitions were filed, in 7 cases from 30 to 60 days, in 3 cases from 60 days to 6 months. There were 38 cases tried on their merits within 3 months of the petition, 19 from 3 to 6 months. In 22 cases journal entries were filed the day of the trial, 4 within 10 days, 4 in 10 to 30 days, 50 after 30 days. In 18 cases journal entries had not been filed. There were 15 motions and demurrers before trial filed in these cases, of which 9 were withdrawn, 5 were presented within 10 days, 1 after 30 days. There were 6 motions decided the same day they were presented. The court costs were reported in 153 cases showing a minimum of \$1, a maximum of \$590.86 and an average of \$30.84. There were 26 motions filed after the cases were tried, of which 4 were withdrawn, 22 presented within 10 days. Of these 19 were decided the day presented. There were 108 civil actions pending July 1, 1929. Of these 42 were pending less than 3 months, 25 from 3 to 6 months, 29 from 6 to 12 months, 7 from 1 to 2 years, 4 from 2 to 3 years, and 1 from 3 to 4 years.

There were 84 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 11 were dismissed before trial, in 60 cases divorces were granted to wives and in 13 cases to husbands. Of the cases tried 4 were contested. In 33 cases the custody of minor children was awarded to wives and in 1 case to husband. There were 8 cases tried within 60 days after the petitions were filed, 59 from 60 days to 6 months, and 6 later than 6 months. There were 40 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 13 were pending less than 3 months, 9 from 3 to 6 months, 13 from 6 months to 1 year, 4 from 1 to 2 years, and 1 for more than 2 years.

There were 73 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 21 were dismissed before trial on the merits, and in 37 cases defendants entered pleas of guilty. There were 12 cases tried to the jury, in which verdicts of guilty were returned in 9, verdicts of not guilty in 3. There were 29 cases tried in 10 days after the informations were filed, 12 in 10 to 30 days, 10 in 30 days to 3 months, 1 in 3 to 6 months, 3 in 6 months to 1 year. There were 22 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929, 9 were pending less than 3 months, 5 from 3 to 6 months, 7 from 6 months to 1 year, 1 from 1 to 2 years.

EIGHTH DISTRICT.

HON. C. M. CLARK, of Marion, Judge.

L. E. JEFFCOAT, Clerk, Dickinson County.

GEO. J. WEBSTER, Clerk, Geary County.

H. D. CORNELSON, Clerk, Marion County.

NELLIE M. PRATHER, Clerk, Morris County.

Four counties: Dickinson, Geary, Marion and Morris. Area, 2,895 square miles; population, 72,733; assessed value, \$168,903,321.

There were 355 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 124 were dismissed before trial on the merits, 211 were tried to the court and 18 to the jury, 2 were tried by the referee. In 214 cases no answers were filed. In 58 cases answers were filed in 30 days after the petitions were filed, 22 in 30 to 60 days, 7 in 60 days to 6 months. There were 34 cases tried on the merits within 3 months of the time the petitions were filed, 54 in 3 to 6 months. In 148 cases journal entries were filed the day of the trial, 15 within 10 days, 6 in 10 to 30 days, 15 later than 30 days. In 93 cases the journal entry had not been filed. There were 34 motions and demurrers filed before trial in these cases, of which 2 were withdrawn, 10 were presented in 10 days, 6 in 10 to 30 days, 13 after 30 days. There were 30 motions decided the same day as presented, 2 not the same day but within 10 days. The costs were reported in 355 cases, showing a minimum of \$1.10, a maximum of \$371.73 and an average of \$24.01. There were 60 motions filed after trial, of which 16 were presented in 10 days, 2 were presented in 10 to 30 days, 4 later than 30 days. Of these motions 21 were decided the day presented. There were 145 civil actions pending July 1, 1929. Of these 78 were pending less than 3 months, 19 from 3 to 6 months, 20 from 6 to 12 months, 25 from 1 to 2 years, 2 from 2 to 3 years, 2 from 4 to 5 years, and 1 over 5 years.

There were 110 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 30 were dismissed before trial. In 49 cases divorces were granted to wives and in 25 cases to husbands and in 1 case the marriage was annulled. In 5 cases divorces were denied. Of these cases 9 were contested. In 32 cases the custody of minor children was awarded to wives and in 3 cases to husbands. There were 2 cases tried within 60 days after petitions were filed, 63 cases from 60 days to 6 months, 11 cases later than 6 months. There were 31 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 13 were pending less than 3 months, 7 from 3 to 6 months, 4 from 6 months to 1 year, 7 from 1 to 2 years.

There were 68 criminal actions disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 18 were dismissed before trial on the merits, and in 33 cases defendants entered pleas of guilty. There were 17 cases tried to the jury, in which verdicts of guilty were returned in 9, verdicts of not guilty in 8. There were 6 cases tried within 30 days after the informations were filed, 5 in 30 days to 3 months, 1 in 3 to 6 months. There were 6 paroles granted. There were 8 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these cases 3 were pending less than 3 months, 3 from 3 to 6 months, 1 from 6 months to 1 year, 1 from 1 to 2 years.

NINTH DISTRICT.

HON. J. G. SOMERS, of Newton, Judge.
W. E. GROVE, Clerk, Harvey County.
MATSON MURRAY, Clerk, McPherson County.
CARL A. RICHARDSON, Clerk, Reno County.

Three counties: Harvey, McPherson and Reno. Area, 2,682 square miles; population, 90,276; assessed value, \$204,900,025.

There were 483 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 233 were dismissed before trial on the merits, 238 were tried to the court and 12 to the jury. In 368 cases no answers were filed. In 87 cases answers were filed in 30 days after the petitions were filed, in 17 in 30 to 60 days, in 11 in 60 days to 6 months. There were 64 cases tried on the merits within 3 months of the time the petitions were filed, 20 cases in 3 to 6 months, 5 in 6 to 12 months, and 4 after 12 months. In 197 cases journal entries were filed the day of the trial, 27 within 10 days, 4 in 10 to 30 days, 15 later than 30 days. In 78 cases journal entries had not been filed. There were 139 motions and demurrers filed in these cases before trial, of which 18 were withdrawn, 10 were presented to the court within 10 days after they were filed, 3 in 10 to 30 days and 10 after 30 days. There were 120 motions decided the same day they were presented, 1 in 10 to 30 days. The court costs were reported in 483 cases, showing a minimum of 80 cents, a maximum of \$235.20 and an average of \$19.34. There were 30 motions filed after trial. Of these 4 were withdrawn, 17 presented in 10 days, 5 in 10 to 30 days, 1 after 30 days. There were 4 decided the day presented. There were 279 civil actions pending July 1, 1929, of which 75 were pending less than 3 months, 51 from 3 to 6 months, 48 in 6 to 12 months, 66 from 1 to 2 years, 21 from 2 to 3 years, 7 from 3 to 4 years, 2 from 4 to 5 years, and 9 over 5 years.

There were 235 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 40 were dismissed before trial. In 142 cases divorces were granted to wives and in 49 cases to husbands; in 4 cases divorces were denied. Of these cases 29 were contested. The custody of minor children was awarded to wives in 85 cases and in 9 cases to husbands. There were 6 cases tried within 60 days of the date of the filing of the petitions, 138 cases in 60 days to 6 months, 21 after 6 months. There were 103 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 54 had been pending less than 3 months, 11 from 3 to 6 months, 30 from 6 months to 1 year, 5 from 1 to 2 years and 3 for more than 2 years.

There were 194 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 83 were dismissed or tried on the merits. In 19 cases the defendants entered pleas of guilty. (Reno county not reporting.) There were 18 cases tried to the jury, in which verdicts of guilty were returned in 10, verdicts of not guilty in 7, and in 1 case there was a hung jury. There were 28 cases tried within 10 days after the information was filed, 4 in 10 to 30 days. There were 25 cases in which paroles were granted. There were 64 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 27 had been pending less than 3 months, 23 from 3 to 6 months, 12 from 6 months to 1 year, 1 from 2 to 3 years, 1 from 3 to 4 years.

TENTH DISTRICT.

HON. G. A. ROBERTS, of Olathe, Judge.
 NAOMI AYERS, Clerk, Johnson County.
 THELMA RAND, Clerk, Miami County.

Two counties: Johnson and Miami. Area, 1,088 square miles; population, 47,287; assessed value, \$97,838,608.

There were 302 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 99 were dismissed before trial on the merits, 194 were tried to the court, 9 to the jury. In 241 cases no answers were filed. In 35 cases answers were filed in 30 days after the petitions were filed, 11 in 30 to 60 days, 4 in 60 days to 6 months, 1 after 6 months. There were 29 cases tried on their merits within 3 months of the time the petitions were filed, 43 in 3 to 6 months and 6 in 6 to 12 months. In 185 cases journal entries were filed the day of the trial, 4 in 10 to 30 days, and 5 after 30 days. In 63 cases journal entries had not been filed. There were 22 motions and demurrers filed before trial in these cases, of which 3 were withdrawn, 4 presented in 10 days, 6 in from 10 to 30 days, 9 after 30 days. There were 18 motions decided the same day they were presented, 1 in 10 to 30 days. The court costs were reported in 292 cases, showing a minimum of \$1.55, a maximum of \$1,287.38 and an average of \$37.02. There were 70 motions filed after trial, of which 6 were withdrawn, 42 presented in 10 days, 14 in 10 to 30 days, 8 after 30 days. Of these motions 64 were decided the day presented. There were 186 civil cases pending July 1, 1929, of which 77 were pending less than 3 months, 31 from 3 to 6 months, 35 from 6 to 12 months, 14 from 1 to 2 years, 10 from 2 to 3 years, 7 from 3 to 4 years, 4 from 4 to 5 years, and 8 over 5 years.

There were 99 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 31 were dismissed before trial on the merits. In 47 cases divorces were granted to wives and in 21 cases to husbands. Of these cases 10 were contested. In 20 cases the custody of minor children was awarded to wives and in 6 cases to husbands. There were 2 cases tried within 60 days after the petitions filed, 72 in 60 days to 6 months, 6 after 6 months. There were 23 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 12 were pending less than 3 months, 8 from 3 to 6 months, 3 from 6 months to 1 year.

There were 110 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 22 were dismissed before trial on the merits. In 52 cases defendants entered pleas of guilty. There were 16 cases tried to the jury, in which verdicts of guilty were returned in 9, verdicts of not guilty in 6, and 1 hung jury. Paroles were granted in 3 cases. There were 48 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 24 were pending less than 3 months, 11 from 3 to 6 months, 10 from 6 months to 1 year, 1 from 2 to 3 years, 2 from 3 to 4 years.

ELEVENTH DISTRICT.

HON. JOHN W. HAMILTON, of Columbus, Judge.
W. B. CLEMENT, Clerk, Cherokee County.

One county: Cherokee. Area, 605 square miles; population, 35,908; assessed value, \$39,526,894.

There were 189 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 74 were dismissed before trial on the merits, 113 were tried to the court, 2 to the jury. In 161 cases no answers were filed. In 20 cases answers were filed in 30 days after petitions were filed, in 7 from 30 to 60 days, in 1 from 60 days to 6 months. There were 3 cases tried on the merits within 3 months of the time petitions were filed, 4 from 3 to 6 months. In 31 cases journal entries were filed the day of the trial, in 6 cases not the same day but within 10 days, in 4 cases 10 to 30 days. In 25 cases journal entries had not been filed. There were 50 motions and demurrers filed before trial in these cases, of which 9 were withdrawn, 16 presented in 10 days, 11 in 10 to 30 days, 14 after 30 days. There were 31 motions decided the same day they were presented, 6 not the same day but within 10 days, 1 in 10 to 30 days, 3 after 30 days. The court costs were reported in 189 cases, showing a minimum of \$4.80, a maximum of \$557.83 and an average of \$34.11. There were 35 motions filed after trial, of which 5 were withdrawn, 17 presented in 10 days, 2 in 10 to 30 days, 8 after 30 days. Of these motions filed 23 were decided the day presented, 4 not the same day but within 10 days and 3 after 30 days.

There were 120 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 28 were dismissed before trial. In 70 cases divorces were granted to wives and in 20 cases to husbands, and in 2 cases divorces were denied. Of the cases tried 11 were contested. In 62 cases the custody of minor children was awarded to wives and in 6 cases to husbands. There were 88 cases tried in 60 days to 3 months after the petitions were filed, and 4 after 6 months. There were 37 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929, of which 9 had been pending less than 3 months, 9 from 3 to 6 months, 12 from 6 months to 1 year, 6 from 1 to 2 years.

There were 55 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 21 were dismissed before trial on the merits. There were 9 cases tried to the jury, in which verdicts of guilty were returned in 3, verdicts of not guilty in 4, and 2 hung juries. There were 20 cases in which the informations were filed the same day as the transcripts, 7 not the same day but within 10 days, and 10 cases in 10 to 30 days. There were 14 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929, of which 3 were pending less than 3 months, 3 from 3 to 6 months, 7 from 1 to 2 years, 1 from 2 to 3 years.

TWELFTH DISTRICT.

HON. THOS. KENNETT, Concordia, Judge.
M. DEAN CHAMPLIN, Clerk, Cloud County.
WM. R. GOODWIN, Clerk, Republic County.
GEO. W. DICKSON, Clerk, Washington County.

Three counties: Cloud, Republic and Washington. Area, 2,308 square miles; population, 49,156; assessed value, \$135,947,955.

There were 214 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 100 were dismissed before trial on the merits, 86 were tried to the court and 28 to the jury. In 131 cases no answers were filed. In 36 cases answers were filed in 30 days after the petitions were filed. In 25 cases in 30 to 60 days, 15 in 60 days to 6 months, and 5 later than 6 months. There were 65 cases tried on their merits within 3 months of the time the petitions were filed, 26 in 3 to 6 months, 6 in 6 to 12 months, 18 after 12 months. In 35 cases journal entries were filed the day of the trial, in 8 cases within 10 days, in 59 cases in 10 to 30 days, and 9 after 30 days. In 53 cases journal entries had not been filed. There were 132 motions and demurrers filed before trial in these cases, of which 12 were withdrawn, 78 were presented in 10 days, 22 in 10 to 30 days, 23 after 30 days. There were 119 motions decided the same day they were presented. The court costs were reported in 128 cases, showing a minimum of \$1, a maximum of \$1,772.55 and an average of \$52.19. There were 37 motions filed after trial, of which 2 were withdrawn, 25 were presented in 10 days, 6 in 10 to 30 days, 4 after 30 days. Of these motions 34 were decided the day presented, and 1 after 30 days. There were 57 civil actions pending July 1, 1929. Of these cases 33 were pending less than 3 months, 9 from 6 to 12 months, 1 from 1 to 2 years, 2 from 2 to 3 years.

There were 49 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 9 were dismissed before trial. In 30 cases divorces were granted to wives and in 9 cases to husbands. In 1 case divorce was denied. Of these cases 6 were contested. In 19 cases the custody of minor children was awarded to wives and in 3 cases to husbands. There were 40 cases tried within 60 days to 6 months of the filing of the petitions. There were 8 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929, all for less than 3 months.

There were 27 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these cases 16 were dismissed before trial. In 7 cases defendants entered pleas of guilty. There were 4 cases tried to the jury in which verdict of guilty was returned in 1, verdicts of not guilty in 3. There were 4 cases tried within 3 months after the informations were filed. Two paroles were granted. There were 10 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929, all for less than 3 months.

THIRTEENTH DISTRICT.

HON. A. T. AYRES, of Howard, Judge, First Division.

HON. GEORGE J. BENSON, of El Dorado, Judge, Second Division.

HARVEY L. SONGER, Clerk, Butler County.

O. G. SHARP, Clerk, Chautauqua County.

C. M. RICHARDSON, Clerk, Elk County.

CLYDE DIVINE, Clerk, Greenwood County.

Four counties: Butler, Chautauqua, Elk and Greenwood. Area, 3,896 square miles; population, 73,220; assessed value, \$197,509,748.

There were 439 civil action, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 94 were dismissed before trial on the merits, 296 were tried to the court and 49 to the jury. In 301 cases no answers were filed. In 68 cases answers were filed in 30 days after the petitions were filed, 25 from 30 to 60 days, 45 in 60 days to 6 months. There were 49 cases tried on the merits within 3 months of the time of the filing of petitions and 17 in 3 to 6 months. In 95 cases journal entries were filed the day of trial, 7 within 10 days, 44 in 10 to 30 days, 16 later than 30 days. In 93 cases journal entries had not been filed. There were 54 motions and demurrers filed before trial in these cases, of which 15 were withdrawn, 25 presented in 10 days, 4 in 10 to 30 days, 10 after 30 days. There were 27 motions decided the same day they were presented, 2 not the same day but within 10 days, 4 in 10 to 30 days, 5 after 30 days, and 1 is pending. The court costs were reported in 439 cases, showing a minimum of 74 cents, a maximum of \$1,460.88 and an average of \$41.75. There were 68 motions filed after trial, of which 13 were withdrawn, 24 were presented in 10 days, 8 in 10 to 30 days, 8 after 30 days. Of these motions 30 were decided the day presented, 8 not the same day but within 10 days, 2 after 30 days, and 3 are pending. There were 247 civil actions pending July 1, 1929. Of these 73 were pending less than 3 months, 50 from 3 to 6 months, 41 from 6 to 12 months, 18 from 1 to 2 years, 12 from 2 to 3 years, 14 from 3 to 4 years, 7 from 4 to 5 years, and 14 for more than 5 years.

There were 195 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these cases 42 were dismissed before trial. In 112 cases divorces were granted to wives and 39 cases to husbands, and in 2 cases divorces were denied. In 41 cases divorces were contested. In 46 cases the custody of minor children was awarded to wives and in 12 to husbands. There were 7 cases tried within 60 days of the filing of the petitions, in 114 cases from 60 days to 6 months, in 32 cases after 6 months. There were 80 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 29 were pending less than 3 months, 9 from 3 to 6 months, 34 from 6 months to 1 year, 1 from 1 to 2 years, 7 for more than 2 years.

There were 163 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 32 were dismissed before trial. The defendants entered pleas of guilty in 106. There were 25 cases tried to the jury, in which verdicts of guilty were returned in 14, verdicts of not guilty in 8. In 3 cases there were hung juries. There were 8 cases tried in 10 to 30 days after the informations were filed. Paroles were granted in 14 cases. There were 78 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 35 were pending less than 3 months, 12 from 3 to 6 months, 18 from 6 months to 1 year, 10 from 1 to 2 years, 3 from 2 to 3 years.

FOURTEENTH DISTRICT.

HON. JOSEPH W. HOLDREN, of Independence, Judge.
G. V. BLACKFORD, Clerk.

One county: Montgomery. Area, 644 square miles; population, 55,394; assessed value, \$76,668,590.

There were 257 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 133 were dismissed before trial, 97 were tried to the court, 27 to the jury. In 182 cases no answers were filed. In 29 cases answers were filed in 30 days, in 9 cases from 30 to 60 days, 24 cases from 60 days to 6 months, 3 cases after 6 months. There were 47 cases tried within 3 months of the filing of the petitions, 4 in 3 to 6 months, 2 in 6 to 12 months. In 57 cases journal entries were filed the day of trial, 10 in 10 days of trial, and 6 cases from 10 to 30 days. In 42 cases no journal entries were filed. There were 156 motions or demurrers filed before trial, of which 21 were withdrawn, 15 presented in 10 days, 65 in 10 to 30 days, 55 after 30 days. Of these motions 135 were decided the day presented. The court costs were reported in 257 cases, showing a minimum of \$3.35, a maximum of \$1,101.89, and an average of \$49.27. There were 52 motions filed after trial, of which 4 were withdrawn, 25 presented in 10 days, 15 in 10 to 30 days, 8 after 30 days. Of these motions 48 were decided the day presented. There were 142 civil actions pending July 1, 1929. Of these 65 were pending less than 3 months, 20 from 3 to 6 months, 25 from 6 to 12 months, 15 from 1 to 2 years, 1 from 2 to 3 years, 2 from 3 to 4 years, 2 from 4 to 5 years, and 1 over 5 years.

There were 204 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these cases 50 were dismissed. There were 106 cases in which divorces were granted to wives and in 43 cases to husbands, and 5 were denied. Of these cases 18 were contested. There were 55 cases in which the custody of children was given to wives, in 14 to husbands. There were 2 cases tried within 60 days of the filing of the petitions, 146 in 60 days to 6 months, and 1 after 6 months. There were 87 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these cases 46 were pending less than 3 months, 15 from 3 to 6 months, 21 from 6 months to 1 year, 4 from 1 to 2 years and 1 for more than 2 years.

There were 155 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 70 were dismissed. The defendants entered pleas of guilty in 59 cases. There were 26 cases tried to the jury, in which verdicts of guilty were returned in 20 cases and verdicts of not guilty in 3, and 3 hung juries. Paroles were granted in 21 cases. There were 19 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 9 had been pending less than 3 months, 3 from 3 to 6 months, 5 from 6 months to 1 year, 2 from 2 to 3 years.

FIFTEENTH DISTRICT.

HON. W. R. MITCHELL, of Mankato, Judge.
CLAUDA GOODWIN, Clerk, Jewell County.
JOHN W. HAYES, Clerk, Mitchell County.
B. F. BEESON, Clerk, Osborne County,
ZONA LEWIS, Clerk, Smith County.

Four counties: Jewell, Osborne, Mitchell and Smith. Area, 3,395 square miles; population, 51,890; assessed value, \$142,731,962.

There were 310 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 128 were dismissed before trial on the merits, 165 were tried by the court and 17 to the jury. In 200 cases no answers were filed, 41 in 30 days, 16 in 30 to 60 days, 7 in 60 days to 6 months, and 1 after 6 months. There were 41 cases tried on the merits within 3 months of the time the petitions were filed, 49 from 3 to 6 months, 37 in 6 to 12 months, 7 after 12 months. There were 73 journal entries filed the day of trial, 20 within 10 days, 6 from 10 to 30 days, 29 after 30 days. There were no journal entries filed in 123 cases. There were 196 motions and demurrers filed before trial. Of these 65 were withdrawn, 61 presented in 10 days, 27 in 10 to 30 days, 39 after 30 days. Of these 120 were decided the day presented. The court costs were reported in 242 cases, showing a minimum of \$1.10, a maximum of \$1,593.65 and an average of \$53.97. There were 87 motions filed after trial, of which 5 were withdrawn, 26 presented in 10 days, 4 in 10 to 30 days. Of these 27 were decided the day presented, 3 not the same day but within 10 days. There were 143 civil actions pending July 1, 1929. Of these 37 were pending less than 3 months, 25 from 3 to 6 months, 39 from 6 to 12 months, 26 from 1 to 2 years, 5 from 2 to 3 years, 8 from 3 to 4 years, 2 from 4 to 5 years, and 1 over 5 years.

There were 75 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 27 cases were dismissed. Divorces were granted to wives in 35 cases, to husbands in 12, and in 1 case the marriage was annulled. Of these cases 5 were contested. In 16 cases the custody of minor children was awarded to wives and in 2 cases to husbands. There were 3 cases tried within 60 days of the filing of the petitions, 41 in 60 days to 6 months, 4 after 6 months. There were 27 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 14 were pending less than 3 months, 6 from 3 to 6 months, 5 from 6 months to 1 year.

There were 37 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 17 were dismissed before trial. The defendants entered pleas of guilty in 11 cases. There were 9 cases tried to the jury, in which verdicts of guilty were returned in 5, verdicts of not guilty in 4. There was 1 case tried in 10 to 30 days, 1 in 30 days to 3 months, 1 in 3 to 6 months. There were 4 paroles granted. There were 34 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 3 were pending less than 3 months, 12 from 3 to 6 months, 11 from 6 months to 1 year, 1 from 1 to 2 years, 3 from 2 to 3 years, 2 from 3 to 4 years.

SIXTEENTH DISTRICT.

HON. WILLIAM D. ATKINSON, of Parsons, Judge.
H. F. WELTON, Clerk, Labette County.

One county: Labette. Area, 643 square miles; population, 32,114; assessed value, \$50,196,605.

There were 64 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 27 were dismissed before trial. There were 31 cases tried to the court and 6 to the jury. No answers were filed in 51 cases. In 5 cases answers were filed in 30 days after the filing of the petitions, in 5 cases from 30 to 60 days, in 3 cases from 60 days to 6 months. There were 11 cases tried on the merits within 3 months of the petitions, 4 in 3 to 6 months. In 25 cases journal entries were filed the same day as the trial, and 1 in 10 to 30 days. In 35 cases no journal entries were filed. There were 28 motions and demurrers filed before trial, of which 7 were withdrawn, 21 presented in 10 to 30 days. Of these motions and demurrers 28 were decided the day presented. The court costs were reported in 64 cases, showing a minimum of \$4.45, a maximum of \$441.77 and an average of \$36.75. There were 10 motions filed after trial in which 3 were withdrawn, 6 were presented in 10 days, 1 in 10 to 30 days. Of these motions 10 were decided the day they were presented. There were 15 civil actions pending July 1, 1929. Of these 5 were pending less than 3 months, 1 from 3 to 6 months, 3 from 1 to 2 years, 1 from 2 to 3 years, 1 from 3 to 4 years, and 1 over 5 years.

There were 19 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these three cases were dismissed. In 11 cases the divorces were granted to wives and in 5 cases to husbands. In 1 case the divorce was contested. In four cases the custody of minor children was awarded to wives and in 1 case to the husband. All were tried within 60 days to 6 months. There were 5 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 1 had been pending from 3 to 6 months, 3 from 6 months to 1 year, and 1 from 1 to 2 years.

There were 16 criminal cases disposed of during the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 1 was dismissed before trial. The defendants entered pleas of guilty in 2. There were verdicts of guilty in 2 cases. There were 5 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 3 were pending less than 3 months, 1 from 3 to 6 months, 1 from 6 months to 1 year.

SEVENTEENTH DISTRICT.

HON. E. E. KITE, of St. Francis, Judge.
MINNIE A. LAWLESS, Clerk, Cheyenne County.
BESSIE FINLEY, Clerk, Rawlins County.
IVA M. MILLEN, Clerk, Decatur County.
PEARL THOMPSON, Clerk, Norton County.
W. N. McILVAIN, Clerk, Phillips County.

Five counties: Cheyenne, Rawlins, Decatur, Norton and Phillips. Area, 4,726 square miles; population, 43,940; assessed value, \$88,257,857.

There were 301 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 141 were dismissed before trial. There were 106 cases tried to the court, 14 to the jury. No answers were filed in 215 cases. Answers in 47 cases were filed within 30 days of the petition, 11 in 30 to 60 days, 23 in 60 days to 6 months, 13 after 6 months. There were 52 cases tried within 3 months of the filing of the petition, 35 in 3 to 6 months, 3 in 6 to 12 months, and 3 after 12 months. There were 77 journal entries filed the day of the trial, 40 within 10 days, 22 in 10 to 30 days, 51 later than 30 days. There were 124 cases in which no journal entries were filed. There were 122 motions and demurrers filed the day before trial. Of these 20 were withdrawn, 39 were presented in 10 days, 14 in 10 to 30 days, 16 later than 30 days. There were 60 motions and demurrers decided the day presented. The court costs were reported in 276 cases, showing a minimum of \$1.05, a maximum of \$246.82 and an average of \$18.62. There were 41 motions filed after trial, in which 5 were withdrawn, 33 were presented in 10 days and 3 after 30 days. Of these motions 33 were decided the day of presentation, 3 are still pending. There were 152 civil actions pending July 1, 1929. Of these 42 were pending less than 3 months, 32 from 3 to 6 months, 30 from 6 to 12 months, 30 from 1 to 2 years, 12 from 2 to 3 years, 3 from 3 to 4 years, 1 from 4 to 5 years and 2 for more than 5 years.

There were 71 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 17 were dismissed before trial. Divorces were granted to wives in 35 cases and to husbands in 15 cases. Divorces were denied in 2 cases. Divorces were contested in 4 cases. In 24 cases minor children were awarded to wives and in 2 cases to husbands. There were 7 cases tried within 60 days after the petitions were filed, 38 in 60 days to 6 months, and 6 after 6 months. There were 9 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 7 were pending less than 3 months, 1 from 6 months to 1 year and 1 for more than 2 years.

There were 30 criminal cases disposed of in the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 13 were dismissed. The defendants entered pleas of guilty in 8. Nine cases were tried to the jury, in which verdicts of guilty were received in 2, verdicts of not guilty were received in 7. Twelve paroles were granted. There were 16 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these cases 1 was pending less than 3 months, 3 from 3 to 6 months, 3 from 6 months to 1 year, 1 from 1 to 2 years, 1 from 2 to 3 years.

EIGHTEENTH DISTRICT.

HON. J. E. ALEXANDER, of Wichita, Judge, First Division.
HON. THORNTON W. SARGENT, of Wichita, Judge, Second Division.
HON. GROVER PIERPONT, of Wichita, Judge, Third Division.
HON. I. N. WILLIAMS, of Wichita, Judge, Fourth Division.
A. E. JACQUES, Clerk.

One county: Sedgwick. Area, 994 square miles; population, 130,543; assessed value, \$209,456,940.

There were 1,083 civil cases, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 499 were dismissed before trial, 534 cases were tried to the court and 50 tried to the jury. In 400 cases no answers were filed. Answers were filed in 38 cases within 30 days of the filing of the petitions, 420 cases in 30 to 60 days, 100 cases in 60 days to 6 months, 25 cases later than 6 months. There were 154 cases tried within 3 months of the petition, 50 cases from 3 to 6 months, 35 from 6 months to 1 year, and 15 after 12 months of the filing of petitions. There were 561 journal entries filed the day of trial. In 665 cases no journal entries were filed. There were 450 motions and demurrers before trial, of which 300 were withdrawn, 10 presented in 10 days, 70 in 10 to 30 days, 10 after 30 days. Of these motions 150 were decided the day filed. The court costs were reported in 1,083 cases, showing a minimum of \$1.10, a maximum of \$439 and an average of \$23.97. There were 106 motions filed after trial, of which 85 were withdrawn, 21 presented in 10 days. Of these motions 15 were decided the day presented and 6 are now pending. There were 545 civil actions pending July 1, 1929, of which 259 were pending less than 3 months, 121 from 3 to 6 months, 139 from 6 to 12 months, and 26 from 1 to 2 years.

There were 961 divorce cases tried on their merits within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 264 cases were dismissed. Divorces were granted to wives in 540 cases and to husbands in 156 cases, and 1 divorce was denied. There were 37 divorce cases contested. The minor children were awarded to wives in 161 cases and to husbands in 19 cases. There were 27 cases tried within 60 days of the date of the filing of the petitions, 515 in 60 days to 6 months, 154 after 6 months. There were 257 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these cases 96 were pending less than 3 months, 90 from 3 to 6 months.

There were 1,044 criminal cases disposed of during the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these cases 536 were dismissed before trial. The defendants entered pleas of guilty in 436 cases. There were 248 paroles granted. There were 313 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929, of which 58 were pending less than 3 months, 175 from 3 to 6 months, 80 from 6 months to 1 year.

NINETEENTH DISTRICT.

HON. O. P. FULLER, of Winfield, Judge.
ANNA L. TONKINSON, Clerk.

One county: Cowley. Area, 1,133 square miles; population, 38,361; assessed value, \$81,739,611.

There were 283 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 110 were dismissed before trial on the merits, 155 were tried to the court and 18 were tried to the jury. In 82 cases no answers were filed. In 103 cases answers were filed in 30 days after the petitions were filed, in 39 from 30 to 60 days, in 53 from 60 days to 6 months, and 6 later than 6 months. There were 105 cases tried on the merits within 3 months of the petitions, 61 from 3 to 6 months, 10 from 6 to 12 months. In 105 cases there were 142 motions and demurrers filed before trial, of which 44 were withdrawn, 19 presented in 10 days, 25 in 10 to 30 days, 54 after 30 days. Of these 95 were decided the day presented, 1 not the same day but within 10 days and 2 after 30 days. The court costs were reported in 283 cases, showing a minimum of \$2.75, a maximum of \$165.45 and an average of \$23.86. There were 11 motions filed after trial, of which 5 were presented within 10 days, 5 in 10 to 30 days, 1 later than 30 days. Of these 11 were decided the day presented. There were 199 civil actions pending July 1, 1929. Of these 62 were pending less than 3 months, 30 from 3 to 6 months, 39 from 6 months to 1 year, 52 from 1 to 2 years, 10 from 2 to 3 years, and 6 from 3 to 4 years.

There were 73 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 10 were dismissed before trial. In 46 cases divorces were granted to wives and in 17 cases to husbands. In 1 case the divorce was contested. In 15 cases the custody of minor children was awarded to wives and in 2 cases to husbands. There were 73 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 43 were pending less than 3 months, 10 from 3 to 6 months, 15 from 6 months to 1 year, 4 from 1 to 2 years and 1 for more than 2 years.

There were 81 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 34 were dismissed before trial. There were 41 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 29 were pending less than 3 months, 8 from 3 to 6 months, 3 from 6 months to 1 year, 1 from 2 to 3 years.

TWENTIETH DISTRICT.

HON. RAY H. BEALS, of St. John, Judge.

S. M. KELLAM, Clerk, Barton County.

NANCY E. GREGG, Clerk, Rice County.

GERTRUDE BARTLE, Clerk, Stafford County.

Three counties: Barton, Rice and Stafford. Area, 2,395 square miles; population, 43,449; assessed value, \$129,764,288.

There were 222 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 90 were dismissed before trial, 124 were tried to the court and 8 to the jury. In 122 cases no answers were filed. In 33 cases answers were filed in 30 days after the petitions, in 61 from 30 days to 60 days, in 6 from 60 days to 6 months. There were 21 cases tried on the merits within 3 months of the time the petitions were filed, 11 from 3 to 6 months, 1 from 6 to 12 months. In 86 cases journal entries were filed the day of trial. In 35 cases in 10 to 30 days, in 1 later than 30 days. In 135 cases no journal entries had been filed. There were 36 motions and demurrers filed before trial; 15 were withdrawn, 9 presented in 10 days, 3 in 10 to 30 days, and 9 after 30 days. Of these 19 were decided the day presented, 1 not the same day but within 10 days, 1 later than 10 days. The court costs were reported in 222 cases, showing a minimum of 75 cents, a maximum of \$1,130.00 and an average of \$36.14. There were 32 motions filed after trial. Of these 12 were withdrawn, 14 presented in 10 days, 3 from 10 to 30 days, 3 after 30 days. Of these motions 19 were decided the day presented, and 1 is now pending. There were 71 civil actions pending July 1, 1929. Of these 43 were pending less than 3 months, 13 from 3 to 6 months, 8 from 6 to 12 months, 3 from 1 to 2 years, 3 for more than 3 years, and 1 from 3 to 4 years.

There were 83 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 16 were dismissed before trial. In 45 cases divorces were granted to wives and in 22 cases to husbands. In 5 cases divorces were contested. In 28 cases the custody of minor children was awarded to wives and in 5 cases to husbands. There were 3 cases tried within 60 days to 6 months, 2 later than 6 months. There were 30 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 23 were pending less than 3 months, 6 from 3 to 6 months, 1 from 1 to 2 years.

There were 69 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 24 were dismissed before trial and in 28 defendants entered pleas of guilty. Seventeen cases were tried to the jury, in which verdicts of guilty were received in 7, verdicts of not guilty in 9 cases, and there was 1 hung jury. There were 4 cases tried in 10 days after the informations were filed, 3 in 10 to 30 days, 5 in 3 to 6 months. There were 12 paroles granted. There were 15 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 5 were pending less than 3 months, 7 from 3 to 6 months, 5 from 6 months to 1 year, 3 from 1 to 2 years.

TWENTY-FIRST DISTRICT.

HON. FRED R. SMITH, of Manhattan, Judge.
JOHN C. GOHEEN, Clerk, Clay County.
A. B. CAMPBELL, Clerk, Marshall County.
C. E. WOOD, Clerk, Riley County.

Three counties: Clay, Marshall and Riley. Area, 2,147 square miles; population, 58,733; assessed value, \$140,305,970.

There were 239 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 99 were dismissed before trial on the merits, 129 were tried to the court and 11 to the jury. In 188 cases no answers were filed. In 17 cases answers were filed in 30 days after the filing of the petitions, 2 in 30 to 60 days, 4 in 60 days to 6 months, 2 after 6 months. There were 38 cases tried on the merits within 3 months of the filing of the petitions, 19 from 3 to 6 months. In 93 cases journal entries were filed the day of trial, 6 within 10 days, 12 in 10 to 30 days. In 30 cases no journal entries were filed. There were 36 motions and demurrers filed before trial. Of these 9 were withdrawn, 14 were presented in 10 days, 4 in 10 to 30 days, 9 after 30 days. Of these 22 were decided the day presented, 1 in 10 to 30 days, 4 are pending. The court costs were reported in 238 cases, showing a minimum of 70 cents, a maximum of \$944.05 and an average of \$37.73. There were 14 motions filed after trial, of which 5 were withdrawn. Of these 6 were presented in 10 days, 3 in 10 to 30 days, 7 after 30 days. Of these motions 6 were decided the day presented. There were 93 civil cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 18 were pending less than 3 months, 10 from 3 to 6 months, 18 from 6 to 12 months, 10 from 1 to 2 years, 1 from 2 to 3 years, 1 from 4 to 5 years.

There were 83 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 24 were dismissed before trial. In 49 cases the divorces were granted to wives and in 6 cases to husbands, and in 4 cases divorces were denied. In 5 cases divorces were contested. The custody of minor children was awarded to wives in 23 cases and in no case to husband. There was 1 case tried within 60 days of the filing of the petition, 59 cases in 60 days to 6 months. There were 24 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 13 were pending less than 3 months, 6 from 3 to 6 months, 3 from 6 months to 1 year, and 2 from 1 to 2 years.

There were 45 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 10 were dismissed. Defendants entered pleas of guilty in 26 cases. There were 9 cases tried to the jury, in which verdicts of guilty were returned in 6 and verdicts of not guilty in 2, and there was 1 hung jury. There were 25 cases tried within 10 days after the informations were filed, in 2 cases from 10 to 30 days, 1 case in 30 days to 3 months. There were 5 paroles granted. There were 9 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 7 were pending less than 3 months, 1 from 3 to 6 months, 1 from 6 months to 1 year.

TWENTY-SECOND DISTRICT.

HON. C. W. RYAN, of Hiawatha, Judge.

LUTE ROGERS, Clerk, Brown County.

E. C. WINZER, Clerk, Doniphan County.

ALICE HANER, Clerk, Nemaha County.

Three counties: Brown, Doniphan and Nemaha. Area, 1,665 square miles; population, 53,798; assessed value, \$132,303,453.

There were 295 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 104 were dismissed before trial. There were 164 cases tried to the court, 27 to the jury. There were no answers filed in 218 cases. In 39 cases answers were filed in 30 days after the petitions were filed, in 20 cases in 30 to 60 days, 17 in 60 days to 6 months. There were 27 cases tried within 3 months of the petitions, 40 cases in 3 to 6 months of the filing of the petitions. In 22 cases journal entries were filed the day of the trial; in 54 cases within 10 days, 49 in 10 to 30 days, and in 31 after 30 days. No journal entries were filed in 186 cases. There were 86 motions and demurrers before trial; 7 were withdrawn, 45 presented in 10 days, 19 in 10 to 30 days, 15 after 30 days. Of these motions 79 were decided the day presented. The court costs were reported in 295 cases, showing a minimum of \$1.95, a maximum of \$1,562.20 and an average of \$49.48. There were 74 motions filed after trial, of which 13 were withdrawn, 38 were presented in 10 days, 12 in 10 to 30 days, 11 after 30 days. Of these 61 were decided the day presented. There were 179 civil cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 46 had been pending less than 3 months, 54 from 3 to 6 months, 47 from 6 to 12 months, 32 from 1 to 2 years.

There were 65 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 20 were dismissed before trial. The divorces were granted to wives in 31 cases and to husbands in 10 cases. In 4 cases divorces were denied. In 11 cases the divorces were contested. The custody of minor children was awarded to wives in 14 cases and in 1 case to the husband. There were 5 cases tried within 60 days after the petitions were filed, 38 cases in 60 days to 6 months and in 2 cases after 6 months. There were 29 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 12 had been pending less than 3 months, 12 were pending from 3 to 6 months, 5 from 6 months to 1 year.

There were 68 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 21 were dismissed before trial on the merits. Defendants entered pleas of guilty in 38 cases. There were 12 cases tried to the jury, in which verdicts of guilty were returned in 8 and verdicts of not guilty in 3, and in 1 case there was a hung jury. Three paroles were granted. There were 16 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 10 were pending less than 3 months, 5 from 3 to 6 months, 1 from 6 months to 1 year.

TWENTY-THIRD DISTRICT.

HON. J. C. RUPPENTHAL, of Russell, Judge.

P. E. DREILING, Clerk, Ellis County.

R. B. McNAY, Clerk, Gove County.

ALFRED ROGGE, Clerk, Logan County.

JOHN G. BANKER, Clerk, Russell County.

J. F. BARCLAY, Clerk, Trego County.

IDA WARD, Clerk, Wallace County.

Six counties: Ellis, Gove, Logan, Russell, Trego and Wallace. Area, 5,778 square miles; population, 43,368; assessed value, \$101,319,799.

There were 440 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 237 were dismissed before trial on the merits, 188 were tried to the court and 15 to the jury. In 312 cases no answers were filed. In 78 cases answers were filed in 30 days after the filing of the petitions, 20 cases from 60 days to 6 months, and 4 later than 6 months. There were 106 cases tried on the merits within 3 months of the time the petitions were filed, 38 from 3 to 6 months, 15 from 6 to 12 months. In 95 cases the journal entries were filed the day of trial, in 16 cases not the same day but within 10 days, in 12 from 10 to 30 days, in 76 later than 30 days. In 191 cases the journal entries had not been filed. There were 799 motions and demurrers before trial filed in these cases, of which 28 were withdrawn, 36 presented to the court within 10 days after they were filed, 17 from 10 to 30 days and 18 later than 30 days. There were 66 motions decided the same day they were presented, 2 not the same day but within 10 days, and 3 are pending. The court costs were reported in 430 cases, showing a minimum of 35 cents, a maximum of \$456.97 and an average of \$28.76. There were 76 motions filed after trial, of which 9 were withdrawn, 57 were presented in 10 days, 5 in 10 to 30 days, 5 after 30 days. Of these 64 were decided the day presented, 3 not the same day but within 10 days. There were 199 civil actions pending July 1, 1929. Of these 75 had been pending less than 3 months, 45 from 3 to 6 months, 48 from 6 to 12 months, 21 from 1 to 2 years, 6 from 2 to 3 years, 1 from 4 to 5 years.

There were 35 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 9 were dismissed before trial. In 20 cases divorces were granted to wives and in 6 to husbands. Of the cases tried 2 were contested. The custody of minor children was awarded to wives in 14 cases and in 2 cases to husbands. There was 1 case tried within 60 days to 6 months of the filing of the petition, and 2 later than 6 months. There were 14 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 5 had been pending less than 3 months, 7 from 3 to 6 months, 1 from 6 months to 1 year, 1 from 1 to 2 years.

There were 27 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 12 were dismissed before trial on the merits. In 9 cases the defendants entered pleas of guilty. There were 6 cases tried to the jury, in which verdicts of guilty were returned in 3, verdicts of not guilty in 2. Paroles were granted in 9 cases. There were 11 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 4 had been pending from 3 to 6 months, 1 from 6 months to 1 year, 2 from 1 to 2 years, 3 from 2 to 3 years, 1 from 3 to 4 years.

TWENTY-FOURTH DISTRICT.

HON. GEO. L. HAY, of Kingman, Judge.

EDITH MYERS, Clerk, Barber County.

FAY M. PEARL, Clerk, Harper County.

NELL H. WALTER, Clerk, Kingman County.

LUCILLE TURNER, Clerk, Pratt County.

Four counties: Barber, Harper, Kingman and Pratt. Area, 3,526 square miles; population, 46,169; assessed value, \$124,875,423.

There were 238 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 101 were dismissed before trial on the merits, 122 were tried to the court, 15 to the jury. In 130 cases no answers were filed. In 80 cases answers were filed in 30 days after petitions were filed, in 10 from 30 to 60 days, in 17 from 60 days to 6 months, and in 1 case later than 6 months. There were 57 cases tried on the merits within 3 months of the time the petitions were filed, 23 from 3 to 6 months, 11 from 6 to 12 months. In 66 cases the journal entries were filed the day of trial, 5 not the same day but within 10 days, 11 in from 10 to 30 days and 6 later than 30 days. In 92 cases the journal entries had not been filed. There were 48 motions and demurrers filed in these cases before trial, of which 16 were withdrawn, 11 presented to the court within 10 days after they were filed, 10 from 10 to 30 days and 8 later than 30 days. There were 25 motions decided the same day they were presented, 1 from 10 to 30 days, and 3 are pending. The court costs were reported in 238 cases, showing a minimum of \$2.80, a maximum of \$191.92 and an average of \$20.45. There were 27 motions filed after trial, of which 9 were withdrawn, 7 presented in 10 days, 5 in 10 to 30 days, 6 after 30 days. Of these 18 were decided the day presented. There were 167 civil actions pending July 1, 1929. Of these 64 had been pending less than 3 months, 25 from 3 to 6 months, 30 from 6 to 12 months, 36 from 1 to 2 years, 7 from 2 to 3 years, 3 from 3 to 4 years, and 1 from 4 to 5 years.

There were 93 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 33 were dismissed before trial. In 42 cases divorces were granted to wives and in 18 cases to husbands. Of the cases tried 2 were contested. In 25 cases the custody of minor children was awarded to wives and in 4 to husbands. There were 43 cases tried within 60 days to 6 months of the filing of the petitions, and 12 after 6 months. There were 25 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 19 had been pending less than 3 months, 5 from 3 to 5 months, 1 from 6 months to 1 year.

There were 89 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 31 were dismissed before trial on the merits. In 38 cases the defendants entered pleas of guilty. There were 20 cases tried to the jury, in which verdicts of guilty were returned in 10 cases and not guilty in 8. In 2 cases there were hung juries. In 9 cases no transcripts were filed. There was 1 case tried within 10 days after the information was filed, 6 from 30 days to 3 months, 2 from 3 to 6 months. Four paroles were granted. There were 30 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 17 had been pending less than 3 months, 5 from 3 to 6 months, 8 from 6 months to 1 year.

TWENTY-FIFTH DISTRICT.

HON. WENDELL P. READY, of Wellington, Judge.
LURA PLUMB, Clerk.

One county: Sumner. Area, 1,179 square miles; population, 29,053; assessed value, \$75,426,375.

There were 161 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 97 were dismissed before trial, 57 tried to the court, 7 tried to the jury. In 81 cases no answers were filed. In 44 cases answers were filed in 30 days after the petitions were filed, in 16 cases from 30 to 60 days, in 15 cases from 60 days to 6 months, 5 cases later than 6 months. There were 46 cases tried on the merits within 3 months of the filing of the petitions, 40 cases from 3 to 6 months, 6 from 6 to 12 months, 4 later than 12 months. In 90 cases the journal entries were filed the day of trial, in 15 cases within 10 days. In 134 cases journal entries had not been filed. There were 99 motions and demurrers before trial, of which 9 were withdrawn, 34 in 10 days, 25 in 10 to 30 days, 31 after 30 days. Of these motions 88 were decided the day presented, 2 not the same day but within 10 days. Court costs were reported in 161 cases, showing a minimum of \$2.40, a maximum of \$900 and an average of \$40.44. There were 44 motions filed after trial, of which 3 were withdrawn, 41 presented in 10 days. Of these motions 41 were decided the day presented. There were 144 civil actions pending July 1, 1929. Of these 43 were pending less than 3 months, 18 from 3 to 6 months, 17 from 6 to 12 months, 32 from 1 to 2 years, 11 from 2 to 3 years, 8 from 3 to 4 years, 10 from 4 to 5 years and 5 over 5 years.

There were 15 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 6 were dismissed before trial. In 6 cases the divorces were granted to wives and in 2 cases to husbands. In 1 case the divorce was denied. In 2 cases the divorces were contested. The custody of minor children was awarded to wives in 2 cases. There were 3 cases tried within 60 days after the petitions were filed, 6 in 60 days to 6 months. There were 28 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 14 were pending less than 3 months, 4 from 3 to 6 months, 6 from 6 months to 1 year, 3 from 1 to 2 years and 1 for more than 3 years.

There were 80 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 26 were dismissed. Six paroles were granted. There were 27 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 7 had been pending less than 3 months, 1 from 3 to 6 months, 5 from 6 months to 1 year, 8 from 1 to 2 years, 1 from 2 to 3 years, 2 from 3 to 4 years.

TWENTY-NINTH DISTRICT.

HON. EDWARD L. FISCHER, of Kansas City, Judge, First Division.

HON. F. D. HUTCHINGS, of Kansas City, Judge, Second Division.

HON. W. H. McCAMISH, of Kansas City, Judge, Third Division.

HON. C. A. MILLER, of Kansas City, Judge, Fourth Division.

WALTER F. MATHIS, Clerk.

One county: Wyandotte. Area, 143 square miles; population, 134,994; assessed value, \$166,966,979.

There were 900 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed, within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 450 were dismissed before trial, 351 were tried to the court and 99 to the jury. In 268 cases no answers were filed. In 357 cases answers were filed in 30 days of the filing of the petitions. In 281 cases in 30 to 60 days, in 24 cases from 60 days to 6 months, and in 3 cases after 6 months. There were 47 cases tried on the merits within three months of the filing of the petitions, 117 from 3 to 6 months, 107 after 12 months. In 683 cases journal entries were filed the same day as trial, in 6 cases within 10 days, 2 cases in 10 to 30 days, and 2 cases later than 30 days. In 207 cases no journal entries were filed. There were 1,071 motions and demurrers before trial, of which 1,056 were withdrawn or not presented, 5 presented in 10 days, 6 in 10 to 30 days, and 4 after 30 days. Of these 12 were decided the day presented, 2 not the same day but within 10 days and 2 after 30 days. The court costs were reported in 900 cases, giving a minimum of \$4.80, a maximum of \$158.10 and an average of \$16.35. There were 83 motions filed after trial, of which 60 were withdrawn, 11 presented in 10 days, 7 in 10 to 30 days, 5 later than 30 days. Of these 10 were decided the day presented, 4 not the same day but within 10 days, 4 in 10 to 30 days. There were 1,824 civil actions other than divorce cases pending July 1, 1929.

There were 587 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 59 cases were dismissed before trial. In 393 cases divorces were granted to wives and in 135 cases to husbands. In 49 cases there were contests. In 118 cases the custody of minor children was granted to wives and in 21 cases to husbands. There were 9 cases tried within 60 days after the petitions were filed, 483 in 60 days to 6 months and 36 after 6 months. There were 524 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929.

There were 324 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 116 cases were dismissed before trial. Defendants entered pleas of guilty in 158. There were 50 cases tried to the jury, in which verdicts of guilty were received in 28, verdicts of not guilty in 17; there were 5 hung juries. In 26 cases paroles were granted. There were 336 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929.

THIRTIETH DISTRICT.

HON. DALLAS GROVER, of Salina, Judge.
JAMES M. WILSON, Clerk, Ellsworth County.
ERNEST D. HARLOW, Clerk, Lincoln County.
NELL ERBENTRAUT, Clerk, Ottawa County.
GLENN W. BERGSTEN, Clerk, Saline County.

Four counties: Ellsworth, Lincoln, Ottawa and Saline. Area, 2,877 square miles; population, 56,351; assessed value, \$157,121,132.

There were 358 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 159 were dismissed before trial, 176 were tried to the court and 23 to the jury. There were 255 cases in which no answer was filed. In 86 cases answers were filed in 30 days after the petition was filed, in 22 cases from 30 to 60 days, in 22 cases from 60 days to 6 months, and in 8 cases later than 6 months. There were 125 cases tried on their merits within 3 months of the time the petitions were filed, 37 cases from 3 to 6 months of the time the petition was filed, 1 from 6 to 12 months. In 136 cases the journal entries were filed the day of trial, 7 within 10 days, 21 from 10 to 30 days, 28 later than 30 days. In 237 cases journal entries had not been filed. There were 123 motions and demurrers filed before trial, in which 69 were withdrawn, 25 were presented in 10 days, 10 presented in 10 to 30 days, 20 after 30 days. Of these motions and demurrers 51 were tried the day presented, 1 not the same day, but within 10 days, and one later than 30 days. The court costs were reported in 359 cases, showing a minimum of \$1.30, a maximum of \$680.76 and an average of \$27.43. There were 49 motions filed after trial. Of these 16 were withdrawn, 46 were presented in 10 days, 21 in 10 to 30 days, 12 later than 30 days. Of these 77 were tried the day they were presented and one later than 30 days. There were 230 civil actions pending July 1, 1929. Of these 63 had been pending less than 3 months, 39 from 3 to 6 months, 42 from 6 to 12 months, 48 from 1 to 2 years, 19 from 2 to 3 years, 10 from 3 to 4 years and 1 from 4 to 5 years.

There were 123 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 32 were dismissed before trial. In 74 cases divorces were granted to wives and in 16 to husbands. In 1 case the divorce was denied. In 12 cases the divorce was contested. In 36 cases the custody of minor children was awarded to wives and in 2 cases to husbands. There were 4 cases tried within 60 days after the petition was filed, 107 from 60 days to 6 months, and 3 later than 6 months. There were 74 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 35 were pending less than 3 months, 11 from 3 to 6 months, 14 from 6 months to 1 year, 7 from 1 to 2 years, and 7 for more than 2 years.

There were 96 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 38 were dismissed before trial. The defendants entered pleas of guilty in 39 cases. There were 19 cases tried to the jury, in which verdicts of guilty were returned in 11, of not guilty in 5, and in 3 cases there were hung juries. There were 5 cases tried within 10 days after the informations were filed, 2 in 10 to 30 days. Six paroles were granted. There were 13 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 10 were pending less than 3 months, 4 from 3 to 6 months and 1 from 3 to 4 years.

THIRTY-FIRST DISTRICT.

HON. KARL MILLER, of Dodge City, Judge.

MRS. MAY PALMER, Clerk, Clark County.

J. A. MURRAY, Clerk, Comanche County.

SUSAN A. EVANS, Clerk, Ford County.

W. A. LEVAN, Clerk, Gray County.

PAUL KLUG, Clerk, Kiowa County.

MRS. LOTTIS STAMPER, Clerk, Meade County.

Six counties: Clark, Comanche, Ford, Gray, Kiowa and Meade; Area, 5,407 square miles; population, 45,325; assessed value, \$106,399,477.

There were 362 civil actions other than divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 114 were dismissed before trial, 206 were tried to the court and 32 to the jury. In 301 cases no answers were filed. In 69 cases answers were filed within 30 days after the petitions were filed, 66 from 30 to 60 days, 33 from 60 days to 6 months, and 1 case later than 6 months. There were 69 cases tried on their merits within 3 months of the time the petition was filed, 32 cases in 3 to 6 months, and 11 cases from 6 to 12 months. In 156 cases the journal entries were filed the day of trial, 7 within 10 days, 8 in 10 to 30 days, and 10 later than 30 days. In 108 cases the journal entry had not been filed. There were 51 motions and demurrers filed before trial. Of these 21 were withdrawn, 14 presented in 10 days, 11 in 10 to 30 days, and 5 later than 30 days. Of these 25 were decided the day presented, 3 in 10 to 30 days, 2 after 30 days and 2 cases pending. The court costs were reported in 352 cases, showing a minimum of \$1.10, a maximum of \$1,622.10 and an average of \$31.58. There were 34 motions filed after trial, of which 19 were withdrawn, 8 were presented in 10 days, 5 in 10 to 30 days, 2 later than 30 days. Of these motions 8 were decided the day presented, 2 not the same day but within 10 days, 3 from 10 to 30 days and 2 after 30 days. There were 262 civil actions pending July 1, 1929. Of these 52 had been pending less than 3 months, 26 from 3 to 6 months, 98 from 6 to 12 months, 34 from 1 to 2 years, 11 from 2 to 3 years, 19 from 3 to 4 years, 18 from 4 to 5 years, and 3 over 5 years.

There were 93 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 15 were dismissed before trial. There were 55 divorces granted to wives and 23 to husbands. There were 3 cases contested. In 30 cases minor children were awarded to wives and in 3 cases to husbands. There were 3 cases tried within 60 days after the petition was filed, in 67 cases from 60 days to 6 months, and 3 later than 6 months. There were 53 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 33 were pending less than 3 months, 9 from 3 to 6 months, 6 from 6 months to 1 year, 2 from 1 to 2 years and 2 for more than 2 years.

There were 116 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 43 were dismissed before trial. The defendants entered pleas of guilty in 50 cases. There were 23 cases tried to the jury, in which verdicts of guilty were returned in 13 and of not guilty in 8, and there were 2 hung juries. There were 7 cases tried within 10 days after the information was filed, 1 in 10 to 30 days, and 4 in 30 days to 3 months. Paroles were granted in 15 cases. There were 46 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 17 had been pending less than 3 months, 12 from 3 to 6 months, 14 from 6 months to 1 year, 2 from 1 to 2 years, and 1 from 2 to 3 years.

THIRTY-SECOND DISTRICT.

HON. H. E. WALTER, of Syracuse, Judge.
RUTH S. RUCKEL, Clerk, Finney County.
DAVID R. MILLS, Clerk, Greeley County.
AMELIA J. MINOR, Clerk, Hamilton County.
H. H. COCHRAN, Clerk, Kearny County.
ORA D. SMELTZER, Clerk, Lane County.
C. A. EASLEY, Clerk, Scott County.
ANNA G. DENNING, Clerk, Wichita County.

Seven counties: Finney, Greeley, Hamilton, Kearny, Lane, Scott and Wichita. Area, 6,039 square miles; population, 24,066; assessed value, \$64,-652,073.

There were 365 civil actions other than divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 135 were dismissed before trial, 213 were tried to the court and 17 to the jury. In 292 cases no answers were filed. In 48 cases answers were filed in 30 days after the petitions were filed, 17 from 30 to 60 days, 20 from 60 days to 6 months, and 1 after 6 months. There were 52 cases tried on the merits within 3 months of the time the petitions were filed, 27 from 3 to 6 months, 17 from 6 to 12 months. In 50 cases the journal entries were filed the day of trial, 14 within 10 days, 5 from 10 to 30 days, and 32 later than 30 days. There were 160 cases in which no journal entries were filed. There were 95 motions and demurrers filed before trial. Of these 22 were withdrawn, 23 were presented in 10 days, 19 in 10 to 30 days, and 22 after 30 days. Of these 58 were decided the day presented, 3 not the same day but within 10 days, 4 from 10 to 30 days, 4 after 30 days. The court costs were reported in 392 cases, showing a minimum of 75 cents, a maximum of \$285.16 and an average of \$21.66. There were 69 motions filed after trial. Of these 8 were withdrawn, 51 were presented in 10 days, 7 in 10 to 30 days, 3 later than 30 days. Of these 57 were decided the day presented; 2 not the same day but within 10 days, 1 after 30 days, and there is 1 pending. There were 136 civil actions pending July 1, 1929. Of these 63 had been pending less than 3 months, 23 from 3 to 6 months, 28 from 6 to 12 months, 17 from 1 to 2 years, 4 from 2 to 3 years, and 1 from 3 to 4 years.

There were 42 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 8 were dismissed before trial. In 25 cases the divorces were granted to wives and in 9 cases to husbands. Three cases were contested. In 30 cases the custody of minor children was awarded to wives and in 2 cases to husbands. There was 1 case tried within 60 days of the filing of petition, 24 from 60 days to 6 months, and 3 after 6 months. There were 16 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 9 were pending less than 3 months, 9 from 3 to 6 months, 5 from 6 months to 1 year, and 1 for more than 2 years.

There were 49 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 13 were dismissed before trial. The defendants entered pleas of guilty in 24 cases. There were 12 cases tried to the jury, in which verdicts of guilty were returned in 7, of not guilty in 3, and in 2 cases there were hung juries. There were 19 paroles granted. There were 24 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 14 were pending less than 3 months, 2 from 3 to 6 months, and 8 from 6 months to 1 year.

THIRTY-THIRD DISTRICT.

HON. ROSCOE H. WILSON, of Jetmore, Judge.
 C. E. BURKE, Clerk, Edwards County.
 FRANK PHILLIPS, Clerk, Hodgeman County.
 MARTHA BORTHWICK, Clerk, Ness County.
 ROSE MASON, Clerk, Pawnee County.
 W. G. HOPKINS, Clerk, Rush County.

Five counties: Edwards, Hodgeman, Ness, Pawnee and Rush. Area, 4,000 square miles; population, 35,940; assessed value, \$104,916,889.

There were 272 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 127 were dismissed before trial, 134 were tried to the court, 11 to the jury. In 219 cases no answers were filed, in 18 cases answers were filed in 30 days after the petitions were filed, 13 from 30 to 60 days, 23 from 60 days to 6 months. There were 91 cases tried on the merits within 3 months of the time petitions were filed, 23 from 3 to 6 months, 7 from 6 to 12 months, and 4 later than 12 months. In 137 cases journal entries were filed the day of trial, 35 not the same day but within 10 days, 8 from 10 to 30 days. In 32 cases they were filed later than 30 days. In 69 cases journal entries had not been filed. There were 42 motions and demurrers filed before trial, of which 10 were withdrawn, 21 presented within 10 days, 8 in 10 to 30 days, and 3 later than 30 days. There were 32 motions decided the same day they were presented. The court costs were reported in 272 cases, showing a minimum of 56 cents, a maximum of \$552.64 and an average of \$28.11. There were 22 motions filed after trial, of which 2 were withdrawn, 15 presented within 10 days. Of these 12 were decided the day presented and 3 within 10 days. There were 92 civil actions pending July 1, 1929. Of these 37 had been pending less than 3 months, 15 from 3 to 6 months, 13 from 6 to 12 months, 17 from 1 to 2 years, 4 from 2 to 3 years, 4 from 3 to 4 years, and 2 over 5 years.

There were 50 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 12 were dismissed before trial. In 29 cases divorces were granted to wives and in 9 to husbands. Six cases were contested. In 11 cases the custody of minor children was awarded to wives and in 2 to husbands. There were 2 cases tried within 60 days after the petitions were filed, 35 from 60 days to 6 months, and 1 later than 6 months. There were 17 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 13 had been pending less than 3 months, 4 from 3 to 6 months.

There were 30 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 8 were dismissed before trial. The defendants entered pleas of guilty in 13. There were 9 cases tried to the jury, in which verdicts of guilty were returned in 2, of not guilty in 4, and 3 hung juries. There were 4 cases tried within 10 days after the informations were filed, 1 case in 10 to 30 days. Paroles were granted in 7 cases. There were 25 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 12 had been pending less than 3 months, 6 from 3 to 6 months, 1 from 6 months to 1 year, 2 from 1 to 2 years, 2 from 2 to 3 years, 2 from 3 to 4 years.

THIRTY-FOURTH DISTRICT.

HON. W. B. HAM, of Stockton, Judge.
MARY E. OVERSTREET, Clerk, Graham County.
GEO. F. CRANE, Clerk, Rooks County.
LVA M. EDWARDS, Clerk, Sheridan County.
BERTHA KEMPTON, Clerk, Sherman County.
N. C. KNUDSON, Clerk, Thomas County.

Five counties: Graham, Rooks, Sheridan, Sherman and Thomas. Area, 4,797 square miles; population, 36,141; assessed value, \$74,409,881.

There were 332 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 161 were dismissed before trial on the merits, 152 were tried to the court and 19 to the jury. In 245 cases no answers were filed. In 42 cases answers were filed in 30 days after the petitions were filed, in 11 cases from 30 to 60 days, 8 cases from 60 days to 6 months, 1 case after 6 months. There were 55 cases tried on the merits within 3 months of the time of the filing of the petitions, 24 from 3 to 6 months, 6 from 6 to 12 months. In 10 cases journal entries were filed the day of trial, 9 not the same day but within 10 days, 8 from 10 to 30 days, and 33 later than 30 days. In 194 cases journal entries had not been filed. In 87 cases there were motions and demurrers before trial, of which 47 were withdrawn, 16 presented in 10 days, 13 in 10 to 30 days, 14 after 30 days. Of these motions 21 were decided the day presented, 21 in 10 days, 2 in 10 to 30 days, 5 were pending. The court costs were reported in 312 cases, showing a minimum of \$1.05, a maximum of \$577.30 and an average of \$24.30. There were 85 motions filed after trial. Of these 16 were withdrawn, 51 presented in 10 days, 8 in 10 to 30 days, 10 after 30 days. Of these 65 were decided the day presented, 3 in 10 days. There were 121 civil cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 46 were pending less than 3 months, 22 from 3 to 6 months, 31 from 6 to 12 months, 24 from 1 to 2 years and 22 from 2 to 3 years, 1 from 3 to 4 years, 2 from 4 to 5 years, and 3 over 5 years.

There were 29 divorce cases tried or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 11 were dismissed before trial. In 14 cases divorces were granted to wives and in 4 to husbands. In 10 cases the custody of minor children was awarded to wives and in 2 cases to husbands. There were 2 cases tried within 60 days after the petitions were filed, 7 in 60 days to 6 months, 1 after 6 months. There were 27 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 5 had been pending less than 3 months, 15 from 3 to 6 months, 5 from 6 months to 1 year, 2 from 1 to 2 years.

There were 54 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these cases 10 were dismissed before trial. In 22 cases defendants entered pleas of guilty. There were 22 cases tried to the jury, in which verdicts of guilty were returned in 11, of not guilty in 11. There were 4 cases tried within 10 days after the information had been filed. There were 24 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 1 had been pending less than 3 months, 2 from 3 to 6 months, 18 from 6 months to 1 year, 2 from 1 to 2 years, and 1 from 2 to 3 years.

THIRTY-FIFTH DISTRICT.

HON. CAREY E. CARROLL, of Alma, Judge.
 FLOYD T. HEPWORTH, Clerk, Osage County.
 MRS. M. H. BARNES, Clerk, Wabaunsee County.

Two counties: Osage and Wabaunsee. Area, 1,513 square miles; population, 30,585; assessed value, \$66,483,474.

There were 121 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 69 were dismissed before trial, 46 were tried to the court and 6 to the jury. In 79 cases there were no answers filed. In 32 cases the answers were filed within 30 days of the filing of the petition, 6 in 30 to 60 days, 4 in 60 days to 6 months, and 1 after 6 months. There were 14 cases tried on the merits within 3 months of the filing of the petitions, 6 from 3 to 6 months, 4 from 6 to 12 months, and 2 after 12 months. In 54 cases journal entries were filed the same day as the trial, and in 9 cases 10 to 30 days, in 26 cases after 30 days. No journal entries were filed in 35 cases. There were 28 motions and demurrers filed before trial, of which 13 were withdrawn, 8 presented in 10 days, 5 in 10 to 30 days, and 29 after 30 days. Of these motions 15 were decided the day presented. The court costs were reported in 121 cases, showing a minimum of \$5.30, a maximum of \$858.89 and an average of \$78.88. There were 13 motions filed after trial, of which 2 were withdrawn, 6 presented in 10 days, 2 in 10 to 30 days and 1 after 30 days. Of these 7 were decided the day presented and 2 were pending. There were 48 civil actions pending July 1, 1929. Of these 23 were pending less than 3 months, 11 from 3 to 6 months, 4 from 6 to 12 months, 6 from 1 to 2 years, 3 from 2 to 3 years, and 1 from 4 to 5 years.

There were 40 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 12 were dismissed before trial. In 15 cases divorces were granted to wives and in 12 to husbands. In 1 case the divorce was denied. In 2 cases the divorces were contested. The custody of minor children was awarded in 8 cases to wives and in 3 to husbands. There were 2 cases tried within 60 days after the petitions were filed, 24 cases in 60 days to 6 months, and 2 cases after 6 months. There were 10 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 5 were pending less than 3 months, 4 from 3 to 6 months, 1 from 1 to 2 years.

There were 55 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 23 were dismissed before trial. Defendants entered pleas of guilty in 2. (Osage county not reporting.) Ten cases were tried to the jury, in which verdicts of guilty were received in 8, of not guilty in 2. There were 5 cases tried within 10 days after the information was filed, 3 from 30 days to 3 months, 3 from 3 to 6 months. In 5 cases paroles were granted. There were 9 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 1 was pending less than 3 months, 3 from 3 to 6 months, 1 from 6 months to 1 year, 3 from 1 to 2 years, and 1 from 3 to 4 years.

THIRTY-SIXTH DISTRICT.

HON. HORACE T. PHINNEY, of Oskaloosa, Judge.

A. J. SEABOLD, Clerk, Jackson County.

CHAS. L. GENTRY, Clerk, Jefferson County.

CHAS. S. SMITH, Clerk, Pottawatomie County.

Three counties: Jackson, Jefferson and Pottawatomie. Area, 2,047 square miles; population, 44,437; assessed value, \$109,254,947.

There were 270 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed during the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 152 were dismissed before trial, 108 were tried to the court, 10 were tried to the jury. In 218 cases no answers had been filed. In 30 cases answers were filed in 30 days after the filing of the petitions, 17 cases in 30 to 60 days, 5 cases from 60 days to 6 months. There were 64 cases tried on the merits within 3 months of the filing of the petitions, 36 within 3 to 6 months, 23 in 6 to 12 months. In 168 cases journal entries were filed the day of the trial, in 1 case within 10 days, 2 cases in 10 to 30 days, and 15 cases later than 30 days. In 69 cases no journal entries were filed. There were 23 motions and demurrers filed before trial, of which 12 were withdrawn, 9 presented in 10 days, and 4 later than 30 days. Of these 2 were decided the day presented. The court costs were reported in 270 cases, showing a minimum of \$2.10, a maximum of \$491.20 and an average of \$24.55. There were 14 motions filed after trial, in which 3 were withdrawn, 10 presented in 10 days, 3 in 10 to 30 days, 1 after 30 days. Of these 11 were decided the day presented and 3 within 10 days. There were 142 civil actions pending July 1, 1929. Of these 53 had been pending less than 3 months, 25 from 3 to 6 months, 29 from 6 to 12 months, 26 from 1 to 2 years, 7 from 2 to 3 years, 2 from 3 to 4 years, 2 from 4 to 5 years, and 1 over 5 years.

There were 44 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 5 were dismissed before trial. In 30 cases divorces were granted to wives and in 8 cases to husbands. In 1 case the divorce was denied. Four cases were contested. In 9 cases the custody of minor children was awarded to wives and in 2 cases to husbands. There was 1 case tried within 60 days of the filing of the petition, 39 cases in 60 days to 6 months, and 5 after 6 months. There were 19 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 8 had been pending less than 3 months, 5 from 3 to 6 months, and 6 from 6 months to 1 year.

There were 67 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929, and 31 cases were dismissed before trial. Defendants entered pleas of guilty in 8 cases. There were 28 cases tried to the jury, in which verdicts of guilty were returned in 20, of not guilty in 8. There were 7 cases tried within 10 days after the informations were filed, 14 in 30 days to 3 months, 14 in 3 to 6 months, 8 in 6 months to 1 year. In 2 cases paroles were granted. There were 32 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 13 had been pending less than 3 months, 8 from 3 to 6 months, and 11 from 6 months to 1 year.

THIRTY-SEVENTH DISTRICT.

HON. FRANK R. FORREST, of Iola, Judge.
GLADYS F. MARMONT, Clerk, Allen County.
MYRTLE SMITH, Clerk, Woodson County.

Two counties: Allen and Woodson. Area, 1,013 square miles; population, 30,145; assessed value, \$58,688,602.

There were 258 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 73 were dismissed before trial, 124 were tried to the court and 16 to the jury. (Woodson county not reporting.) In 157 cases no answers were filed. In 29 cases answers were filed within 30 days of the filing of the petitions, 12 cases in 30 to 60 days, 27 in 60 days to 6 months, and in 6 after 6 months. There were 34 cases tried within 3 months of the filing of the petitions, 63 in 3 to 6 months, 3 in 6 to 12 months. In 71 cases journal entries were filed the day of trial, 1 within 10 days, 6 after 30 days. In 39 cases no journal entries were filed. There were 93 motions and demurrers filed before trial, of which 41 were withdrawn, 27 presented in 10 days, 6 in 10 to 30 days, 18 after 30 days. Of these 33 were decided the day presented. The court costs were reported in 170 cases, showing a minimum of \$1.45, a maximum of \$218.80 and an average of \$18.67. There were 47 motions filed after trial, of which 3 were withdrawn, 22 presented in 10 days and 2 after 30 days. Of these motions 23 were decided the day presented, and 1 in 10 to 30 days. There were 90 civil actions pending July 1, 1929. Of these 34 were pending less than 3 months, 21 from 3 to 6 months, 21 from 6 to 12 months, 9 from 1 to 2 years, and 5 from 2 to 3 years.

There were 53 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 27 were dismissed before trial. In 10 cases divorces were granted to wives and in 10 to husbands. In 6 cases divorces were denied. In 6 cases the divorces were contested. In 7 cases the custody of minor children was awarded to wives and in 1 case to the husband. Seventeen cases were tried in 60 days to 6 months of the filing of the petitions and 8 after 6 months. There were 14 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 9 were pending less than 3 months, 2 from 3 to 6 months, 3 from 6 months to 1 year.

There were 43 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 9 were dismissed before trial. Defendants entered pleas of guilty in 15 cases. There were 14 cases tried to the jury in which verdicts of guilty were returned in 10, not guilty in 2; 2 cases in which there were hung juries. There were 10 cases tried in 10 days after the informations were filed, in 3 cases in 10 to 30 days, 2 in 30 days to 3 months. There were 7 paroles granted. There were 8 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 5 had been pending less than 3 months, 3 from 3 to 6 months.

THIRTY-EIGHTH DISTRICT.

HON. L. M. RESLER, of Pittsburg, Judge, First Division.

HON. GEO. A. BEEZLEY, of Girard, Judge, Second Division.

FLORENCE F. STUSSI, Clerk, Crawford County.

One county: Crawford. Area, 605 square miles; population, 59,176; assessed value, \$54,419,753.

There were 224 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 85 were dismissed before trial on the merits, 109 were tried to the court and 27 to the jury, and 3 were tried by the referee. In 142 cases no answers were filed. In 67 cases answers were filed in 30 days after the petitions were filed, in 6 cases from 30 to 60 days, in 4 cases in 60 days to 6 months, and 5 cases after 6 months. There were 73 cases tried on the merits within 3 months of the time petitions were filed, 4 from 3 to 6 months, and 3 from 6 to 12 months. In 35 cases the journal entries were filed the same day of the trial, in 2 cases not the same day but within 10 days, in 3 cases from 10 to 30 days. In 189 cases journal entries had not been filed. There were 345 motions and demurrers filed before trial, of which 39 were withdrawn, 205 presented in 10 days, 79 in 10 to 30 days, and 229 in 30 days. Of these motions and demurrers 153 were decided the day they were presented, 50 not the same day but within 10 days, 100 in 10 to 30 days, and 3 after 30 days. There were 94 motions filed after trial. Of these 45 were withdrawn, 24 presented in 10 days, 14 in 10 to 30 days, and 11 after 30 days. Of these 28 were decided the day they were presented, 10 within 10 days, and 11 in 10 to 30 days. There were 181 civil actions pending July 1, 1929. Of these 78 were pending less than 3 months, 8 from 3 to 6 months, 40 from 6 to 12 months, 19 from 1 to 2 years, 6 from 2 to 3 years, 22 from 3 to 4 years, 3 from 4 to 5 years, and 5 over 5 years.

There were 43 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 27 were dismissed before trial. In 13 cases divorces were granted to wives and in 3 cases to husbands. In 4 cases divorces were contested. In 7 cases the custody of minor children was awarded to wives. There were 14 cases tried in 60 days to 6 months after petitions were filed, and 2 after 6 months. There were 89 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 26 had been pending less than 3 months, 11 from 3 to 6 months, 31 from 6 months to 1 year, 15 from 1 to 2 years, and 6 for more than 2 years.

There were 47 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 25 were dismissed before trial on the merits. In 16 cases defendants entered pleas of guilty. There were 6 cases tried to the jury. There were 4 paroles granted. There were 37 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929, of which 15 had been pending less than 3 months, 7 from 3 to 6 months, 7 from 6 months to 1 year, 5 from 2 to 3 years, 1 from 3 to 4 years, 2 from 4 to 5 years.

THIRTY-NINTH DISTRICT.

HON. G. L. LIGHT, of Liberal, Judge.
 NANNIE WALKER, Clerk, Grant County.
 GEO. A. TYLER, Clerk, Haskell County.
 J. E. SAUNDERS, Clerk, Morton County.
 H. W. LANE, Clerk, Seward County.
 A. L. HELMICK, Clerk, Stanton County.
 JOHN F. FULKERSON, Clerk, Stevens County.

Six counties: Grant, Haskell, Morton, Seward, Stanton and Stevens. Area, 3,930 square miles; population, 20,410; assessed value, \$45,129,316.

There were 194 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929 (Grant county not reporting). Of these 77 were dismissed before trial, 106 were tried to the court, 10 to the jury, and 1 to the referee. In 115 cases no answers were filed. In 15 cases answers were filed in 30 days after the petitions were filed. In 11 cases in 30 to 60 days, in 6 cases from 60 days to 6 months, and 8 later than 6 months. There were 37 cases tried on their merits within 3 months of the filing of the petitions, 15 in 3 to 6 months, 4 in 6 to 12 months. In 34 cases journal entries were filed the day of trial, 5 in 10 days, 15 in 10 to 30 days, and 15 later than 30 days. In 108 cases journal entries had not been filed. There were 102 motions and demurrers filed before trial. Of these 3 were withdrawn, 42 presented in 10 days, 11 in 10 to 30 days, 12 after 30 days. Of these 65 were decided the day presented, 2 not the same day but within 10 days. The court costs were reported in 166 cases, showing a minimum of 70 cents, a maximum of \$803.25 and an average of \$24.19. There were 31 motions filed after trial, of which 6 were withdrawn, 16 were presented in 10 days, 8 in 10 to 30 days. Of these 19 were decided the day presented, 1 not the same day but within 10 days, 3 in 10 to 30 days and 1 later than 30 days. There were 90 civil actions pending July 1, 1929. Of these 47 had been pending less than 3 months, 17 from 3 to 6 months, 15 from 6 to 12 months, 8 from 1 to 2 years, 2 from 2 to 3 years, 1 from 3 to 4 years.

There were 40 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 6 were dismissed before trial. In 24 cases the divorces were granted to wives and in 9 cases to husbands; in 1 case divorce was denied. In 1 case divorce was contested. In 15 cases the custody of minor children was granted to wives and in 2 cases to husbands. There were 9 cases tried within 60 days after the petitions were filed, 23 cases in 60 days to 6 months, 2 later than 6 months. There were 17 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 7 had been pending less than 3 months, 5 from 3 to 6 months, 5 from 6 months to 1 year.

There were 51 original cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 12 were dismissed before trial. In 18 cases defendant entered pleas of guilty. There were 5 cases tried to the jury, verdicts of guilty in all. There were 15 cases tried in 10 days after the informations were filed, 3 in 10 to 30 days, 6 in 30 days to 3 months, 1 in 3 to 6 months and 1 after 1 year. There were 20 paroles granted. There were 8 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 3 had been pending from 3 to 6 months and 5 from 3 to 4 years.

SUMMARY FOR THE STATE AS A WHOLE.

The following is a summary for the state as a whole, with respect to the business of all the district courts in the state (except Grant and Lane counties, which reports were not filed):

There were 11,229 civil actions, other than divorce cases, tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 4,820 were dismissed before trial on the merits, 5,695 were tried to the court, 708 to the jury and 6 to referees. In 6,799 cases no answers were filed. In 2,052 cases answers were filed in 30 days after the petitions were filed, in 1,322 cases from 30 to 60 days, in 615 cases from 60 days to 6 months, and in 125 cases later than 6 months. There were 1,881 cases tried on their merits within 3 months of the time petitions were filed, 1,009 from 3 to 6 months, 225 from 6 to 12 months, and 170 later than 12 months. In 4,172 cases journal entries were filed the day of trial, in 398 cases not the same day but within 10 days, 438 cases from 10 to 30 days, and in 573 cases later than 30 days. In 3,927 cases no journal entries had been filed. There were 4,630 motions and demurrers filed before trial, of which 2,120 were withdrawn, 1,048 presented to the court within 10 days after they were filed, 707 from 10 to 30 days, and 580 later than 30 days. Of these motions and demurrers 2,060 were decided the same day they were presented, 124 not the same day but within 10 days, 174 in 10 to 30 days, 52 later than 30 days, and 18 were pending. The court costs were reported in 10,658 cases, showing a minimum of 50 cents, a maximum of \$1,772.55, and an average of \$28.90. There were 1,742 motions filed after trial, of which 396 were withdrawn, 921 were presented in 10 days, 234 in 10 to 30 days, and 138 after 30 days. Of these motions 1,090 were decided the day presented, 71 not the same day but within 10 days, 21 in 10 to 30 days, and 179 after 30 days. There were 18 motions pending. There were 5,477 civil actions pending July 1, 1929. Of these 1,983 had been pending less than 3 months, 1,006 from 3 to 6 months, 1,122 from 6 to 12 months, 754 from 1 to 2 years, 245 from 2 to 3 years, 146 from 3 to 4 years, 71 in 4 to 5 years, and 80 after 5 years. The court costs in the cases pending were reported in 5,477 cases, showing a minimum of 45 cents, a maximum of \$644.11, and an average of \$6.46.

There were 4,827 divorce cases tried on the merits or dismissed within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 1,307 were dismissed before trial. In 2,653 cases divorces were granted to wives and in 894 cases to husbands. In 6 cases the marriages were annulled, and in 47 cases divorces were denied. Of the cases tried 470 were contested. The custody of minor children was awarded to wives in 1,184 cases, to husbands in 225 cases. There was 126 cases tried within 60 days after petitions were filed, 3,093 cases in 60 days to 6 months, and 389 after 6 months. The grounds for divorce were given as abandonment in 906 cases, extreme cruelty in 1,019 cases, gross neglect of duty in 969 cases, conviction of a felony in 20 cases, habitual drunkenness in 53 cases, adultery in 52 cases, impotency in 5 cases, fraudulent contract in 6 cases, extreme cruelty and gross neglect or duty in 101 cases, extreme cruelty and abandonment in 12 cases, gross neglect of duty and abandonment in 8 cases, extreme cruelty and abandonment in 6 cases. There were 1,733 divorce cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these 798 were pending less than 3

months, 390 cases from 3 to 6 months, 355 cases from 6 months to 1 year, 82 cases from 1 to 2 years, 37 cases more than 3 years.

There were 3,726 criminal cases disposed of within the year ending July 1, 1929. Of these 1,735 were dismissed before trial on the merits. In 1,359 cases defendants entered pleas of guilty. There were 632 cases tried to the jury, in which verdicts of guilty were returned in 387 cases, verdicts of not guilty in 191 cases, and in 54 cases there were hung juries. In 1,606 cases no transcripts were filed. In 1,047 no informations were filed. In 601 cases informations were filed the same day as the transcripts, in 579 cases not the same day but within 10 days, and in 478 cases from 10 to 30 days. There were 181 cases tried within 10 days after the informations were filed, 48 in 10 to 30 days, 60 in 30 days to 3 months, 32 in 3 to 6 months, 11 in 6 months to 1 year, and 1 after 1 year. There were 650 paroles granted. There were 1,262 criminal cases pending July 1, 1929. Of these, 450 were pending less than 3 months, 375 from 3 to 6 months, 292 from 6 months to 1 year, 61 from 1 to 2 years, 34 from 2 to 3 years, 18 from 3 to 4 years, 2 from 4 to 5 years, and 9 for more than 5 years.

TABLE I.—Summary, district courts. Civil actions (other than divorce cases) tried on the merits (or dismissed), year ending July 1, 1929. (Compiled from Form 1.)

COUNTIES.	Number of cases.	Dis- missed before trial.	Tried by court.	Tried by jury.	Tried by referee.	No answer filed.	Answer filed.			Cases tried.				Journal entry.			
							In 30 days.	In 60 days to 6 mos.	After 6 mos.	In 3 to 6 mos. of petition.	In 3 to 6 months.	In 6 to 12 months.	After 12 months.	Filed day of trial.	Within 10 days.	In 10 to 30 days.	After 30 days.
Allen.....	170	69	85	16	0	80	27	25	2	34	29	0	0	71	1	0	6
Anderson.....	170	13	45	12	0	35	12	0	0	9	0	0	0	24	14	3	2
Atchison.....	150	44	97	9	0	70	41	6	4	21	11	5	0	43	8	0	6
Barber.....	164	29	31	4	0	50	2	4	0	21	8	0	0	19	2	0	0
Barton.....	105	33	70	2	0	55	15	0	0	18	3	0	0	57	0	0	0
Bourbon.....	95	27	62	6	0	62	14	6	7	39	13	12	0	53	5	5	0
Brown.....	72	26	37	2	0	61	10	1	0	2	0	0	0	7	5	15	20
Butler.....	198	43	136	16	0	130	39	22	0	29	12	0	0	13	0	24	5
Chase.....	52	12	40	0	0	45	3	0	0	7	3	0	0	4	0	3	0
Chautauqua.....	30	9	20	1	0	25	2	2	0	*	0	*
Cherokee.....	189	74	113	2	0	161	20	1	0	3	4	0	0	31	6	4	0
Cheyenne.....	77	34	38	5	0	43	20	4	0	34	19	0	3	59	26	6	7
Clark.....	38	7	29	2	0	29	0	0	0	5	3	0	0	18	0	0	10
Clay.....	38	32	12	4	0	32	10	2	4	9	6	0	0	2	5	2	0
Cloud.....	74	19	44	11	0	45	20	5	0
Coffey.....	36	14	18	4	0	61	11	3	0	7	6	0	0	61	8	3	0
Comanche.....	32	15	15	2	0	23	6	0	1	105	3	0	0	13	0	0	0
Cowley.....	283	110	155	18	0	82	103	53	6	16	61	10	0	*
Crawford.....	224	85	109	27	3	142	67	4	5	73	4	3	0	35	2	2	0
Decatur.....	51	13	32	4	0	35	10	2	0	7	5	0	0	9	2	3	0
Dickinson.....	143	57	80	5	1	46	28	19	0	9	40	0	0	39	5	0	0
Doniphan.....	172	10	43	10	0	87	13	10	0	11	6	0	0	0	17	9	2
Douglas.....	116	26	77	13	0	80	16	9	4	27	12	5	0	57	7	9	2
Edwards.....	54	15	34	5	0	42	6	2	4	11	4	0	0	13	6	1	4
Elk.....	74	7	60	7	0	71	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	37	1	4	8
Ellis.....	77	15	15	0	0	64	16	12	1	47	17	10	0	40	8	1	29
Ellsworth.....	54	13	39	1	0	37	4	4	2	2	2	0	0	8	0	0	0
Finney.....	101	62	94	5	0	139	12	7	0	32	9	0	0	*
Ford.....	150	46	86	18	0	47	46	29	0	35	5	3	0	55	17	3	0
Franklin.....	69	30	29	10	0	66	*	13	4	1	0	65	17	16	0

TABLE I.—CONTINUED. Summary, district courts. Civil actions (other than divorce cases) tried on the merits (or dismissed), year ending July 1, 1929.

COUNTIES.	Number of cases.	Dis-missed before trial.	Tried by court.	Tried by jury.	Tried by referee.	No answer filed.	Answer filed.			Cases tried.				Journal entry.			
							In 30 days.	In 30 to 60 days.	In 60 days to 6 mos.	After 6 months.	In 3 mos. of petition.	In 3 to 6 months.	In 6 to 12 months.	After 12 months.	Filed day of trial.	Journal entry.	
																Within 10 days.	In 10 to 30 days.
Geary.....	59	15	41	3	0	39	12	7	0	7	8	37	8	5	0		
Gove.....	119	65	53	11	0	114	3	2	0	13	0	23	0	0	25		
Graham.....	119	38	70	11	0	80	26	9	4	10	0	41	9	7	8		
Grant*.....																	
Gray.....	37	15	22	0	0	32	4	1	0	0	0	22	1	0	0		
Greeley.....	61	13	21	*	0	22	17	8	0	*	0	1	*				
Greenwood.....	137	35	77	25	0	75	26	15	21	5	0	45	6	16	3		
Hamilton.....	64	8	46	10	0	46	14	4	0	9	0	19	13	0	8		
Harper.....	56	22	34	0	0	50	6	0	0	13	0	27	0	2	0		
Harvey.....	98	21	77	0	0	98	*										
Haskell.....	25	7	16	2	0	16	6	3	0	6	0	*					
Hodgeman.....	34	3	31	0	0	27	1	3	0	26	0	23	0	3	1		
Jackson.....	86	79	7	0	0	86	10	0	0	47	0	39	0	2	15		
Jefferson.....	65	13	48	4	0	41	12	12	0	6	4	50	0	0	0		
Jewell.....	97	38	53	6	0	63	4	4	0	19	10	12	14	1	16		
Johnson.....	172	68	106	8	0	149	22	1	0	29	27	108	0	0	5		
Kearny.....	20	8	11	1	0	20	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0		
Kingman.....	76	29	41	6	0	50	16	2	8	11	6	3	0	0	2		
Kiowa.....	45	16	24	5	0	34	4	5	2	12	0	25	2	0	0		
Labette.....	64	27	31	6	0	51	5	3	0	11	4	23	0	1	0		
Lane*.....																	
Leavenworth.....	130	43	78	9	0	71	*										
Lincoln.....	57	18	33	6	0	38	16	1	2	16	1	21	6	6	0		
Linn.....	33	15	15	3	0	33	*					9	0	0	0		
Logan.....	52	1	48	3	0	36	9	0	5	1	0	0	1	0	0		
Lyon.....	108	17	90	1	0	79	16	6	7	5	0	1	1	32	60		
Marion.....	103	29	68	6	0	99	*					53	2	1	11		
Marshall.....	111	27	80	4	0	85	*					52	1	0	0		
McPherson.....	73	23	45	5	0	58	9	1	0	13	5	44	1	1	0		
Meade.....	50	15	30	5	0	36	9	4	1	10	1	23	4	5	0		

TABLE I.—CONTINUED. Summary, district courts. Civil actions (other than divorce cases) tried on the merits (or dismissed), year ending July 1, 1929.

COUNTIES.	Number of cases.	Dis-missed before trial.	Tried by court.	Tried by jury.	Tried by referee.	No answer filed.	Answer filed.				Cases tried.				Journal entry.			
							In 30 days.	In 30 to 60 days.	In 60 days to 6 mos.	After 6 mos.	In 3 mos. of petition.	In 3 to 6 months.	In 6 to 12 months.	After 12 months.	Filed day of trial.	Within 10 days.	In 10 to 30 days.	After 30 days.
Miami.....	120	31	88	1	0	92	13	10	4	1	0	16	6	0	77	0	4	0
Mitchell.....	69	24	37	8	0	41	19	5	3	3	0	4	13	0	18	1	0	10
Montgomery.....	257	133	97	27	0	132	29	2	24	3	0	4	2	0	57	10	6	0
Morris.....	50	23	22	4	1	30	18	2	0	0	0	3	2	0	19	0	0	4
Morton.....	45	17	27	1	0	41	2	0	0	2	0	3	2	0	4	0	2	8
Nemaha.....	148	49	84	15	0	115	16	11	6	0	0	34	0	0	15	32	25	11
Nescho.....	92	38	52	2	0	75	10	2	2	0	0	16	0	0	15	4	0	17
Ness.....	83	52	26	5	0	60	6	5	12	0	0	20	5	4	35	26	3	19
Norton.....	58	35	23	0	0	38	14	3	3	3	0	5	1	0	9	7	6	9
Osage.....	87	53	30	4	0	60	21	6	0	0	0	10	3	2	54	0	6	2
Osborne.....	76	34	41	1	0	74	2	0	0	0	0	23	21	7	33	5	5	3
Ottawa.....	70	15	50	5	0	45	7	8	8	0	2	22	3	0	0	1	6	27
Pawnee.....	61	35	26	0	0	51	4	2	4	2	0	24	2	0	39	0	3	8
Phillips.....	57	13	44	1	0	56	1	1	1	2	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	35
Pottawatomie.....	119	60	53	6	0	91	18	5	5	0	0	11	0	0	79	0	0	0
Pratt.....	42	21	16	3	0	30	6	0	5	2	1	12	2	0	17	3	9	4
Rawlins.....	40	2	2	0	0	28	2	0	6	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reno.....	312	189	116	7	0	212	78	12	10	0	0	51	4	4	153	27	3	15
Republic.....	54	30	23	1	0	46	3	1	2	0	0	23	3	0	3	15	5	9
Rice.....	49	17	30	2	0	33	12	4	0	0	0	3	1	0	29	0	9	1
Riley.....	80	40	37	3	0	71	7	0	0	2	0	29	13	0	39	0	8	0
Rooks.....	89	62	43	4	0	89	10	2	4	1	0	12	6	0	59	0	0	0
Rush.....	40	22	17	1	0	39	4	0	0	0	0	10	3	0	27	0	0	0
Russell.....	104	51	50	3	0	57	30	6	11	1	0	29	3	1	0	28	7	3
Saline.....	178	112	54	12	0	105	59	6	8	4	0	85	9	0	107	0	9	1
Scott.....	42	24	17	1	0	31	4	4	3	0	0	19	13	0	0	0	5	24
Sedgewick.....	1,083	499	534	50	0	400	38	420	100	25	0	154	50	15	561	0	0	0
Seward.....	58	33	19	5	1	34	7	9	3	5	0	23	35	0	24	0	7	2
Shawnee.....	737	420	277	42	0	307	302	85	28	15	0	55	20	0	275	0	0	0
Sheridan.....	39	26	12	1	0	25	12	0	1	0	0	6	7	0	0	0	1	0

TABLE I.—CONCLUDED. Summary, district courts. Civil actions (other than divorce cases) tried on the merits (or dismissed), year ending July 1, 1929.

COUNTIES.	Number of cases.	Dis-missed before trial.	Tried by court.	Tried by jury.	Tried by referee.	No answer filed.	Answer filed.				Cases tried.				Journal entry.					
							In 30 days.	In 30 to 60 days.	In 60 days to 6 mos.	After 6 months.	In 3 mos. of petition.	In 3 to 6 months.	In 6 to 12 months.	After 12 months.	Filed day of trial.	Within 10 days.	In 10 to 30 days.	After 30 days.		
Sherman*	*	
Smith.....	68	32	34	2	0	22
Stafford.....	68	40	24	4	0	54	6
Stanton.....	29	3	24	2	0	26	0
Stevens.....	37	17	20	0	0	*
Sumner.....	161	97	57	7	0	81	44
Thomas.....	65	35	27	3	0	51
Trego.....	35	23	4	8	0	16	17
Wabaunsee.....	34	16	16	2	0	19	0
Wallace.....	38	20	18	0	0	25	3
Washington.....	86	51	19	16	0	40	13
Wichita.....	44	20	24	0	0	34	1
Woodson.....	88	4	39	*	77	2
Wilson.....	61	30	30	1	53	5
Wyandotte.....	900	450	351	99	0	268	327
Totals.....	11,268	4,820	5,695	708	6	6,799	2,052	1,322	615	125	1,881	1,009	255	170	4,172	389	438	573		

* No data given by clerk. † Data not given correctly by clerk.

TABLE II.—Summary, district courts. Civil actions (other than divorce cases) tried on merits (or dismissed), year ending July 1, 1929.

COUNTIES.	Motions and demurrer before trial.										Costs.					
	No journal entry filed.	Number filed.	With-drawn or not pre-sented.	Pre-sented in 10 days.	In 10 to 30 days.	After 30 days.	Decided pre-sented.	Not same day but within 10 days.	In 10 to 30 days.	After 30 days.	Pending.	Minimum.	Maximum.	Aggregate.	Number reported.	Average.
Allen.....	39	67	28	14	6	18	33	0	0	0	0	\$1.45	\$218.80	\$3,174.41	170	\$18.67
Anderson.....	17	9	1	4	2	2	8	0	0	0	0	4.30	659.56	2,614.35	70	37.34
Atchison.....	21	34	4	11	6	13	30	0	0	0	0	3.40	67.99	2,333.71	150	15.54
Barber.....	35	9	1	5	5	1	8	0	0	0	0	3.70	113.40	1,274.18	64	19.90
Barton.....	46	17	6	3	3	5	10	0	0	0	0	1.00	1,130.00	2,990.21	105	28.47
Bourbon.....	24	13	5	6	3	0	7	0	1	0	0	2.20	101.05	1,821.91	95	19.17
Brown.....	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.90	1,562.20	7,063.03	75	94.17
Butler.....	30	21	12	0	2	7	2	0	2	5	0	1.85	240.55	5,649.83	198	28.54
Chase.....	8	6	0	6	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	.65	68.50	892.94	52	17.17
Chautauqua.....	29	2	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3.45	103.40	762.38	30	25.42
Cherokee.....	25	50	9	16	11	14	31	6	1	3	0	4.80	557.83	6,448.61	189	34.11
Clark.....	10	11	4	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.15	1,622.10	2,240.75	38	68.96
Clay.....	13	14	7	2	0	5	3	0	0	0	4	.80	87.30	907.77	48	18.91
Cheyenne.....	23	51	4	34	5	8	47	0	0	0	0	3.50	58.25	1,358.29	77	17.26
Cloud.....	9	82	10	41	12	19	72	0	0	0	0	2.30	1,772.55	5,241.32	74	70.82
Coffey.....	6	4	0	0	1	3	3	0	0	0	0	3.00	927.28	4,088.51	86	47.47
Comanche.....	105	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	2	1.10	500.00	1,263.84	32	39.81
Cowley.....	11	142	44	19	25	54	95	1	0	0	0	2.75	165.45	7,754.05	283	23.86
Crawford.....	189	345	39	205	79	22	153	50	100	3	0	*
Deatur.....	7	6	7	4	5	0	9	0	0	0	0	4.25	226.00	1,552.97	51	30.47
Dickinson.....	50	20	2	7	3	8	16	2	0	0	0	1.35	371.73	3,657.04	143	25.57
Doniphan.....	28	46	2	20	14	10	44	0	0	0	0	1.95	292.15	3,041.48	72	42.24
Douglas.....	34	21	2	13	3	3	12	3	2	0	0	1.45	57.65	1,767.99	116	15.24
Edwards.....	25	25	2	2	1	4	4	0	0	0	0	3.75	450.98	2,907.06	54	53.83
Elk.....	9	21	0	18	2	1	16	2	2	1	1	.74	101.50	1,322.20	74	19.22
Ellis.....	15	7	0	2	3	2	7	0	0	0	0	.90	403.74	4,562.07	92	47.41
Ellsworth.....	7	24	8	7	6	3	16	0	0	0	0	1.50	91.36	2,065.21	104	19.85
Finney.....	34	22	0	4	14	4	20	0	0	0	0	1.70	285.16	3,401.85	161	21.13
Ford.....	58	22	7	8	3	4	10	0	3	2	0	2.85	270.10	4,458.64	150	29.72
Franklin.....	5	9	3	4	1	1	6	0	0	0	0	2.20	461.75	2,878.81	69	41.72

TABLE II—CONTINUED. Summary, district courts. Civil actions (other than divorce cases) tried on merits (or dismissed), year ending July 1, 1929.

Counties.	Motions and demurrer before trial.										Costs.					
	No. journal entry filed.	Number filed.	Withdrawn or not pre-sented.	Pre-sented in 10 days.	In 10 to 30 days.	After 30 days.	Decided day pre-sented.	Not same day but within 10 days.	In 10 to 30 days.	After 30 days.	Pending.	Minimum.	Maximum.	Aggregate.	Number of cases reported.	Average.
Geary.....	5	3	0	1	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	\$1.10	\$271.50	\$1,324.68	59	\$22.48
Gove.....	33	14	2	8	0	4	9	0	0	0	3	2.45	456.97	2,751.84	119	23.13
Grant.....	33	18	3	6	2	7	7	3	0	0	5	1.30	456.97	2,751.34	119	23.13
Gray.....	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.80	44.00	620.20	37	16.76
Greely.....	44	23	6	9	0	8	11	0	4	2	0	.80	47.06	1,216.58	61	19.94
Greenwood.....	25	10	3	5	0	2	7	0	0	0	0	3.40	1,460.88	10,595.81	137	78.07
Hamilton.....	27	27	4	12	2	9	20	3	0	0	0	2.10	191.75	1,776.90	64	27.77
Harper.....	4	7	1	3	2	1	2	1	0	0	3	3.80	92.20	928.45	56	16.57
Harvey.....	1	98	0	0	0	0	98	0	0	0	0	.80	213.50	2,251.34	98	22.97
Haskell.....	14	12	0	10	1	1	12	0	0	0	0	2.90	98.90	520.74	25	20.83
Hodgeman.....	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.90	56.30	517.90	34	15.36
Jackson.....	14	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.10	491.20	2,469.39	86	28.71
Jefferson.....	15	5	5	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	2.55	96.17	1,524.90	65	23.46
Jewell.....	12	133	48	41	18	26	85	0	0	0	0	1.10	647.45	6,882.53	97	70.95
Johnson.....	60	8	1	2	1	4	6	0	1	0	0	2.60	799.65	4,338.66	172	25.22
Keary.....	14	7	2	5	0	5	5	0	0	0	0	1.45	46.25	299.07	20	14.95
Kingman.....	15	19	3	4	3	6	13	0	0	0	0	2.80	191.92	2,126.56	76	27.98
Kiowa.....	15	9	6	1	2	3	3	0	0	0	0	3.20	169.90	839.50	45	20.87
Labette.....	35	28	7	0	21	0	28	0	0	0	0	4.45	441.77	2,352.96	64	36.73
Lane*.....	48	64	17	0	47	0	47	0	0	0	0	*	680.76	3,490.84	57	61.24
Leavenworth.....	21	12	3	4	0	6	7	0	0	1	0	2.35	57.50	599.99	33	18.18
Linn.....	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.05	59.08	1,456.43	52	28.01
Logan.....	70	26	6	16	4	0	18	2	0	0	0	.50				
Lyon.....	5	108	98	9	0	1	9	0	0	0	0	2.30	239.65	2,893.24	103	28.08
Marion.....	28	3	0	5	3	3	11	0	0	0	0	.70	944.05	6,634.27	111	58.87
Marshall.....	3	16	2	7	4	3	14	0	0	0	0	2.10	138.50	1,875.60	73	25.69
McPherson.....	28	8	0	7	0	1	8	0	1	0	0	2.10	138.50	1,875.60	73	25.69
Meade.....	2	6	2	1	2	1	4	0	0	0	0	2.60	349.94	1,595.53	50	31.91

TABLE II—CONTINUED. Summary, district courts. Civil actions (other than divorce cases) tried on merits (or dismissed), year ending July 1, 1929.

COUNTIES.	No. journal entry filed.	Motions and demurrer before trial.										Costs.					
		Number filed.	With- drawn or not pre- sented.	Pre- sented in 10 days.	In 10 to 30 days.	After 30 days.	Decided day pre- sented.	Not same day but within 10 days.	In 10 to 30 days.	After 30 days.	Pending.	Mini- mum.	Maximum.	Aggregate.	Number of cases reported.	Average.	
Miami.....	3	14	2	2	5	5	12	0	0	0	0	\$1.55	\$1,287.38	\$6,481.78	120	\$54.01	
Mitchell.....	24	6	8	5	5	11	24	0	0	0	0	2.65	1,993.65	3,580.85	29	51.49	
Montgomery.....	42	21	15	65	55	55	135	0	0	0	0	3.35	1,101.89	12,063.06	297	49.27	
Morrison.....	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.25	60.97	1,680.90	30	30.01	
Morton.....	23	1	7	2	2	3	12	0	0	0	0	1.60	516.27	1,932.36	45	42.94	
Nemaha.....	126	40	25	5	5	5	35	0	0	0	0	3.65	290.64	4,495.17	148	30.37	
Neosho.....	3	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	3.40	420.97	2,500.08	92	27.52	
Ness.....	18	8	1	0	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	2.60	108.35	1,898.93	53	18.78	
Norton.....	33	1	1	4	8	8	13	0	0	0	0	2.00	39.32	1,057.08	58	18.52	
Osage.....	29	22	11	5	4	2	11	0	0	0	0	2.35	521.74	3,417.28	87	39.27	
Osborne.....	38	11	12	4	4	2	11	0	0	0	0	1.85	313.53	2,636.49	76	34.55	
Ottawa.....	22	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.30	104.58	1,229.55	70	17.65	
Peace.....	13	23	0	7	7	2	23	0	0	0	0	1.30	72.79	1,119.98	61	18.36	
Phillips.....	36	43	*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.15	172.00	2,641.60	3	22.19	
Pottawatomie.....	40	11	0	8	0	3	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pratt.....	38	13	11	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3.40	51.15	537.50	42	12.79	
Rawlins.....	28	8	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.05	246.82	1,170.08	40	29.26	
Reno.....	49	33	18	3	7	9	15	0	0	0	0	2.60	235.20	5,208.70	312	16.69	
Republic.....	38	30	2	17	3	4	27	0	0	0	0	1.00	301.70	1,437.77	54	26.82	
Rice.....	40	5	4	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4.20	691.00	2,070.30	49	42.25	
Riley.....	64	6	0	5	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	3.85	370.00	1,576.39	80	19.70	
Rooks.....	5	3	3	0	2	2	4	0	0	0	0	1.95	277.30	2,878.71	95	30.30	
Rush.....	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.50	552.94	1,942.75	40	38.98	
Russell.....	7	34	17	3	5	9	17	0	0	0	0	1.35	112.71	1,008.32	104	19.46	
Saline.....	176	44	15	14	4	11	26	1	0	0	0	1.90	165.95	3,065.05	178	17.22	
Scott.....	35	13	10	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.75	100.33	928.38	42	29.10	
Seawick.....	667	450	309	70	10	150	180	0	0	0	0	1.10	439.67	25,869.30	1,988	32.07	
Shawnee.....	44	43	42	23	8	8	41	2	0	0	0	2.60	48.89	25,898.23	735	33.84	
Shawnee.....	41	358	48	90	133	87	213	20	53	25	0	2.25	975.94	25,237.05	737	34.24	
Sheridan.....	46	14	9	3	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	2.35	78.30	817.07	39	20.95	

TABLE II—CONCURRED. Summary, district courts. Civil actions (other than divorce cases) tried on merits (or dismissed), year ending July 1, 1929.

COURTES.	No journal entry filed.	Motions and demurrer before trial.										Costs.			Number of cases reported.	Average.	
		Number filed.	Withdrawn or not pre-sented.	Pre-sented in 10 days.	In 10 to 30 days.	After 30 days.	Decided day pre-sented.	Not same day but within 10 days.	In 10 to 30 days.	After 30 days.	Pending.	Mini-mum.	Maximum.	Aggregate.			
Sherman.....	58	14	9	3	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	*	\$837.10	\$2,964.40	68	\$43.56	
Smith.....	49	0	5	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	*	80.75				
Stafford.....	49	14	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Stanton.....	6	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Stevens.....	21	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	.70	170.95	760.54	38	20.01	
Summer.....	134	99	0	34	25	31	88	2	0	0	0	2	900.00	7,148.98	161	44.40	
Thomas.....	21	36	23	4	4	5	5	18	0	0	0	2	230	1,127.16	65	17.34	
Trego.....	30	6	2	2	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	80	816.35	35	23.32	
Wabunsee.....	6	6	2	3	1	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	95	1,346.74	34	39.61	
Wallace.....	38	12	1	5	5	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	.80	1,170.01	38	30.79	
Washington.....	11	20	0	17	3	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	1.30	869.81	44	19.76	
Wichita.....	6	3	0	0	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	1.00	2,159.75	61	35.40	
Wilson.....	15	12	8	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	*				
Woodson.....	*	26	12	13	0	0	*	0	0	0	0	0					
Wyandotte.....	207	1,071	1,086	5	6	4	12	2	0	2	0	0	4.80	14,722.16	900	16.35	
Totals.....	3,937	4,630	2,120	1,048	707	580	2,060	124	174	52	18	0	\$0.50	\$1,772.55	\$308,022.35	10,658	\$28.90

* No data given by clerk.

TABLE II.—Summary, district courts. Civil actions (other than divorce cases) tried on merits (or dismissed) and pending, year ending July 1, 1929.

COUNTIES.	Motions after trial.										Cases pending.									
	Number filed.	With-drawn or not pre-sented.	In 10 to 30 days.	After 30 days.	De-cided day pre-sented.	Not same day but within 10 days.	In 10 to 30 days.	After 30 days.	Pending.	Civil actions pending July 1, 1929.	Pending less than 3 months.	3 to 6 months.	6 to 12 months.	1 to 2 years.	2 to 3 years.	3 to 4 years.	4 to 5 years.	Over 5 years.		
Allen.....	27	3	0	2	23	0	1	0	0	0	21	21	9	5	0	0	0			
Anderson.....	21	1	8	4	4	14	0	0	0	0	7	6	8	3	5	0	0			
Atchison.....	26	1	4	4	23	0	0	0	2	0	14	21	16	25	15	10	16			
Barber.....	3	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	6	11	10	2	1	0	0			
Barton.....	16	0	2	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	2	0	0	0	0			
Bourbon.....	34	1	32	0	33	0	0	0	0	0	25	23	39	8	1	0	0			
Brown.....	21	2	16	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	21	10	0	0	0	0	0			
Butler.....	8	1	4	0	5	0	0	1	2	0	26	21	8	11	11	7	12			
Chase.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	0	0	0			
Chautauqua.....	8	8	0	4	0	8	0	0	0	0	11	6	0	0	0	0	0			
Cherokee.....	35	5	17	2	23	4	0	3	0	0	0	6	13	10	3	1	2			
Cheyenne.....	7	2	3	1	3	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	3	1	1	1	1			
Clark.....	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	3	0	0	0	0			
Clay.....	25	3	19	0	22	0	0	0	2	0	12	13	3	0	0	0	0			
Cloud.....	27	0	20	3	26	0	0	1	0	0	8	2	0	0	0	0	0			
Coffey.....	11	0	7	3	9	2	0	0	0	0	11	7	1	0	1	0	0			
Comanche.....	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	6	3	10	1	0			
Cowagey.....	11	0	5	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	30	39	52	10	6	0	0			
Crawford.....	14	45	24	14	28	10	11	8	0	1	78	40	19	6	22	3	5			
Deaatur.....	12	2	10	0	9	0	0	0	1	0	4	7	4	1	0	0	0			
Dickinson.....	5	0	4	1	4	0	0	0	1	0	8	7	6	0	0	0	0			
Doniphan.....	17	4	6	5	13	0	0	0	0	0	14	2	5	0	0	0	0			
Douglas.....	15	1	10	3	14	0	0	0	0	0	22	21	0	0	0	0	0			
Edwards.....	8	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	5	1	0	0	1			
Elk.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	4	2	0	1	0	2			
Ellis.....	10	6	1	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	5	3	0	0	0	0	0			
Ellsworth.....	18	0	10	2	17	0	0	1	0	0	5	5	4	3	0	0	0			
Finney.....	9	0	8	1	8	1	0	0	0	0	12	11	13	4	1	0	0			
Ford.....	13	6	4	3	2	2	3	0	0	0	14	75	15	1	4	3	1			
Franklin.....	36	3	31	0	33	0	0	0	0	0	6	3	7	1	4	0	0			

TABLE II.—CONTINUED. Summary, district courts. Civil actions (other than divorce cases) tried on merits (or dismissed) and pending, year ending July 1, 1929.

COUNTIES.	Number filed.	Motions after trial.										Cases pending.							
		With- drawn or not pre- sented.	Pre- sented in 10 days.	In 10 to 30 days.	After 30 days.	De- cided day pre- sented.	Not same day but within 10 days.	In 10 to 30 days.	After 30 days.	Pending.	Civil actions pending July 1, 1929.	Pending less than 3 months.	3 to 6 months.	6 to 12 months.	1 to 2 years.	2 to 3 years.	3 to 4 years.	4 to 5 years.	Over 5 years.
Geary.....	7	0	3	1	3	7	0	0	0	0	0	18	12	3	1	2	0	0	0
Gove.....	36	0	31	2	3	26	0	0	0	0	0	35	9	12	11	6	0	0	0
Graham.....	50	3	32	5	10	46	1	0	0	0	0	38	14	8	4	3	5	0	1
Grant*.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	7	2	14	3	0	0	0
Gray.....	10	0	8	2	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	16	1	5	7	3	0	0	0
Greenwood.....	52	0	26	14	4	46	0	0	0	0	0	55	27	7	10	8	0	0	0
Hamilton.....	31	4	20	4	3	25	0	0	1	1	0	19	15	1	3	0	0	0	0
Harper.....	2	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	47	22	2	6	9	4	0	0
Harvey.....	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	0	2	14	16	4	0	0
Haskell.....	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	21	10	1	6	2	1	0	0
Hodgeman.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	5	2	2	1	3	0	0
Jackson.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	64	29	6	11	13	4	0	0
Jefferson.....	3	3	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	16	3	3	4	3	2	0	1
Jewell.....	58	6	31	14	7	52	0	0	0	0	0	42	16	7	9	5	2	2	1
Johnson.....	36	3	24	7	2	32	0	0	1	0	0	113	54	22	20	7	3	0	4
Kearny.....	5	3	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	12	7	2	2	1	0	0	0
Kingman.....	17	4	9	4	3	13	0	0	0	0	0	28	18	4	4	1	0	0	0
Kiowa.....	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35	6	2	1	6	2	4	1
Labette.....	10	3	6	1	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	15	5	1	3	3	1	0	1
Lane*.....	43	2	41	0	1	10	0	0	0	0	0	*	3	5	5	7	0	1	0
Leavenworth.....	12	2	8	1	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	21	12	15	10	0	0	0	0
Lincoln.....	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	48	13	12	12	8	3	0	0
Linn.....	11	1	9	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Logan.....	9	1	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	53	22	16	6	6	3	0	0
Lyon.....	10	0	9	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	45	15	5	9	14	1	0	1
Marion.....	7	4	0	3	7	4	0	0	0	0	0	35	*	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marshall.....	4	0	2	1	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	44	20	8	6	5	1	1	0
McPherson.....	4	0	2	1	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	44	8	5	3	1	1	0	0
Meade.....	10	8	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	15	5	5	3	1	1	0	0

TABLE II.—CONTINUED. Summary, district courts. Civil actions (other than divorce cases) tried on merits (or dismissed) and pending, year ending July 1, 1929.

COUNTIES.	Number filed.	Motions after trial.										Cases pending.							
		With- drawn or not pre- sented.	Pre- sented in 10 days.	In 10 to 30 days.	After 30 days.	De- cided day pre- sented.	Not same day but within 10 days.	In 10 to 30 days.	After 30 days.	Pending.	Civil actions pending July 1, 1929.	Pending less than 3 months.	3 to 6 months.	6 to 12 months.	1 to 2 years.	2 to 3 years.	3 to 4 years.	4 to 5 years.	Over 5 years.
Miami	12		11	0	1	12	0	0	0	0	0	73	23	15	7	7	4	4	4
Mitchell	12	2	10	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	29	9	4	3	2	1	1	0
Montgomery	52	4	25	15	8	48	0	0	0	0	0	142	65	25	15	12	0	1	0
Morris	38	0	*									15	5	3	2				
Morton	12	0	10	2	0	10	1	1	0	0	0	*							
Nemaha	36	7	16	7	6	29	0	0	0	0	0	98	17	35	27	0	0	0	0
Neosho	1	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	63	27	11	7	4	1	0	0
Ness	6	2	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	26	10	5	6	0	0	0	1
Norton	11	0	11	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	*							
Osage	5	2	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	28	14	3	3	1	0	0	0
Osborne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	8	6	7	0	5	0	1
Ottawa	6	2	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	32	12	7	5	1	1	0	0
Pawnee	8	0	3	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	29	13	6	3	1	2	0	0
Phillips	3	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	57	24	17	7	1	0	0	0
Pottawatomie	11	0	10	0	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	62	21	14	10	1	2	0	0
Pratt	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	37	19	9	16	1	2	1	0
Rawlins	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	11	10	5	0	0	0	0
Reynolds	23	4	15	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	199	55	28	45	16	6	1	7
Reno	5	1	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	13	4	1	5	1	2	0	0
Republic	3	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	1	22	16	3	0	2	1	0	0
Rice																			
Riley	6	0	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	24	6	5	7	1	1	0	0
Rooks	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	77	25	17	19	5	1	0	0
Rush	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Russell	17	1	15	0	1	16	0	0	0	0	0	49	14	10	18	0	0	0	0
Saline	13	8	2	2	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	150	38	27	31	15	9	0	8
Scott	14	1	13	0	0	12	1	0	0	0	0	16	10	4	0	0	0	0	0
Sedwick	106	85	21	0	0	15	0	0	0	6	6	545	259	139	26	0	0	0	0
Seward	9	3	3	0	0	6	0	0	0	3	0	27	11	10	3	0	0	0	0
Shawnee	121	20	47	50	4	80	15	0	6	4	0	410	170	79	59	10	2	2	0
Sheridan	12	8	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	16	2	4	2	2	0	1	2

TABLE II.—CONCLUDED. Summary, district courts. Civil actions (other than divorce cases) tried on merits (or dismissed) and pending, year ending July 1, 1929.

COUNTIES.	Number filed.	Motions after trial.							Cases pending.										
		With- drawn or not pre- sented.	Pre- sented in 10 days.	In 10 to 30 days.	After 30 days.	De- cided day pre- sented.	Not same day but within 10 days.	In 10 to 30 days.	After 30 days.	Pending.	Civil actions pending July 1, 1929.	Pending less than 3 months.	3 to 6 months.	6 to 12 months.	1 to 2 years.	2 to 3 years.	3 to 4 years.	4 to 5 years.	Over 5 years.
Sherman.....	19	2	15	2	0	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Smith.....	17	0	16	1	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stafford.....	13	12	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stanton.....	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stevens.....	6	2	2	2	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Summer.....	44	3	41	0	0	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Thomas.....	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Trego.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Webaunsee.....	7	0	6	0	0	5	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wallace.....	2	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington.....	5	1	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wichita.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wilson.....	22	4	18	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Woodson.....	20	*	11	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wyandotte.....	83	60	11	7	5	10	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals.....	1,742	396	921	294	138	1,090	71	21	17	18	5,477	1,983	1,122	754	245	146	71	80	

* No data given by clerk.

TABLE III.—Summary, district courts. Divorce actions tried on the merits (or dismissed), year ending July 1, 1929. (Compiled from Form 3.)

COUNTIES.	Total number cases.	Cases dismissed.	Divorce granted to wives.	Divorce granted to husbands.	Divorce cases denied.	Divorce cases contested.	Minor children awarded to wives.	Minor children awarded to husbands.	Trial in 60 days of petition.	Trial in 60 days to 6 months.	Abandonment.	Extreme cruelty.	Gross neglect of duty.	Conviction of a felony.	Habitual drunkenness.	Adultery.
Allen.....	46	23	10	9	4	6	7	1	0	16	9	0	0	0	0	2
Anderson.....	30	6	20	3	1	5	20	3	0	24	7	2	11	0	2	0
Achison.....	81	30	36	14	1	7	16	4	1	47	5	0	36	0	0	0
Barber.....	25	6	11	8	0	1	7	1	0	19	6	8	3	0	0	1
Barton.....	47	6	28	12	*	18	1	1	38	7	14	10	0	0	1
Bourbon.....	51	10	29	11	1	2	4	3	0	40	10	8	18	2	1	0
Brown.....	29	11	13	3	2	4	6	0	5	13	3	5	7	0	1	0
Butler.....	93	23	52	18	0	8	29	5	0	61	25	23	19	0	0	1
Chase.....	4	1	1	2	0	1	†	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua.....	25	0	21	4	0	25	6	1	*	0	10	0	0	0	0
Cherokee.....	120	28	70	20	2	11	62	6	0	88	15	50	20	1	0	0
Cheyenne.....	6	3	1	1	1	2	0	0	0	*	2	0	0	0	0	0
Clark.....	6	2	3	1	0	0	1	0	1	3	3	0	1	0	0	0
Clay.....	21	9	10	2	0	2	7	0	0	12	3	4	5	0	0	0
Cloud.....	21	6	11	3	1	4	6	0	0	15	9	0	1	0	0	0
Coffey.....	9	4	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Comanche.....	6	1	4	1	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Cowley.....	73	10	46	17	0	1	15	2	*	17	14	27	0	0	2
Crawford.....	43	27	13	3	0	4	7	0	0	14	5	8	2	0	0	0
Decatur.....	15	3	4	4	0	1	3	0	2	7	4	1	0	0	0	0
Dickinson.....	12	3	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Doniphan.....	57	12	25	14	4	8	19	2	0	39	14	16	11	0	0	2
Doniphan.....	27	9	14	4	0	4	6	1	0	16	4	3	10	0	0	0
Douglas.....	64	14	45	5	0	5	16	2	0	50	13	15	18	0	2	0
Edwards.....	18	5	8	5	0	2	4	1	0	13	1	1	2	0	0	0
Elk.....	15	0	5	9	1	1	1	1	7	10	12	9	1	0	0	0
Ellis.....	5	1	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	2	0	2	0	0	0
Ellisworth.....	15	4	8	3	0	2	5	0	0	10	4	7	0	0	0	0
Finney.....	16	3	10	3	0	3	12	0	0	13	6	4	3	0	0	0
Ford.....	62	7	39	16	0	1	22	2	0	54	12	13	26	2	1	1
Franklin.....	51	15	25	11	0	3	11	1	1	47	13	5	13	1	1	0

TABLE III—CONTINUED. Summary, district courts. Divorce actions tried on the merits (or dismissed), year ending July 1, 1929.

COUNTIES.	Total number cases.	Cases dismissed.	Divorce granted to wives.	Divorce granted to husbands.	Divorce cases denied.	Divorce cases contested.	Minor children awarded to wives.	Minor children awarded to husbands.	Trial in 60 days petition.	Trial in 60 days after 6 months.	Abandonment.	Extreme cruelty.	Gross neglect of duty.	Conviction of felony.	Habitual drunkenness.	Adultery.
Geary.....	35	9	19	6	1	1	8	0	2	3	4	2	3	2	1	0
Gove.....	3	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	*	0
Graham.....	12	3	7	2	0	1	5	1	1	0
Grant*.....
Gray.....	10	3	6	2	0	2	2	1	0	5	0	2	0	0	0	0
Greeley.....	4	0	2	*	0
Greenwood.....	62	19	34	8	1	7	16	5	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton.....	7	2	1	4	0	0	4	1	0	4	13	0	8	0	0	0
Harper.....	20	8	9	3	0	1	4	1	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	0
Harvey.....	31	0	21	10	0	0	14	0	*	11	4	5	1	0	0	0
Haskell.....	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	0
Hodgeman.....	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jackson.....	15	2	6	1	*	0
Jefferson.....	19	7	8	4	0	2	6	1	0	4	*	6	1	0	0	0
Jewell.....	22	8	10	3	0	1	2	1	3	7	3	2	3	0	0	0
Johnson.....	56	20	25	11	0	9	9	1	2	30	6	11	10	1	4	3
Kearny.....	9	1	7	1	0	0	4	1	*	0
Kingman.....	9	4	4	1	0	0	1	0	*	0
Kiowa.....	3	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
Labette.....	19	3	11	0	0	1	4	1	0	0	*	0
Lane*.....
Leavenworth.....	213	63	112	36	2	14	14	5	0	150	35	10	99	1	0	0
Lincoln.....	11	3	5	3	0	2	3	5	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0
Linn.....	13	2	6	3	0	1	4	8	3	0	*	0
Logan.....	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lyon.....	70	13	42	15	0	9	14	5	0	52	2	26	0	0	0	0
Marion.....	12	6	4	2	0	0	1	3	0	3	0	1	1	0	0	0
Marshall.....	26	7	16	1	2	1	7	0	1	15	7	5	6	0	0	0
McPherson.....	23	6	11	6	0	0	6	1	1	19	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meade.....	4	1	2	2	0	0	2	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE III—CONCLUDED. Divorce actions tried on the merits (or dismissed), year ending July 1, 1929.

COUNTIES.	Total number cases.	Cases dismissed.	Divorce granted to wives.	Divorce granted to husbands.	Divorce cases denied.	Divorce cases contested.	Minor children awarded to wives.	Minor children awarded to husbands.	Trial in 60 days of petition.	Trial in 60 days to 6 months.	Trial after 6 months.	Abandonment.	Extreme cruelty.	Gross neglect of duty.	Conviction of felony.	Habitual drunkenness.	Adultery.
Sherman*																	
Smith	23	9	5	0	0	2	5	0	0	14	0	5	2	1	0	0	0
Stafford	21	6	6	0	0	0	4	4	1	14	0	3	0	0	1	0	2
Stanton	2	*	0	0	0	0	2	*	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Stevens	7	2	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	4	0	1	1	2	0	0	0
Sumner	15	6	2	1	1	2	2	0	3	6	0	4	2	2	0	0	0
Thomas	7	1	4	0	0	0	3	1	1	5	0	1	3	0	0	0	0
Trego	6	2	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	*	0	0	0	0	0
Wagoner	11	1	2	0	0	0	1	1	2	8	0	2	4	2	0	0	1
Wakarusa	4	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	2	1	0	0	0	0
Wallace																	
Washington	16	0	3	0	0	2	7	0	0	16	0	12	2	0	0	0	0
Wichita	28	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wichita	28	2	4	0	0	4	11	1	3	23	0	2	0	3	0	0	0
Woodson	14	4	1	2	2	†	0	0	1	1	1	*	0	0	0	0	1
Wyandotte	587	59	393	135	0	49	118	21	9	483	36	63	206	143	0	24	19
Totals	4,827	1,307	2,653	894	47	470	1,184	225	126	3,093	389	906	1,019	960	20	53	52

* No data furnished. † One-half to each. ‡ Data shows only two cases tried.

TABLE IV.—Summary, district courts. Divorce cases pending July 1, 1929.
(Compiled from Form 4.)

COUNTIES.	Number of cases.	Pending less than 3 months.	From 3 to 6 months.	From 6 months to 1 year.	From 1 to 2 years.	More than 2 years.
Allen	14	9	2	3	0	0
Anderson	7	3	3	0	1	0
Atchison	50	19	10	9	8	4
Barber	2	1	1	0	0	0
Barton	18	15	2	0	1	0
Bourbon	34	16	7	10	0	1
Brown	12	6	4	2	0	0
Butler	57	15	6	29	0	7
Chase	5	2	3	0	0	0
Chautauqua	3	3	0	0	0	0
Cherokee	37	9	9	12	6	1
Cheyenne	1	0	0	0	0	1
Clark	3	2	0	0	0	1
Clay	3	1	2	0	0	0
Cloud	7	7	0	0	0	0
Coffey	4	4	0	0	0	0
Comanche	1	0	1	0	0	0
Cowley	73	43	10	15	4	1
Crawford	89	26	11	31	15	6
Decatur	1	1	0	0	0	0
Dickinson	10	2	0	2	6	0
Doniphan	10	3	5	2	0	0
Douglas	32	19	5	8	0	0
Edwards	6	6	0	0	0	0
Elk	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ellis	1	0	1	0	0	0
Ellsworth	8	6	1	0	1	0
Finney	7	3	2	1	0	1
Ford	40	24	7	7	2	0
Franklin	30	20	8	2	0	0
Geary	11	4	6	1	0	0
Gove	0	0	0	0	0	0
Graham	4	1	1	2	0	0
Grant*						
Gray	5	4	1		0	0
Greeley*						
Greenwood	20	11	3	5	1	0
Hamilton	6	3	2	1	0	0
Harper	5	5	0	0	0	0
Harvey*						
Haskell	3	0	0	3	0	0
Hodgeman	1	0	1	0	0	0
Jackson	8	2	1	5	0	0
Jefferson	1	0	0	1	0	0
Jewell	7	3	3	1	0	0
Johnson	23	12	8	3	0	0
Kearny	8	1	4	3	0	0
Kingman	6	5	1	0	0	0
Kiowa	1	0	0	0	0	1
Labette	5	0	1	3	1	0
Lane*						
Leavenworth	87	26	23	38	0	0
Lincoln	5	3	0	1	0	1
Linn	8	4	3	1	0	0
Logan	1	1	0	0	0	0

TABLE IV.—CONCLUDED. Summary, district courts. Divorce cases pending July 1, 1929.

COUNTIES.	Number of cases.	Pending less than 3 months.	From 3 to 6 months.	From 6 months to 1 year.	From 1 to 2 years.	More than 2 years.
Lyon	31	1	7	21	2	0
Marion	5	3	1	1	0	0
Marshall	3	3	0	0	0	0
McPherson	6	5	1	0	0	0
Meade	3	3	0	0	0	0
Miami*						
Mitchell	7	4	3	0	0	0
Montgomery	87	46	15	21	4	1
Morris	5	4	0	0	1	0
Morton	4	3	0	1	0	0
Nemaha	7	3	3	1	0	0
Neosho	31	12	6	8	4	1
Ness	2	1	1	0	0	0
Norton*						
Osage	6	4	2	0	0	0
Osborne	5	2	0	3	0	0
Ottawa	6	3	0	3	0	0
Pawnee	8	6	2	0	0	0
Phillips	6	5	0	1	0	0
Pottawatomie	10	6	4	0	0	0
Pratt	12	8	3	1	0	0
Rawlins	1	1	0	0	0	0
Reno	97	49	10	30	5	3
Republic*						
Rice	8	5	3	0	0	0
Riley	18	9	4	3	2	0
Rooks	4	0	3	1	0	0
Rush	0	0	0	0	0	0
Russell	9	2	6	0	1	0
Saline	55	23	10	10	6	6
Scott	1	1	0	0	0	0
Sedgwick	257	96	90	0	0	0
Seward	8	4	3	1	0	0
Shawnee	173	104	31	33	5	0
Sheridan	1	1	0	0	0	0
Sherman	13	2	9	0	2	0
Smith	6	5	0	1	0	0
Stafford	4	3	1	0	0	0
Stanton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stevens	2	0	2	0	0	0
Sumner	28	14	4	6	3	1
Thomas	5	1	2	2	0	0
Trego	1	0	0	1	0	0
Wabaunsee	4	1	2	0	1	0
Wallace	2	2	0	0	0	0
Washington	1	1	0	0	0	0
Wichita	2	1	1	0	0	0
Wilson	9	1	3	5	0	0
Woodson*						
Wyandotte*						
Totals	1,733	798	390	355	82	37

* No data furnished.

TABLE V.—Summary, district courts. Criminal cases tried on the merits (or dismissed), year ending July 1, 1929.

COUNTIES.	Total number cases.	Cases dismissed.	Pleas of guilty.	Miscellaneous.	Cases tried by jury.	Verdicts of guilty.	Verdicts of not guilty.	Hung jury.
Allen	43	9	15	11	14	4	2	2
Anderson	10	3	1	5	6	3	2	1
Atchison	108	54	47	1	*	3	2	15
Barber	14	2	10	3	3	0	2	0
Barton	47	20	19	8	7	3	1	1
Bourbon	44	2	31	11	7	7	2	2
Brown	34	10	22	4	4	3	1	0
Butler	86	15	*			2	2	1
Chase	4	1	2	1	0	*		
Chautauqua	20	4	7	8	8	5	2	1
Cherokee	55	21	*					
Cheyenne	6	0	3	*	1	2	1	0
Clark	11	3	3	4	5	0	3	0
Clay	8	4	3	1	0	1	0	0
Cloud	8	5	2	6	1	0	1	0
Coffey	13	5	4	3	3	1	2	0
Comanche	10	3	3	2	2	3	1	0
Cowley	81	34	*		20	*	5	*
Crawford	45	25	16	4	6	0	1	0
Decatur	17	4	0	4	4	0	4	0
Dickinson	18	4	11	3	4	2	1	0
Doniphan	9	2	3	5	4	2	1	1
Douglas	46	12	*			4	3	0
Edwards	7	2	2	2	1	0	0	1
Elk	8	0	4	4	4	0	1	0
Ellis	21	3	*		1	*		
Ellsworth	21	10	4	4	5	3	1	0
Finney	29	10	14	5	5	3	2	0
Ford	51	14	30	10	*	8	0	1
Franklin	39	12	15	0	10	4	6	2
Geary	22	6	11	7	5	2	3	0
Gove	5	*			0			
Graham	10	2	8	2	1	4	1	0
Grant*								
Gray	13	7	5	0	0	2	0	0
Greeley*								
Greenwood	49	13	*			7	3	1
Hamilton	7	2	5	1	1	0	1	0
Harper	43	11	17	10	8	4	3	1
Harvey	11	0	10	0	*			0
Haskell*								
Hodgeman	6	1	2	3	3	1	3	0
Jackson	30	9	*			10	2	0
Jefferson	24	13	*		6	5	1	0
Jewell	15	2	2	1	3	2	1	0
Johnson	77	19	52	9	10	6	3	1
Kearny	7	1	5	0	*			
Kingman	16	8	3	4	6	4	1	1
Kiowa	22	12	5	6	6	2	4	1
Labette	16	1	2	2	*	2	0	*
Lane*								
Leavenworth	148	73	27	78	19	21	9	0
Lincoln	6	2	3	1	*			0
Linn	19	2	10	0	*	1	1	0
Logan	5	0	2	0	1	1	0	0

TABLE V.—CONCLUDED. Summary, district courts. Criminal cases tried on the merits (or dismissed), year ending July 1, 1929.

COUNTIES.	Total number cases.	Cases dismissed.	Pleas of guilty.	Miscellaneous.	Cases tried by jury.	Verdicts of guilty.	Verdicts of not guilty.	Hung jury.
Lyon	53	3	41	7	6	4	2	0
Marion	19	7	9	10	5	2	3	0
Marshall	16	4	8	0	6	0	1	1
McPherson	21	9	9	4	4	3	1	0
Meade	8	4	4	0	0	4	0	0
Miami	34	11	*		6	3	3	0
Mitchell	25	3	*		5	3	2	0
Montgomery	156	70	59	62	31	20	3	3
Morris	7	1	2	3	4	3	1	0
Morton	10	3	5	0	1	1	0	0
Nemaha	25	9	13	0	4	3	1	0
Neosho	37	12	20	5	5	5	0	0
Ness	11	2	9	0	0	*		
Norton	5	2	3	0	*			0
Osage	39	18	*		11	6	2	0
Osborne	7	3	*					
Ottawa	19	16	*		4	1	3	0
Pawnee	12	3	*		2	1	1	2
Phillips	7	2	2	3	3	0	3	0
Pottawatomie	30	9	8	8	10	5	5	0
Pratt	24	10	8	3	0	2	2	0
Rawlins	11	5	0	0	1	0	0	0
Reno	162	74	*		14	45	6	1
Republic	8	4	4	0	*			
Rice	20	3	5	3	*		2	0
Riley	23	2	15	3	3	2	1	0
Rooks	7	3	3	1	1	1	0	0
Rush	5	2	2	0	1	0	1	0
Russell	17	5	*					
Saline	53	10	32	9	10	6	1	3
Scott	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Sedgwick	1,044	536	436	0	*			
Seward	35	7	11	2	2	2	0	0
Shawnee	595	223	*		79	57	16	2
Sheridan	16	3	5	5	8	2	6	0
Sherman	8	1	4	2	2	1	1	0
Smith	10	9	9	1	1	0	1	0
Stafford	13	1	4	9	*	4	6	0
Stanton*								
Stevens	6	2	2	0	1	2	*	0
Sumner	80	26	*			4	3	0
Thomas	11	1	2	6	5	3	3	0
Trego	10	2	3	5	4	2	1	0
Wabaunsee	16	5	2	9	2	2	0	0
Wallace	7	2	4	2	1	0	1	0
Washington	13	7	1	2	*	1	2	0
Wichita	6	0	*	0	1	4	0	1
Wilson	36	9	17	1	6	4	3	0
Woodson*								
Wyandotte	331	116	158	52	53	28	17	5
Totals	4,584	1,735	1,359	450	505	387	191	54

* No data furnished. † Pleaded guilty.

TABLE VI.—CONCLUDED. Summary, district courts. Criminal cases pending July 1, 1929.

COUNTIES.	Number of cases.	Pending less than 3 mos.	From 3 to 6 mos.	From 6 mos. to 1 year.	From 1 to 2 years.	From 2 to 3 years.	From 3 to 4 years.	From 4 to 5 years.
Lyon.....	14	3	3	8	0	0	0	0
Marion.....	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marshall.....	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
McPherson.....	5	4	1	0	0	0	0	0
Meade.....	3	2	0	0	0	1	0	0
Miami.....	13	2	1	7	0	1	2	0
Mitchell.....	15	0	7	6	0	1	2	0
Montgomery.....	19	9	3	5	0	2	0	0
Morris.....	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Morton.....	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	0
Nemaha.....	9	5	3	1	0	0	0	0
Neosho.....	11	4	0	6	1	0	0	0
Ness.....	5	3	2	0	0	0	0	0
Norton*.....	8	0	3	1	3	0	1	0
Osage.....	10	3	3	0	1	0	0	0
Osborne.....	4	5	1	0	0	0	0	0
Ottawa.....	10	5	1	0	2	2	0	0
Pawnee.....	7	2	2	3	0	0	0	0
Phillips*.....	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pottawatomie.....	7	1	3	3	0	0	0	0
Pratt.....	7	23	21	9	0	1	1	0
Rawlins.....	55	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Reno.....	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Republic*.....	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
Rice.....	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	0
Riley.....	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	0
Rooks.....	6	0	3	0	0	2	1	0
Rush.....	2	0	3	0	0	0	1	0
Russell.....	6	0	3	0	0	0	1	0
Saline.....	7	3	3	0	0	0	1	0
Scott*.....	313	58	175	80	0	0	0	0
Sedgwick.....	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Seward.....	128	56	23	28	16	5	0	0
Shawnee.....	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
Sheridan.....	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Sherman.....	6	0	0	4	0	2	0	0
Smith.....	6	2	4	0	0	0	0	0
Stafford.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stanton.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stevens.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sumner.....	27	7	1	5	8	1	2	0
Thomas.....	11	0	0	7	2	1	0	0
Trego*.....	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wabaunsee.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wallace.....	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington.....	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Wichita.....	11	5	5	1	0	0	0	0
Wilson.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Woodson.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wyandotte*.....								
Totals.....	1,262	450	385	292	61	34	18	2

* No data furnished.

COUNTY COURTS.

The Judicial Council, through Judge J. C. Ruppenthal, its secretary, has compiled complete data from county courts organized under R. S. 20-801 since the respective courts have been organized. To preserve this in useful form we are printing it in full. It will be noted that in some of these courts much more business is transacted than in others.

TABLE VII.—County courts of Kansas, as of date July 1, 1929, under Laws of 1923, chapter 131, effective June 9, 1923 (R. S. 20-801 to 20-819) with: (1) name of county, (2) date of resolution of board of county commissioners organizing county court, (3) name and term of county judges, (4) total cases filed.

COUNTY.	Date organized.	Judges, time of service.	Total cases.
Kearny	1923, June 5	J. E. Long from organization to January 12, 1925 W. H. Pilgrim to January 10, 1927 D. A. McCristal, since January 10, 1927	108
Wallace	1923, July 2	George Cox, since organization	164
Mitchell	1923, Dec. 6	A. Daugherty from organization to January 12, 1925 D. F. Gansel, since January 12, 1925	784
Morris	1924, Jan. 7	A. W. Loomis, since organization	839
Rush	1924, Jan. 7	John Butler from organization to January 10, 1927 E. M. Lank, since January 10, 1927	566
Finney	1924, Jan. 14	C. L. Downs from organization to January 12, 1925 A. Hurst, since January 12, 1925	49
Pawnee	1924, April 10	J. W. Eaglin, since organization	495
Osborne	1924, Nov. 3	E. B. Roadhouse from organization to January 10, 1925 A. W. Hefley, since January 10, 1925	237
Trego	1925, Feb. 5	A. S. Peacock, since organization	516
Phillips	1925, April 6	A. F. Walker from organization to January 10, 1927 W. C. Whitney, since January 10, 1927	595
Stevens	1925, Nov. 2	G. W. Bowers from organization to January 14, 1929 S. C. Thomas, since January 14, 1929	139
Greenwood	1926, June 7	S. L. Chase, since organization	634
Edwards	1926, Aug. 13	Harold Payne from organization to January 10, 1927 W. N. Beezley, since January 10, 1927	412
Hodgeman	1927, Dec. 5	O. G. Lupfer, since organization	24
Thomas	1928, Oct. 2	D. O. Gunckle, since organization	116
Marion	1929, Feb. 6	Jay E. Hargeth, since organization	62
Osage	1929, ———		

TABLE VIII. County courts of Kansas. Civil cases filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Edwards county.					Finney county.					Total.						
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	Total.	1923.	1924.		1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	Total.
Number.....				24	74	29	59	186					4	3	4	49	
Appeals.....				4	4	1	2	7					1	0	0	6	
Dismissals.....				6	20	6	14	46					2	0	0	4	
Justice of the peace jurisdiction.				21	10	0	0	37							3		
District court jurisdiction.				3	5	0	0	8									
Jury trials.....				0	2	0	0	2									
Attachment.....				0	0	0	1	1					0	0	0	3	
Garnishment.....				0	0	0	2	2					0	0	0	0	
Answers.....				1	3	2	0	7					0	0	1	1	
Dilatory motions.....				1	2	1	0	4							1	0	
Average days to decide.				14	10	17	13	15					24	12	29	13	
Shortest time, days.				3	2	4	2	4					0	0	19	7	
Longest time, days.				57	188	92	82						29	12	59		
High cost.....																	
Low cost.....																	
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>																	
Account.....				2	34	18	34	88					0	0	2	2	
Debt.....				1	2	0	0	3					15	3	1	13	
Note.....				17	25	10	19	71					5	2	0	0	
Damage.....				1	1	0	1	3					0	0	0	2	
Replevin.....				1	3	1	2	7					1	1	0	2	
Detainer.....				1	3	0	0	4					0	0	0	0	
Labor.....				1	3	0	2	2					0	0	0	0	
Fees.....				1	3	0	0	4									
Rent.....																	
Contract.....																	
Insurance.....				0	1	0	1	2					0	0	0	1	
Commission.....				0	2	0	0	2					0	0	0	0	
Judgment.....				0	0	0	0	0					1	0	0	2	
Ejectment.....				0	0	0	0	0									
Check.....																	
Habas corpus.....																	
Taxes.....																	
Conversion.....																	
Stray.....																	
Ouster.....																	
Haul pupils.....																	
Surety.....																	
Lease.....																	
Not classified.....																	
Totals.....				24	74	29	59	186					22	7	9	4	49

TABLE VIII—CONTINUED. County courts of Kansas. Civil cases filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Greenwood county.							Hodgeman county.								
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	Total.	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	Total.
Number.....				38	97	53	79	267						3	18	21
Appeals.....				2	9	5	2	18						0	2	2
Dismissals.....				6	22	7	17	52						2	2	4
Justice of the peace jurisdiction.																
District court jurisdiction.																
Jury trials.....				1	1	2	1	5						0	0	0
Attachment.....				0	3	0	3	3						1	0	1
Garnishment.....				0	0	1	0	1						0	0	0
Answers.....				6	4	2	0	13						0	0	0
Dilatory motions.....				3	4	4	2	9						1	0	1
Average days to decide.....				34	27	21	18	25						1	1	1
Shortest time, days.....				3	3	4	4	1						0	0	1
Longest time, days.....				190	126	57	141									
High cost.....								\$33.20								\$2.30
Low cost.....								1.50								\$2.30
Nature of Actions.																
Account.....				0	0	0	0	0						1	18	1
Debit.....				34	86	48	73	241						1	1	1
Note.....				0	0	0	0	0						1	0	0
Damage.....				2	3	2	2	9						0	0	0
Replevin.....				1	4	1	3	9						0	0	0
Detainer.....				1	4	2	1	8						1	0	1
Labor.....																
Fees.....																
Rent.....																
Contract.....																
Insurance.....																
Commission.....																
Assignment.....																
Attachment.....																
Check.....																
Habeas corpus.....																
Taxes.....																
Conversion.....																
Stray.....																
Quasi.....																
Haui pupils.....																
Surety.....																
Case.....																
Not classified.....																
Totals.....				38	97	53	79	267						3	18	21

TABLE VIII—CONTINUED. County courts of Kansas. Civil cases filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Kearny county.										Mitchell county.									
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	Total.	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	Total.				
Number.....	4	18	8	2	0	0	2	34	4	61	50	34	68	58	77	352				
Appeals.....	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	4	2	1	2	3	6	18				
Dismissals.....	0	6	4	0	0	0	0	12	4	3	17	15	28	23	31	117				
Justice of the peace jurisdiction.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	44	46	32	58	50	234	41				
District court jurisdiction.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	17	4	2	10	8	1	3				
Jury trials.....	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	6				
Attachment.....	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	4	3	2	4	1	1	14				
Garnishment.....	1	4	1	0	0	0	0	6	0	11	6	3	3	3	8	34				
Answers.....	7	12	3	0	0	0	0	5	11	33	27	56	48	14	30	31				
Dilatory motions.....	6	6	11	3	1	1	4	21	3	3	4	1	3	1	1	1				
Average days to decide.....	9	12	3	0	0	0	17	32	10	237	119	154	164	48	143				
Shortest time, days.....	\$2.30	\$15.00	\$9.00	\$12.00	\$13.00	\$25.00				
Longest time, days.....	\$1.15	\$2.00	\$1.60	\$0.75	\$1.00				
High cost.....				
Low cost.....				
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>																				
Account.....	0	13	2	2	0	0	0	17	1	3	0	0	0	0	32	36				
Debt.....	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	3	3	51	40	26	61	50	24	255				
Note.....	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	4	4	3	2	3	13	29				
Damage.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	9				
Replevin.....	1	2	3	0	0	0	1	7	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	5				
Detainer.....				
Labor.....				
Fees.....				
Rent.....				
Contract.....				
Insurance.....	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2				
Commission.....				
Judgment.....				
Ejectment.....				
Check.....				
Habeas corpus.....				
Taxes.....				
Conversion.....				
Stray.....				
Ouster.....				
Haul pupils.....				
Surety.....				
Lease.....				
Not classified.....				
Totals.....	4	18	8	2	0	0	2	34	4	61	50	34	68	58	77	352				

TABLE VIII—CONTINUED. County courts of Kansas. Civil cases filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Marion county.					Morris county.					Total.						
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	Total.	1923.	1924.		1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	Total.
Number.....								14		55	30	37	31	13	32	198	
Appeals.....								0		2	3	1	0	0	0	6	
Dismissals.....								0		8	14	13	12	4	11	62	
Justice of the peace jurisdiction.																	
District court jurisdiction.																	
Jury trials.....								1		2	0	0	1	1	1	5	
Attachment.....																	
Garnishment.....								2									
Answers.....								2									
Dilatory motions.....								0		1	4	5	0	0	0	10	
Average days to decide.....								15		16	38	19	22	7	27	21	
Shortest time, days.....								1		1	5	1	8	6	1		
Longest time, days.....								28		70	207	46	72	10	184		
High cost.....																	
Low cost.....																	
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>																	
Account.....								8		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Debt.....								0		38	24	34	27	12	30	165	
Note.....								2		9	5	2	2	0	0	18	
Damage.....								1		4	1	1	0	0	1	7	
Replevin.....								3		3	0	0	2	0	1	6	
Detainer.....								0		1	0	0	0	0	0	2	
Labor.....								0									
Fees.....								0									
Rent.....																	
Contract.....																	
Insurance.....																	
Commission.....																	
Judgment.....																	
Ejectment.....																	
Check.....																	
Habas corpus.....																	
Taxes.....																	
Conversion.....																	
Stray.....																	
Ouster.....																	
Haul pupils.....																	
Surety.....																	
Lease.....																	
Not classified.....																	
Totals.....							14	14		55	30	37	31	13	32	198	

TABLE VIII—CONTINUED. County courts of Kansas. Civil cases filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Osborne county.										Stevens county.					
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	Total.	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	Total.
Number	3	14	15	19	17	54	122					6	14	7	12	39
Appeals	0	2	0	0	2	0	4					0	0	0	0	0
Dismissals	2	3	6	3	1	2	17					1	3	0	2	6
Justice of the peace jurisdiction.																
District court jurisdiction.																
Jury trials	0	2	1	0	0		3					0	0	1	0	1
Attachment												1	3	1	0	5
Garnishment	0	1	0	2	0	0	1					1	3	1	0	5
Awards	0	2	0	2	0	0	2									
Dilatory motions	270	17	30	22	15	17	21					8	30	25	5	17
Average days to decide.	270	0	1	7	5	1	62					5	0	0	1	17
Shortest time, days.	270	0	1	7	5	1	62					11	140	94	16	17
Longest time, days.	270	32	131	109	54	112						11	140	94	16	17
High cost.																
Low cost.																
Nature of Actions.																
Account	0	0	0	0	0	4	4					0	8	3	0	11
Debt	2	6	5	8	4	26	51					2	0	0	0	4
Note	1	1	6	11	11	22	55					3	4	1	12	20
Damage	0	4	0	0	1	0	2					0	0	0	0	1
Replevin	0	2	2	0	0	1	5					0	0	0	0	0
Detainer	0	1	1	0	1	0	3					0	0	0	0	0
Lebor												1	1	0	0	2
Fees																
Rent	0	0	1	0	0	0	1									
Contract																
Insurance																
Commission																
Judgment																
Execution																
Chattel																
Check																
Habas corpus																
Taxes																
Conversion																
Stray												0	1	0	0	1
Ouster																
Had pupils																
Surety																
Lease																
Not classified.																
Totals	3	14	15	19	17	54	122					6	15	7	12	39

TABLE VIII—CONTINUED. County courts of Kansas. Civil cases filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

	Pawnee county.						Rush county.									
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	Total.	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	Total.
Number.....	74	77	82	78	28	41	380	21	16	27	56	10	44	174		
Appeals.....	3	1	3	3	1	1	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Dismissals.....	32	27	27	24	4	10	124	1	0	2	17	4	21	45		
Justice of the peace jurisdiction.								9	13	15	9	3	37	86		
District court jurisdiction.								8	3	6	2	3	7	29		
Jury trials.....	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	5	3	4	4	0	22		
Attachment.....	21	5	0	0	0	0	26	0	3	6	4	1	1	15		
Garnishment.....	3	6	2	2	3	1	14	6	4	7	0	3	3	23		
Answers.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Dilatory motions.....	18	20	20	20	12	12	17	19	17	17	16	51	11	22		
Average days to decide.....	1	1	3	3	3	3	1	1	0	1	3	4	3	4		
Shortest time, days.....	143	135	121	141	32	86	111	45	36	111	41	84	32	32		
Longest time, days.....																
High cost.....																
Low cost.....																
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>																
Account.....	41	58	49	52	8	19	227	0	4	13	25	4	12	58		
Debt.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	18	7	13	24	3	23	88		
Note.....	24	12	31	20	15	14	116	2	0	0	1	0	1	4		
Damage.....	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	1		
Replevin.....	0	3	0	1	2	0	8	0	0	0	1	0	1	3		
Detainer.....	2	1	0	1	2	3	9	0	0	0	1	0	2	3		
Labor.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	2	5		
Fees.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2		
Rent.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3		
Contract.....	6	2	1	3	0	1	13	0	0	0	1	0	1	2		
Insurance.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Commission.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Judgment.....	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Ejectment.....																
Check.....																
Habeas corpus.....	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	3	0	4		
Taxes.....																
Conversion.....																
Stray.....																
Ouster.....																
Head pupis.....																
Surety.....																
Lease.....																
Not classified.....						1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0		
Totals.....	74	77	82	78	28	41	380	21	16	27	56	10	44	174		

TABLE VIII—Continued. County courts of Kansas. Civil cases filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Trego county.						Wallace county.						Total.			
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	Total.	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.		1927.	1928.	1929.
Number.....			47	55	75	21	71	269	9	25	13	22	15	8	13	105
Appeals.....			4	5	6	0	7	22	2	5	0	4	1	0	0	12
Dismissals.....			4	9	6	0	9	36	5	15	9	11	10	2	3	55
Justice of the peace jurisdiction.....			32	44	55	15	60	206	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
District court jurisdiction.....			15	11	20	6	11	68	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jury trials.....			1	1	5	0	1	8	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Attachment.....			0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	2	1	0	7	
Garnishment.....			0	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	2	4	6	1	15	
Answers.....			4	7	25	1	23	60	2	3	1	1	0	2	9	
Dilatory motions.....			7	17	16	3	11	54	0	3	1	0	2	2	3	
Average days to decide.....			27	35	38	25	24	30	34	10	40	51	12	27	33	
Shortest time, days.....			3	1	3	4	3	3	13	5	7	6	7	17	38	
Longest time, days.....			151	242	273	83	203	54	42	77	155	31	32	78	
High cost.....					\$65.00	\$11.00							\$10.00	\$12.00		
Low cost.....						\$2.00							\$5.00	\$5.00		
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>																
Account.....			12	21	31	6	11	81	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Debt.....			1	2	0	0	10	13	3	12	10	15	8	2	1	51
Note.....			22	22	30	9	27	110	5	8	2	1	6	1	9	32
Damage.....			0	1	5	0	1	7	0	2	0	4	1	3	1	11
Replevin.....			3	1	4	3	6	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Detainer.....			1	1	0	0	2	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	5
Labor.....			2	3	1	0	5	11	0	2	1	1	0	1	0	2
Fees.....			1	1	0	1	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Rent.....			3	3	0	1	2	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
Contract.....			1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Insurance.....			1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Commission.....			0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Judgment.....																
Ejectment.....																
Check.....																
Habas corpus.....																
Taxes.....																
Conversion.....																
Stray.....																
Ouster.....			0	1	0	0	2	3								
Haul pupils.....			0	0	0	0	0	0								
Surety.....			0	0	0	1	0	1								
Lease.....																
Not classified.....																
Totals.....			47	55	75	21	71	269	9	25	13	22	15	8	13	105

TABLE IX.—County courts of Kansas. Summary of civil cases filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	County courts of Kansas.										Total						
	Edwards county	Finney county	Greenwood county	Hodgeman county	Kearny county	Marion county	Mitchell county	Morris county	Osborne county	Pawnee county	Phillips county	Rush county	Stevens county	Thomas county	Trego county	Wallace county	
Number	186	49	267	21	34	14	352	198	122	380	319	174	39	62	269	105	2,591
Appeals	7	6	18	2	2	0	18	6	4	12	4	0	0	0	0	22	114
Dismissals	46	4	52	4	12	0	117	62	17	124	72	45	6	35	36	12	687
Judice of peace jurisdiction	37	0	0	0	0	0	234	0	0	0	229	86	0	0	206	55	792
District court jurisdiction	8	0	0	0	0	0	41	0	0	0	90	29	0	0	63	0	231
Jury trials	2	3	5	0	0	1	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	1	32
Attachments	1	3	3	1	4	0	6	0	3	0	11	22	5	1	1	1	65
Garnishments	5	2	1	0	3	0	14	0	1	3	10	26	1	0	4	15	101
Answers filed	7	2	13	0	0	2	0	0	7	26	5	15	5	1	60	9	142
Default judgments	7	1	13	0	0	0	0	10	21	14	6	15	0	0	54	11	186
Average days to decide	15	13	25	1	5	15	31	21	62	17	15	22	17	20	30	30	21

TABLE IX.—CONCLUDED. County courts of Kansas. Summary of civil cases filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

Nature of Actions.	BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS.										Total						
	Edwards county	Finney county	Greenwood county	Hodgeman county	Kearny county	Marion county	Mitchell county	Morris county	Osborne county	Pawnee county		Phillips county	Rush county	Stevens county	Thomas county	Trego county	Wallace county
Account.....	88	2	0	1	17	8	36	0	4	227	94	58	11	39	81	1	667
Debt.....	3	27	241	18	3	0	255	165	51	1	80	0	4	4	13	51	916
Note.....	71	13	9	1	5	2	29	18	55	116	83	88	20	12	110	32	635
Damages.....	3	2	0	0	7	1	9	7	2	1	14	4	0	0	7	0	53
Replevit.....	4	0	8	1	0	3	5	6	5	8	3	3	0	2	4	11	98
Detainer.....	4	0	0	1	0	0	6	2	3	9	3	3	0	1	2	2	48
Labor.....	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	5	2	1	11	5	49
Fees.....	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	5	0	13
Rents.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	13	17	3	0	2	12	2	38
Contracts.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	1	1	18
Insurance.....	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Commission.....	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	5
Judgment.....	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Attachment.....	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Checks.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	6
Habeas corpus.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Taxes.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Conversion.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Strays.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Ouster.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Hadling pupils.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
Surety.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Not classified.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
Total civil cases.....	186	49	267	21	34	14	352	198	122	380	319	174	39	62	269	105	2,591
Total misdemeanor cases.....	169	0	245	1	22	41	356	425	86	86	86	223	83	49	206	47	2,407
Total felony cases.....	57	0	123	2	52	7	76	216	17	29	53	36	17	5	41	12	742
Grand totals.....	412	49	634	24	108	62	784	839	237	495	595	566	139	116	516	164	5,740

TABLE X.—County courts of Kansas. Misdemeanor cases filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Edwards county.							Total.
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	
Number	0	0	0	23	71	35	40	169
Appeals	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dismissals	0	0	0	0	5	2	18	25
Pleas of guilty	0	0	0	7	21	4	10	42
Pleas of not guilty	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	3
Jury trials	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	5
Dilatory pleas	0	0	0	10	35	17	0	62
Abated	0	0	0	10	35	17	15	77
Average days to decide	0	0	0	13	21	26	21	20
Shortest time, days	0	0	0	13	0	0	1	20
Longest time, days	0	0	0	13	251	158	204	21
Car confiscated	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>								
Bad check	0	0	0	15	42	25	23	105
Liquor violation	0	0	0	3	13	0	3	19
Drunk	0	0	0	1	7	3	3	14
Larceny	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	5
Assault	0	0	0	2	3	2	1	8
Disturbing the peace	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
Auto license	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Peace bond	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5
Hotel bill	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
Fish and game	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Indecency	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
Trespass	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Dance hall	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Sale of mortgaged property	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Intoxicated driver	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Auto dealers' license	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vagrancy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Illegal cohabitation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
False pretenses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Concealed weapons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Removal of road barricade	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Riding train	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cigarette law violations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gambling	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sat into stream	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
County attorney's inquisition	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Nuisance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not classified	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	0	0	23	71	35	40	169

Finney county court was organized in 1929, but no business is reported.

TABLE X.—CONTINUED. County courts of Kansas. Misdemeanor cases filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Greenwood county.							Total.
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	
Number	0	0	0	74	81	36	54	245
Appeals	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
Dismissals	0	0	0	3	15	4	6	28
Pleas of guilty	0	0	0	70	66	29	46	211
Pleas of not guilty	0	0	0	4	11	4	2	21
Jury trials	0	0	0	1	6	0	1	8
Dilatory pleas	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
Abated	0	0	0	1	1	3	5	5
Average days to decide	0	0	0	8	5	0	0	1
Shortest time, days	0	0	0	0	0	0	29
Longest time, days	0	0	0	61	91	25	29
Car confiscated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>								
Bad check	0	0	0	18	15	7	19	59
Liquor violation	0	0	0	9	5	2	4	20
Drunk	0	0	0	10	20	8	11	49
Larceny	0	0	0	4	4	2	0	10
Assault	0	0	0	2	5	1	3	11
Disturbing the peace	0	0	0	1	1	3	3	8
Auto license	0	0	0	16	14	4	6	40
Peace bond	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
Hotel bill	0	0	0	3	1	2	0	3
Fish and game	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Indecency	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4
Trespass	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0
Dance hall	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Sale of mortgaged property	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	9
Intoxicated driver	0	0	0	2	3	1	0	3
Auto dealers' license	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	6
Vagrancy	0	0	0	1	4	1	0	2
Illegal cohabitation	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
False pretenses	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Concealed weapons	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
Removal of road barricade	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Riding train	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3
Cigarette law violations	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Gambling	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
Salt into stream	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
County attorney's inquisition	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nuisance	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Not classified	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	0	0	74	81	36	54	245

TABLE X.—CONTINUED. County courts of Kansas. Misdemeanor cases filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Kearny county.							Total.
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	
Number	0	3	11	7	1	0	0	22
Appeals	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Dismissals	0	2	2	1	0	0	0	5
Pleas of guilty	0	1	4	2	1	0	0	8
Pleas of not guilty	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	3
Jury trials	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dilatory pleas	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	4
Abated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average days to decide	0	1	10	6	1	0	0	4
Shortest time, days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Longest time, days	0	1	31	17	1	0	0	0
Car confiscated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>								
Bad check	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Liquor violation	0	1	6	3	0	0	0	10
Drunk	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
Larceny	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Assault	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Disturbing the peace	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
Auto license	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
Peace bond	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hotel bill	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
Fish and game	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Indecency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Trespass	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Dance hall	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sale of mortgaged property	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Intoxicated driver	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Auto dealers' license	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vagrancy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Illegal cohabitation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
False pretenses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Concealed weapons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Removal of road barricade	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Riding train	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cigarette law violations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gambling	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Salt into stream	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
County attorney's inquisition	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nuisance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not classified	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	3	11	7	1	0	0	22

TABLE X—CONTINUED. County courts of Kansas. Misdemeanor cases filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Mitchell county.							Total.
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	
Number	5	50	65	72	76	40	48	356
Appeals	0	4	4	0	0	0	1	9
Dismissals	0	9	8	5	7	4	6	39
Pleas of guilty	3	8	18	6	13	15	19	82
Pleas of not guilty	0	10	6	2	2	1	1	22
Jury trials	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	4
Dilatory pleas	2	19	31	33	39	13	16	153
Abated	2	19	27	33	38	13	11	143
Average days to decide	1	13	17	5	12	3	8	8
Shortest time, days	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Longest time, days	2	107	137	13	123	24	50	50
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>								
Bad check	2	27	40	57	59	20	20	225
Liquor violation	3	1	3	1	5	7	13	33
Drunk	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	5
Larceny	0	0	5	1	2	0	1	9
Assault	0	3	3	1	4	1	3	15
Disturbing the peace	0	5	2	3	1	3	3	17
Auto license	0	6	0	0	0	1	0	7
Peace bond	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	3
Hotel bill	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	3
Fish and game	0	2	2	0	0	7	0	11
Indecency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Trespass	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mortgage, sale of property	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Intoxicated driver	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Vagrancy	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
False pretenses	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Concealed weapon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Riding train	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gambling	0	0	7	1	0	0	0	8
Reckless driving	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2
Health regulation	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	4
Search warrant, larceny	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	6
Resisting officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lascivious conduct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Adultery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Peddling	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Truancy	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Slander	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Misconduct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	5	50	65	72	76	40	48	356

TABLE X—CONTINUED. County courts of Kansas. Misdemeanor cases filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Morris county.							Total.
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	
Number	0	60	65	83	109	45	63	425
Appeals	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Dismissals	0	3	3	8	7	6	30	57
Pleas of guilty	0	14	25	35	47	19	21	161
Pleas of not guilty	0	3	9	1	1	0	0	14
Jury trials	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	6
Dilatory pleas	0	29	13	34	25	12	0	113
Abated	0	25	11	34	25	12	28	135
Average days to decide	0	4	2	1	1	3	3	2
Shortest time, days	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Longest time, days	0	44	21	18	22	41	37	
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>								
Bad check	0	28	15	40	41	30	37	191
Liquor violation	0	12	22	12	18	4	11	79
Drunk	0	2	8	13	25	2	5	55
Larceny	0	2	1	1	5	0	1	10
Assault	0	5	5	2	5	1	3	21
Disturbing the peace	0	4	4	1	2	1	2	14
Auto license	0	0	6	4	2	0	0	12
Peace bond	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hotel bill	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	4
Fish and game	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Indecency	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Trespass	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Mortgage property, sale of	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Intoxicated driver	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
Vagrancy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
False pretenses	0	0	0	2	2	1	1	6
Concealed weapon	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
Riding train	0	0	0	1	1	5	0	7
Gambling	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3
Reckless driving	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Health regulation	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	3
Search warrant, larceny	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	5
Resisting officer	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
Lascivious conduct	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
Adultery	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Peddling	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Truancy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Slander	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misconduct	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Totals	0	60	65	83	109	45	63	425

TABLE X—CONTINUED. County courts of Kansas. Misdemeanor cases filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Osborne county.							Total.
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	
Number	0	1	27	5	16	1	48	98
Appeals	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Dismissals	0	0	7	2	4	0	9	22
Pleas of guilty	0	0	15	0	3	1	21	40
Pleas of not guilty	0	1	2	1	0	0	2	6
Jury trials	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
Dilatory pleas	0	0	3	0	0	0	8	11
Abated	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
Average days to decide	0	4	4	9	4	0	5	4
Shortest time, days	0	4	0	0	0	0	1
Longest time, days	0	4	32	21	19	0	24
Car confiscated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Worked for county	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>								
Bad check	0	0	4	2	2	0	18	26
Liquor violation	0	0	4	2	7	1	3	17
Drunk	0	0	4	0	3	0	6	13
Larceny	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	5
Assault	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	4
Disturbing the peace	0	0	3	0	1	0	4	8
Auto license	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
Peace bond	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hotel bill	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
Fish and game	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Trespass	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mortgage property, sale of	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Intoxicated driver	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vagrancy	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
Illegal cohabitation	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
False pretenses	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
Cigarette law violation	0	0	2	0	0	0	4	6
Gambling	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Reckless driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Health regulations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Resisting officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lascivious conduct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Adultery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Peddling	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bastardy	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
Truancy	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Cruelty to animals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Habeas corpus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Embezzlement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hunting on Sunday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Destroying property	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wife desertion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Totals	0	1	27	5	16	1	48	98

TABLE X—CONTINUED. County courts of Kansas. Misdemeanor cases filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Pawnee county.							Total.
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	
Number	0	56	4	13	11	0	2	86
Appeals	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Dismissals	0	5	0	4	1	0	0	10
Pleas of guilty	0	22	1	2	8	0	1	34
Pleas of not guilty	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
Jury trials	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	5
Dilatory pleas	0	1	12	3	1	0	0	17
Abated	0	10	2	3	1	0	1	17
Average days to decide	0	13	2	21	1	0	1	6
Shortest time, days	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Longest time, days	0	252	2	62	1	0	1
Car confiscated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Worked for county	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>								
Bad check	0	25	2	5	2	0	1	35
Liquor violations	0	4	1	2	1	0	0	8
Drunk	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	4
Larceny	0	6	0	1	3	0	0	10
Assault	0	5	0	2	0	0	1	8
Disturbing the peace	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
Auto license	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Peace bond	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Hotel bill	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Fish and game	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Treasspass	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mortgage property, sale of	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Intoxicated driver	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
Vagrancy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Illegal cohabitation	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
False pretenses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cigarette law violation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gambling	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
Reckless driving	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
Health regulations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Resisting officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lascivious conduct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Adultery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Peddling	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Bastardy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Truancy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cruelty to animals	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Habeas corpus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Embezzlement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hunting on Sunday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Destroying property	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wife desertion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	56	4	13	11	0	2	86

TABLE X—CONTINUED. County courts of Kansas. Misdemeanor cases filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Phillips county.							Total.
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	
Number	0	0	42	74	54	19	34	223
Appeals	0	0	4	6	0	0	1	11
Dismissals	0	0	16	17	14	7	10	64
Pleas of guilty	0	0	11	36	25	7	17	96
Pleas of not guilty	0	0	4	2	1	0	7	14
Jury trials	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	3
Dilatory pleas	0	0	4	9	5	2	0	20
Abated	0	0	4	9	4	0	6	23
Average days to decide	0	0	17	5	14	10	36	16
Shortest time, days	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Longest time, days	0	0	176	51	178	76	116
Car confiscated	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Worked for county	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	4
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>								
Bad check	0	0	12	18	10	1	8	49
Liquor violations	0	0	6	16	16	6	9	53
Drunk	0	0	4	8	2	2	0	16
Larceny	0	0	5	6	8	2	6	27
Assault	0	0	5	8	3	2	1	19
Disturbing the peace	0	0	2	10	7	1	6	26
Auto license	0	0	0	2	1	0	2	5
Peace bond	0	0	3	2	0	1	0	6
Hotel bill	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2
Fish and game	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
Trespass	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Mortgage property, sale of	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Intoxicated driver	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Vagrancy	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Illegal cohabitation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
False pretenses	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Cigarette law violation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gambling	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Reckless driving	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Health regulations	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Resisting officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Lascivious conduct	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Adultery	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Peddling	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Bastardy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Truancy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cruelty to animals	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Habeas corpus	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Embezzlement	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Hunting on Sunday	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Destroying property	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
Wife desertion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	0	42	74	54	19	34	223

TABLE X.—CONTINUED. County courts of Kansas. Misdemeanor cases filed from organization to July 1, 1929

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Rush county.							Total.
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	
Number	0	71	108	67	37	13	60	356
Appeals	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Dismissals	0	0	13	5	2	2	42	64
Pleas of guilty	0	10	0	1	26	10	19	66
Pleas of not guilty	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	4
Jury trials	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
Dilatory pleas	0	1	6	8	21	3	1	40
Abated	0	0	6	8	21	3	33	71
Average days to decide	0	1	9	0	16	7	8	7
Shortest time, days	0	0	9	0	0	0	1	7
Longest time, days	0	1	9	0	274	50	63
Cars confiscated	0	1	4	1	0	0	0	6
Worked for county	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>								
Bad check	0	25	69	55	26	6	38	219
Liquor violations	0	18	10	5	3	2	4	42
Drunk	0	3	1	1	0	2	2	9
Larceny	0	1	0	1	3	0	5	10
Assault	0	0	0	0	2	0	4	6
Disturbing the peace	0	2	3	1	0	0	4	10
Auto license	0	6	9	0	1	0	0	16
Peace bond	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
Fish and game	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Trespass	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Dance hall	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Sale of mortgaged property	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Intoxicated driver	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Vagrancy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Illegal cohabitation	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
False pretenses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Concealed weapons	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Cigarette law violations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gambling	0	13	0	0	0	1	0	14
Reckless driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Health regulation	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Resisting officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lascivious conduct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Peddling	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Cruelty to animals	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Destroying property	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Nuisance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Auto liquor nuisance	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	6
Slot machine	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	8
Taking auto	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Aiding prisoner	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not classified	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Totals	0	71	108	67	37	13	60	356

TABLE X.—CONTINUED. County courts of Kansas. Misdemeanor cases filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Stevens county.							Total.
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	
Number	0	0	2	23	30	11	17	83
Appeals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Dismissals	0	0	1	5	2	0	1	9
Pleas of guilty	0	0	2	15	20	7	11	55
Pleas of not guilty	0	0	0	3	0	1	4	8
Jury trials	0	0	0	1	1	1	3	6
Dilatory pleas	0	0	0	7	9	2	2	20
Abated	0	0	0	7	9	2	0	18
Average days to decide	0	0	1	9	1	1	3	3
Shortest time, days	0	0	1	9	0	0	1
Longest time, days	0	0	1	9	0	0	28
Cars confiscated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Worked for county	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>								
Bad check	0	0	0	7	9	2	2	20
Liquor violations	0	0	0	2	10	1	8	21
Drunk	0	0	1	6	5	1	2	15
Larceny	0	0	0	3	2	3	0	8
Assault	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	3
Disturbing the peace	0	0	0	3	1	0	5	9
Auto license	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Peace bond	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fish and game	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Trespass	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dance hall	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sale of mortgaged property	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Intoxicated driver	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vagrancy	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Illegal cohabitation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
False pretenses	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Concealed weapons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cigarette law violations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gambling	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reckless driving	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Health regulation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Resisting officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lascivious conduct	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
Peddling	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cruelty to animals	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Destroying property	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nuisance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Auto liquor nuisance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Slot machine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Taking auto	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Aiding prisoner	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not classified	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	0	2	23	30	11	17	83

TABLE X.—CONTINUED. County courts of Kansas. Misdemeanor cases filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Trego county.							Total.
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	
Number	0	0	54	45	27	28	52	206
Appeals	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	3
Dismissals	0	0	2	4	3	3	27	39
Pleas of guilty	0	0	38	16	19	7	7	87
Pleas of not guilty	0	0	6	8	2	2	2	20
Jury trials	0	0	0	3	0	1	1	5
Dilatory pleas	0	0	27	25	14	11	0	37
Abated	0	0	24	26	23	8	23	104
Average days to decide	0	0	4	4	5	6	4	5
Shortest time, days	0	0	0	0	5	0	1
Longest time, days	0	0	34	14	5	21	18
Worked for county	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
High cost	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Low cost	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>								
Bad check	0	0	30	26	16	15	36	123
Liquor violation	0	0	18	5	3	6	1	33
Drunk	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	5
Larceny	0	0	1	2	1	1	3	8
Assault	0	0	4	2	0	1	3	10
Disturbing the peace	0	0	0	1	3	0	1	5
Auto license	0	0	0	2	2	0	1	5
Trespass	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Intoxicated driver	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Vagrancy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
False pretenses	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	3
Riding trains	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Reckless driving	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	3
Health regulations	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
Peddling	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Destroying property	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
Sunday movies	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Failed to pay alimony	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Unclassified	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Totals	0	0	54	45	27	28	52	206

TABLE X.—CONCLUDED. County courts of Kansas. Misdemeanor cases filed from organization to July 1, 1929

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Wallace county.							Total.
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	
Number	14	1	0	7	11	4	10	47
Appeals	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dismissals	1	0	0	0	2	1	2	6
Pleas of guilty	13	0	0	7	9	2	5	36
Pleas of not guilty	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	3
Jury trials	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	3
Dilatory pleas	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Abated	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Average days to decide	12	7	0	1	1	6	3	4
Shortest time, days	3	7	0	0	0	0	1
Longest time, days	21	7	0	1	4	12	6
Worked for county	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
High cost	\$24	0	0	\$21	0	\$16	0
Low cost	\$5	0	0	\$7	0	\$16	0
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>								
Bad check	0	0	0	2	1	1	3	7
Liquor violation	1	1	0	0	0	1	2	5
Drunk	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Larceny	0	0	0	4	4	1	2	11
Assault	0	0	0	0	4	1	1	6
Disturbing the peace	9	0	0	0	1	0	1	11
Auto license	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
Trespass	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Intoxicated driver	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vagrancy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
False pretenses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Riding trains	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reckless driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Health regulations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Peddling	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Destroying property	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Sunday movies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Failed to pay alimony	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unclassified	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	14	1	0	7	11	4	10	47

TABLE XI.—County courts of Kansas. Summary of misdemeanor cases filed from organization to July 1, 1939.

Nature of Actions.	BUSINESS TRANSACTED.																
	Edwards county	Finney county	Greenwood county	Hodgeman county	Kearny county	Marion county	Mitchell county	Morris county	Osborne county	Pawnee county	Phillips county	Rush county	Stevens county	Thomas county	Trego county	Wallace county	Total
Number	169	0	245	1	22	41	356	425	98	86	223	356	83	49	206	47	2,407
Appeals	0	2	28	0	0	0	9	57	22	1	11	1	1	4	3	0	36
Dismissals	25	0	211	0	5	23	39	101	46	10	64	64	55	13	39	6	382
Pleas of guilty	42	0	21	1	8	2	82	14	2	34	9	66	6	14	87	36	955
Pleas of not guilty	3	0	2	0	3	2	22	2	4	2	13	4	0	0	20	3	122
Jury trials	5	0	8	0	0	1	4	5	12	5	3	2	6	2	5	3	52
Dilatory pleas	62	0	2	2	0	0	163	113	11	17	23	40	20	12	37	1	482
Abated	77	0	2	2	0	5	143	135	3	6	10	71	18	104	2	2	596
Average days to decide	20	0	5	0	4	4	8	2	4	0	6	6	0	6	5	4	0
Cars confiscated	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Worked for county	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>																	
Bad check	105	0	59	0	0	21	295	101	26	35	49	219	20	20	123	7	1,100
Liquor violations	19	0	20	0	10	8	33	79	17	8	53	42	21	9	33	5	357
Drunk	14	0	49	0	2	4	5	55	13	4	16	9	15	6	5	1	195
Larceny	5	0	10	0	1	2	9	20	4	10	27	10	8	6	8	11	122
Assault	8	0	11	0	1	0	15	21	4	8	19	6	3	0	10	6	112
Disturbing peace	2	0	8	0	0	0	17	12	2	2	26	10	9	4	5	11	118
Auto license	1	0	43	0	3	2	7	12	0	2	6	16	0	0	5	2	110
Peace bond	5	0	2	0	0	0	3	4	0	1	3	3	0	0	0	0	20
Hotel bill	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	1	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	20
Fish and game	1	0	3	0	0	0	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
Indecency	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
Trespass	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	10
Dance hall	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Sale of mortgaged property	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6
Intoxicated driver	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	19
Vagrancy	0	0	9	0	0	1	0	2	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	13
Levicious conduct	0	0	6	0	0	2	1	9	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	13
False pretenses	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	0	16
Concealed weapons	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	5

TABLE XI.—CONCLUDED. County courts of Kansas. Summary of misdemeanor cases filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

		Total	Wallace county	Trego county	Thomas county	Stevens county	Rush county	Phillips county	Pawnee county	Osborne county	Morris county	Mitchell county	Marion county	Kearny county	Hodgeman county	Greenwood county	Finney county	Edwards county	Totals
		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	169
Removing barricade.....		11	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	11
Riding in.....		10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
Cigarette violations.....		35	0	0	3	0	14	0	0	6	3	8	0	0	0	2	0	0	35
Gambling.....		12	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
Reckless driving.....		12	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
Health regulating.....		11	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
Search warrants.....		4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Resisting arrest, larceny.....		11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
Resisting officer.....		4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Adultery.....		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Peddlary.....		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Destroying property.....		9	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Cruelty to animals.....		3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Treason.....		4	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Auto hijack or nuisance.....		8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
Slot machines.....		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Bestiality.....		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Habeas corpus.....		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
County attorney's inquisition.....		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Not classified.....		3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Sold into streams.....		3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Nuisance.....		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Slender.....		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Misconduct.....		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Embezzlement.....		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Hunting on Sunday.....		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Taking suit.....		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Wife desertion.....		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Aiding prisoner.....		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Staging prisoner.....		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Staging movies.....		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Failed to pay alimony.....		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Totals.....		169	86	206	49	83	356	223	86	98	425	356	41	22	1	245	0	0	2,407

BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS.

Nature of Actions—Concluded.

TABLE XII.—County courts of Kansas. Felony charges filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Edwards county.							Total.
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	
Number	0	0	0	13	17	6	21	57
Dismissals	0	0	0	3	4	1	3	11
Preliminary waived	0	0	0	6	4	2	6	18
Not bound over	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Dilatory pleas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average days to transcript	0	0	0	24	8	19	12	16
Gave bond	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
Committed to jail	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
Cost to complaining witness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>								
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Assault	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Robbery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
Burglary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Forgery	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
Larceny	0	0	0	1	2	1	7	11
Bad check	0	0	0	4	5	2	5	16
Nonsupport	0	0	0	2	2	1	0	9
Bigamy	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Faise pretenses	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
Persistent violation	0	0	0	3	4	0	0	7
Mortgaged property, sale of	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	4
Forced marriage	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Embezzlement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gambling	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Breaking jail	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Possession of drugs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Disturbing peace	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abduction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Incest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bastardy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Adultery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Libel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Perjury	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Buying stolen property	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Blackmail	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Concubinage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Peace bond	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bank default	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bank robbery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Car forfeiture	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	0	0	13	17	6	21	57

Finney county court was organized in 1929, but no business is reported.

TABLE XII—CONCLUDED. County courts of Kansas. Felony charges filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Greenwood county.							Total.
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	
Number	0	0	0	14	32	28	48	122
Dismissals	0	0	0	1	3	1	4	9
Preliminary waived	0	0	0	8	34	20	20	72
Not bound over	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
Dilatory pleas	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3
Average days to transcript	0	0	0	11	6	6	17	10
Gave bond	0	0	0	5	5	0	9	19
Committed to jail	0	0	0	8	22	0	21	51
Cost to complaining witness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>								
Murder	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	4
Assault	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	5
Robbery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	3
Burglary	0	0	0	1	3	4	5	13
Forgery	0	0	0	2	4	3	7	16
Larceny	0	0	0	3	9	6	5	23
Bad check	0	0	0	3	2	0	5	10
Nonsupport	0	0	0	0	4	5	7	16
Bigamy	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
False pretenses	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Persistent violation	0	0	0	2	1	2	4	9
Mortgaged property, sale of	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	6
Forced marriage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Embezzlement	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	3
Gambling	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
Breaking jail	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Possession of drugs	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	5
Disturbing peace	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abduction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Incest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bastardy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Adultery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Libel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Perjury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Buying stolen property	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Blackmail	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Concubinage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arson	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Peace bond	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bank default	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bank robbery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Car forfeiture	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	0	0	14	32	28	48	122

TABLE XII—CONTINUED. County courts of Kansas. Felony charges filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Hodgeman county.							Total.
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Dismissals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Preliminary waived	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not bound over	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dilatory pleas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average days to transcript	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gave bond	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Committed to jail	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost to complaining witness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>								
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Assault	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Robbery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Burglary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Forgery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Larceny	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bad check	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Nonsupport	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Bigamy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
False pretenses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Persistent violation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mortgaged property, sale of	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Forced marriage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Embezzlement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gambling	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Breaking jail	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Possession of drugs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Disturbing peace	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abduction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Incest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bastardy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Adultery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Libel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Perjury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Buying stolen property	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Blackmail	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Concubinage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Peace bond	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bank default	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bank robbery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Car forfeiture	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2

TABLE XII—CONTINUED. County courts of Kansas. Felony charges filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Kearny county.							Total.
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	
Number	0	0	29	21	2	0	0	52
Dismissals	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	6
Preliminary waived	0	0	4	3	0	0	0	7
Not bound over	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dilatory pleas	0	0	10	3	0	0	0	13
Average days to transcript	0	0	13	8	0	0	0	4
Gave bond	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Committed to jail	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	3
Cost to complaining witness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abated	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	8
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>								
Murder	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Assault	0	0	5	3	0	0	0	8
Robbery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Burglary	0	0	6	3	0	0	0	9
Forgery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Larceny	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bad check	0	0	15	9	2	0	0	26
Nonsupport	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bigamy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
False pretenses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Persistent violation	0	0	1	5	0	0	0	6
Mortgaged property, sale of	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Forced marriage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Embezzlement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gambling	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Breaking jail	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Possession of drugs	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Disturbing peace	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Abduction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Incest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bastardy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Adultery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Libel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Perjury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Buying stolen property	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Blackmail	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Concubinage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Peace bond	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bank default	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bank robbery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Car forfeiture	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	0	29	21	2	0	0	52

TABLE XII—CONTINUED. County courts of Kansas. Felony charges filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Mitchell county.							Total.
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	
Number	0	6	10	21	13	7	19	76
Dismissals	0	3	1	4	1	1	5	15
Preliminary waived	0	0	0	8	1	0	5	14
Not bound over	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Dilatory pleas	0	2	2	2	2	0	6	12
Average days to transcript	0	0	0	0	0	3	20	23
Gave bond	0	0	5	5	2	1	5	18
Committed to jail	0	0	0	12	1	6	5	24
Cost to complaining witness	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	3
Abated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>								
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Assault	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Robbery	0	0	0	6	1	0	0	7
Rape	0	0	0	4	1	1	0	6
Burglary	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
Forgery	0	0	2	2	1	1	3	9
Larceny	0	0	5	1	3	4	7	20
Bad check	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
Nonsupport	0	5	0	3	3	1	1	13
Bigamy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
False pretenses	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Persistent violation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mortgaged property, sale of	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
Forced marriage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Embezzlement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gambling	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Breaking jail	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Possession of drugs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Disturbing peace	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abduction	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Incest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bastardy	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Adultery	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Libel	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Perjury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Buying stolen property	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Blackmail	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Concubinage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Peace bond	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bank default	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bank robbery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Car forfeiture	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	6	10	21	13	7	19	76

TABLE XII—CONTINUED. County courts of Kansas. Felony charges filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Morris county.							Total.
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	
Number.....	0	36	36	34	51	18	41	216
Dismissals.....	0	19	13	14	20	9	20	95
Preliminary waived.....	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3
Not bound over.....	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Dilatory pleas.....	0	13	7	3	7	5	0	35
Average days to transcript.....	0	9	11	14	2	10	17	10
Gave bond.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Committed to jail.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	10
Cost to complaining witness.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abated.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>								
Murder.....	0	3	1	0	0	1	3	8
Assault.....	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	4
Robbery.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape.....	0	0	1	6	2	0	3	12
Burglary.....	0	3	2	2	2	0	1	10
Forgery.....	0	5	2	1	1	1	3	13
Larceny.....	0	10	10	8	7	0	3	38
Bad check.....	0	7	10	6	20	9	13	65
Nonsupport.....	0	4	2	5	8	1	2	22
Bigamy.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
False pretenses.....	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
Persistent violation.....	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
Mortgaged property, sale of.....	0	2	3	5	6	3	2	21
Forced marriage.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Embezzlement.....	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
Gambling.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Breaking jail.....	0	1	1	0	0	1	4	7
Possession of drugs.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Disturbing peace.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abduction.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Incest.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bastardy.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Adultery.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Libel.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Perjury.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Buying stolen property.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Blackmail.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Concubinage.....	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3
Arson.....	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
Peace bond.....	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
Bank default.....	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Bank robbery.....	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Car forfeiture.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Totals.....	0	36	36	34	51	18	41	216

TABLE XII—CONTINUED. County courts of Kansas. Felony charges filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Osborne county.							Total.
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	
Number	0	0	4	4	3	2	4	17
Dismissals	0	0	1	0	3	1	0	5
Preliminary waived	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
Not bound over	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dilatory pleas	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Average days to transcript	0	0	52	8	0	30	20	27
Gave bond	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Committed to jail	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Cost to complaining witness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>								
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Assault	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Robbery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Burglary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Forgery	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
Larceny	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	5
Bad check	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Nonsupport	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	3
Bigamy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
False pretenses	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Persistent violation	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Mortgaged property, sale of	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Forced marriage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Embezzlement	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Gambling	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Breaking jail	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Possession of drugs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Disturbing peace	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abduction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Incest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bastardy	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Adultery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Libel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Perjury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Buying stolen property	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Blackmail	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Concubinage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Peace bond	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bank default	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bank robbery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Car forfeiture	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	0	4	4	3	2	4	17

TABLE XII—CONTINUED. County courts of Kansas. Felony charges filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Pawnee county.							Total.
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	
Number	0	14	8	2	1	1	3	29
Dismissals	0	6	1	0	0	0	1	7
Preliminary waived	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Not bound over	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
Dilatory pleas	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average days to transcript	0	7	9	1	6	1	18	7
Gave bond	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Committed to jail	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Cost to complaining witness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>								
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Assault	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Robbery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Burglary	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
Forgery	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
Larceny	0	5	1	0	1	0	0	7
Bad check	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nonsupport	0	1	1	0	0	1	2	5
Bigamy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
False pretenses	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Persistent violation	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
Mortgaged property, sale of	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Forced marriage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Embezzlement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gambling	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Breaking jail	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Possession of drugs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Disturbing peace	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abduction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Incest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bastardy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Adultery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Libel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Perjury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Buying stolen property	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Blackmail	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Concubinage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Peace bond	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	5
Bank default	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bank robbery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Car forfeiture	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	14	8	2	1	1	3	29

TABLE XII—CONTINUED. County courts of Kansas. Felony charges filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Phillips county.							Total.
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	
Number	0	0	12	18	11	7	5	53
Dismissals	0	0	5	7	0	3	1	16
Preliminary waived	0	0	2	1	5	3	0	11
Not bound over	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	4
Dilatory pleas	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	3
Average days to transcript	0	0	11	64	24	13	2	23
Gave bond	0	0	2	0	2	1	1	6
Committed to jail	0	0	2	5	3	3	0	13
Cost to complaining witness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abated	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	3
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>								
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Assault	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	3
Robbery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	0	0	3	2	1	0	1	7
Burglary	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	2
Forgery	0	0	1	1	2	1	2	5
Larceny	0	0	2	5	1	3	0	12
Bad check	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	6
Nonsupport	0	0	3	3	1	0	0	7
Bigamy	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
False pretenses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Persistent violation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mortgaged property, sale of	0	0	2	0	1	1	1	5
Forced marriage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Embezzlement	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
Gambling	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Breaking jail	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Possession of drugs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Disturbing peace	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abduction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Incest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bastardy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Adultery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Libel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Perjury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Buying stolen property	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Blackmail	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Concubinage	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Arson	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
Peace bond	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bank default	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bank robbery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Car forfeiture	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	0	12	18	11	7	5	53

TABLE XII—CONTINUED. County courts of Kansas. Felony charges filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Rush county.							Total.
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	
Number	0	5	13	8	6	3	1	36
Dismissals	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
Preliminary waived	0	4	4	3	5	3	0	19
Not bound over	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Dilatory pleas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average days to transcript	0	59	49	22	21	2	31	31
Gave bond	0	3	2	1	2	2	0	10
Committed to jail	0	0	4	2	4	1	0	11
Cost to complaining witness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>								
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Assault	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
Robbery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	3
Burglary	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	4
Forgery	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2
Larceny	0	1	6	3	1	0	0	11
Bad check	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Nonsupport	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	4
Bigamy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
False pretenses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Persistent violation	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Mortgaged property, sale of	0	2	1	2	0	0	0	5
Forced marriage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Embezzlement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gambling	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Breaking jail	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Possession of drugs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Disturbing peace	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abduction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Incest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bastardy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Adultery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Libel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Perjury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Buying stolen property	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Backmail	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Concubinage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arson	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Peace bond	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bank default	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bank robbery	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Car forfeiture	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	5	13	8	6	3	1	36

TABLE XII—CONTINUED. County courts of Kansas. Felony charges filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Stevens county.							Total.
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	
Numbers.....	0	0	0	5	6	3	3	17
Dismissals.....	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Preliminaries waived.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not bound over.....	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	3
Dilatory pleas.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average days to transcript.....	0	0	0	21	30	0	0	13
Give bond.....	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	3
Committed to jail.....	0	0	0	3	3	0	1	7
Costs to complaining witness.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abated.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>								
Murder.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Assault.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Robbery.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape.....	0	0	0	3	1	3	0	7
Burglary.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Forgery.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Larceny.....	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
Bad checks.....	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Nonsupport.....	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	4
Bigamy.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
False pretenses.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Persistent violator.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mortgaged property, sale of.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Forced to marry.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Embezzlement.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gambling.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Breaking jail.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Possession of drugs.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Disturbing the peace.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abduction.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Incest.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bastardy.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Adultery.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Libel.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Perjury.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Buy stolen property.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Blackmail.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Concubinage.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arson.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Peace bond.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bank default.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bank robbery.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Car forfeiture.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals.....	0	0	0	5	6	3	3	17

TABLE XII—CONTINUED. County courts of Kansas. Felony charges filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Trego county.							Total.
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	
Numbers	0	0	3	10	5	9	14	41
Dismissals	0	0	1	2	1	2	4	10
Preliminaries waived	0	0	1	6	4	0	0	11
Not bound over	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
Dilatory pleas	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
Average days to transcript	0	0	298	28	1	0	9	67
Gave bond	0	0	1	3	3	2	1	10
Committed to jail	0	0	1	3	1	1	1	7
Costs to complaining witness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>								
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Assault	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	3
Robbery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
Burglary	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	3
Forgery	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
Larceny	0	0	0	4	0	4	1	9
Bad checks	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
Nonsupport	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	3
Bigamy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
False pretenses	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
Persistent violator	0	0	0	0	3	0	2	5
Mortgaged property, sale of	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	3
Forced to marry	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Embezzlement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gambling	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Breaking jail	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Possession of drugs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Disturbing the peace	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abduction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Incest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bastardy	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Adultery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Libel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Perjury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Buying stolen property	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Blackmail	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Concubinage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arson	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Peace bond	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4
Bank default	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bank robbery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Car forfeiture	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	0	3	10	5	9	14	41

TABLE XII—CONCLUDED. County courts of Kansas. Felony charges filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	Wallace county.							Total.
	1923.	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	
Numbers.....	0	0	1	1	7	0	3	12
Dismissals.....	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
Preliminaries waived.....	0	0	0	0	3	0	2	5
Not bound over.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dilatory pleas.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average days to transcript.....	0	0	0	0	3	0	24	5
Gave bond.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Committed to jail.....	0	0	0	0	5	0	2	7
Costs to complaining witness.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abated.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Nature of Actions.</i>								
Murder.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Assault.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Robbery.....	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
Rape.....	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Burglary.....	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
Forgery.....	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
Larceny.....	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
Bad checks.....	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Nonsupport.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bigamy.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
False pretenses.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Persistent violator.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mortgaged property, sale of.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Forced to marry.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Embezzlement.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gambling.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Breaking jail.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Possession of drugs.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Disturbing the peace.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abduction.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Incest.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bastardy.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Adultery.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Libel.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Perjury.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Buying stolen property.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Blackmail.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Concubinage.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arson.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Peace bond.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bank default.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bank robbery.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Car forfeiture.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals.....	0	0	1	1	7	0	3	12

TABLE XIII.—CONCLUDED. County courts of Kansas. Summary of felony charges filed from organization to July 1, 1929.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED.	County courts of Kansas										Totals						
	Edwards county	Finney county	Greenwood county	Hodgeman county	Kearny county	Marion county	Mitchell county	Morris county	Osborne county	Pawnee county		Philips county	Rush county	Stevens county	Thomas county	Trego county	Wallace county
<i>Nature of Actions—Concluded.</i>																	
Bastardy	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3
Adultery	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Libel	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Concubinage	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Arson	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	11
Peace bond	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bank default	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bank robbery	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Car forfeiture	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Perjury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Blackmail	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Buying stolen property	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Totals	57	0	122	2	52	7	76	216	17	29	53	36	17	5	41	12	742

CONCLUSION.

The judges of the various courts throughout the state, court officials, and the legal profession generally, have been much interested in the work of the Judicial Council, and though somewhat slowly, yet very respectfully and effectively, have given consideration to the recommendations of the Judicial Council for the improvement of our judicial system. The judges presiding in most of the courts of the state have earnestly considered methods by which their respective courts can function more promptly and efficiently, and having determined in what ways that may be accomplished, have not hesitated to put them into effect. The authority of the supreme court of the state to promulgate rules with respect to the conduct of the business of the courts inferior to it throughout the state has been established, at least to a limited extent, and rules designed for the more prompt and efficient conduct of the business of the courts and the keeping of better records therein have been promulgated by the supreme court and have been recognized and put into effect by the courts to which they apply. The work of the Judicial Council and the rules promulgated by the supreme court received much discussion at the meeting of the Association of District Judges and at the meeting of the State Bar Association held at Pittsburg, Kan., on November 1 and 2 of this year. While details of just what was best to do with respect to specific things were open to debate, and divergent views were expressed, the whole trend of the argument and sentiment expressed led toward the searching out and discussing of defects or imperfections of our judicial system and finding and applying appropriate remedies therefor. The desire to accomplish the improvements in our judicial system was earnest and substantially unanimous.

The general idea of an efficient judiciary may be briefly stated thus: The aim of government, as we have organized and endeavor to maintain it, is to bring about the greatest benefit to the people composing it. The judiciary is a branch of our government. It is that branch of our government which interprets and applies our laws to any and all of the controversies which arise in the business, domestic and governmental affairs of the people. It should therefore be so organized, equipped and conducted as to be of benefit to the people of the state. We want something more than a fairly good judiciary. Generally speaking, we have that now. We want it to be as beneficial as it is reasonably possible to make it. A careful study of how that can be best accomplished is worthy of the best efforts of the bench and bar and should meet the approval of all good citizens of the state and should have the coöperation of other departments of the government in so far as such cooperation is necessary. So far as the prompt dispatch of business is concerned, there has been and is no criticism of the supreme court of the state. So far as there has been delay with respect to the dispatch of the business of the district courts, that will be effectively overcome by the compliance on the part of the courts and the attorneys practicing therein with the rules relating thereto which have been promulgated by the supreme court. Data collected by the Judicial Council from clerks of the district courts demonstrate that generally speaking those courts are functioning well; that it is only the occasional case, or the occasional judicial district, where that cannot

be said to be true. It is believed by the Judicial Council that conformity to the rules promulgated by the supreme court will remove any cause for such criticism as exists in this respect. The compilation of data and other information by the Judicial Council also demonstrates that our judicial system inferior to the district courts should be thoroughly reorganized in order to do the work efficiently and promptly which naturally comes within the jurisdiction of those courts. While none of the constitutional and statutory changes recommended by the Judicial Council were enacted into law by the legislature, we are confident that this resulted largely from a lack of time for thorough consideration of the measures, and that when careful consideration is given to them there will be no difficulty about their enactment.

We specifically desire to express our appreciation to the clerks of the district courts who, without additional compensation therefor from the legislature, have performed the work necessary to compile reports requested of them by the Judicial Council.

There is much work for the Judicial Council to do. The study of the defects of one of the great branches of our government, outlining measures for the improvement of its efficiency and seeing that those measures are carried into effect, is a work that cannot be accomplished at once. It takes time to collect the necessary data, to consider the information collected, to formulate the methods of improvement, and to devise means and measures for putting them into effect. We think all will agree that this is a work worth while. The work of the Judicial Council naturally falls into two divisions: First, the collection of data and information from various sources; second, the consideration of the information obtained with a view of discovering defects or places where improvements may be made and suggesting remedies. The Judicial Council should have a permanent secretary to collect data, conduct correspondence and keep the records necessary for its work. The Judicial Council should meet once a month, for some time at least, to study the information obtained and to plan remedial measures. Of necessity this work is continuous in its nature. The specific things to which the Judicial Council has already given its attention are far from complete. There are other matters within the scope of the work of the Judicial Council to which it has as yet been unable to give attention. The Judicial Council cannot itself function efficiently without being properly equipped to do so.

